

The Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida, met in regular session on the above date.

Present: Commissioners Dennis Damato, District I; Gary Bartell, District II; Vicki Phillips, District III; Jim Fowler, District IV; and Joyce Valentino, District V
Attorney: Robert B. Battista
Administrator: Richard Wm. Wesch
Clerks: Betty Strifler, Clerk; Glenda Brown and Theresa Steelfox, Deputy Clerks

The meeting was called to order by the Clerk of the Circuit Court. Commissioner Damato gave the invocation and Commissioner Valentino led the Pledge of Allegiance to the U.S. Flag.

1- **REORGANIZATION**

1-B **SELECTION OF CHAIRPERSON**

The Clerk called for nominations for the Chair. Commissioner Phillips nominated Commissioner Bartell.

Upon motion by Commissioner Fowler, seconded by Commissioner Damato, and carried unanimously, the Board ceased nominations.

Commissioner Bartell was chosen as Chairman of the Board.

1-C **SELECTION OF FIRST VICE-CHAIRPERSON**

The Chairman called for nominations for First Vice-Chair. Commissioner Phillips nominated Commissioner Fowler.

Upon motion by Commissioner Valentino, seconded by Commissioner Bartell, and carried unanimously, the Board ceased nominations.

Commissioner Fowler was chosen as First Vice-Chairman.

1-D **SELECTION OF SECOND VICE-CHAIRPERSON**

The Chairman called for nominations for Second Vice-Chair. Commissioner Phillips nominated Commissioner Valentino and Commissioner Fowler nominated Commissioner Damato.

Upon motion by Commissioner Phillips, seconded by Commissioner Bartell, and carried unanimously, the Board ceased nominations.

The Commissioners voted by ballot (voting ballots filed with the Clerk's agenda). Mr. Battista disclosed that by a majority vote of the Board, Commissioner Damato would be Second Vice-Chairman. (1:05 P.M.)

1-E **MEETING DAYS, TIME, AND PLACE, AND ADOPT THE BOARD SEAL**

Upon motion by Commissioner Phillips, seconded by Commissioner Fowler, and carried unanimously, the Board set the regular meeting days, time, and place, and adopted the Board seal used during the previous year as the official seal of the Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County.

1-F **SALARIES, MILEAGE, AND TRAVEL**

Upon motion by Commissioner Phillips, seconded by Commissioner Valentino, and carried unanimously, the Board set Commissioner's salaries as provided by Florida Statutes, set out-of-county mileage at 29 cents per mile; and set in-county travel at \$100 per month per Commissioner, with the Chairman to receive an additional \$50 per month.

1-G **SIGNATURE CARDS AND COUNTY WARRANTS**

Upon motion by Commissioner Fowler, seconded by Commissioner Phillips, and carried unanimously, the Board authorized the Chairman, the First Vice-Chairman, the Second Vice-Chairman, and the Clerk to execute bank signature cards and County warrants for all Board accounts.

1-H **NEWSPAPER OF RECORD**

Upon motion by Commissioner Phillips, seconded by Commissioner Valentino, and carried unanimously, the Board renewed the current proposal with the Citrus County Chronicle as the official newspaper for publication of Board notices, the delinquent tax sale notices, and other legal advertising of the Board under the same terms and conditions stated in the proposal documents. (1:06 P.M.)

Chairman Bartell thanked Commissioner Phillips for her outstanding leadership, dedication, and service during the past year.

The Chairman recessed the meeting and reconvened at 1:13 P.M.
--

2- **PUBLIC HEARINGS - WORKSHOPS - PERSONS TO APPEAR**

2-A **OPEN TO THE PUBLIC**

2-A.1 John Ring thanked the Board for their foresight in purchasing the property formerly known as the Brown School for the Citrus County Resource Center/VA Clinic (Center). He said that the Center would benefit all citizens of the County.

2-A.2 Chester Bradshaw, Chairman of FROGS (Friends of the Green Swamp Watershed, Inc.), distributed information regarding the Flying Eagle Youth Center lease area formerly known as the McGregor Smith Boy Scout Reservation (filed with the Clerk's agenda). He mentioned that this property had recently been acquired by the Southwest Florida Water Management District (SWFWMD) and that they would eventually be leasing

the property. He requested that the Board enter into negotiations with SWFWMD and state legislators to obtain grants to utilize the public land for public use or to amend the laws of Preservation 2000 Program so that maintenance funds could be made available to utilize public recreation on properties obtained by SWFWMD.

The Chairman thanked Mr. Bradshaw and stated that staff would report to the Board after they had time to research and analyze the information.

2-A.3 Dave Conant thanked Commissioner Phillips for her leadership during the last year, and congratulated the new leadership and wished them well for the year. He agreed with Mr. Ring's comments regarding the Center, and thanked everyone involved in the process, specifically Assistant County Administrator Ken Saunders who had passed away before the Center was completed. (1:20 P.M.)

3- **CONSENT AGENDA**

Upon motion by Commissioner Phillips, seconded by Commissioner Fowler, and carried unanimously, the Board pulled item 3-AAA ("Citrus County Gary Burghoff Radar O'Reilly Day"), approved the balance of the consent agenda items, and authorized the Chairman to execute all related documents:

3-A Left blank intentionally.

3-B Payroll registers dated November 4, 2005, for \$19,436.16, dated November 8, 2005, for \$576,761.60, and dated November 10, 2005, for \$30,689.34. Accounts Payable registers dated November 10, 2005, for \$39,849, dated November 10, 2005, for \$2,769,807.22, and dated November 14, 2005, for \$505,117.92.

3-C Budget Resolutions:

RESOLUTION NO. 2005-264

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE PARK IMPACT FEES DISTRICT 3 BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2004-05

WHEREAS, the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners has expended impact fee funds for various improvements at Bicentennial Park; and

WHEREAS, these expenditures should be charged to the old impact fee district; and

WHEREAS, this was not included in the original budget process; therefore, it is necessary for the Board to amend the budget for fiscal year 2004-05; and

WHEREAS, Section 129.06(d), Florida Statutes, provides that the Board may amend its budget by resolution spread upon its minutes to appropriate and expend funds from a source not anticipated in the Board's regular budget; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida as follows:

1. The Board does hereby amend its budget to include the estimated revenues and expenditures listed in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.
2. The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to spread this resolution upon the minutes of the meeting held this date.

ADOPTED, in regular session this 15th day of November 2005, by the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners.

ATTEST:

/s/ Betty Strifler

BETTY STRIFLER, CLERK

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA

/s/ Gary Bartell

GARY BARTELL, CHAIRMAN

EXHIBIT "A"			
	ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
PARK IMPACT FEES DISTRICT 3 (628)			
Expenditures	628-2822-56300	Improve Other than Building	(80,218)
	628-2822-53100	Professional Services	1,800
	628-2822-56400	Machinery and Equipment	25,681
	628-2822-57100	Principal	37,986
	628-2822-57200	Interest	14,751
		Total Expenditures	\$80,218
PARK IMPACT FEES DISTRICT 3 (638)			
Revenues	638-400-200	Cash Carry Forward	80,218
		Total Revenues	\$80,218
Expenditures	638-2838-53100	Professional Services	(1,800)
	638-2838-56400	Machinery and Equipment	(25,681)
	638-2838-57100	Principal	(37,986)
	638-2838-57200	Interest	(14,751)
		Total Expenditures	(\$80,218)

RESOLUTION NO. 2005-265

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA, ADOPTING THE FEMA - JEANNE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2004-05

WHEREAS, on October 26, 2004, the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners executed a Disaster Relief Funding Agreement with the State of Florida, Department of Community Affairs; and

WHEREAS, on November 8, 2004, the State of Florida, Department of Community Affairs executed the agreement providing funds for losses related to Hurricane Jeanne; and

WHEREAS, this was not included in the original budget process; therefore, it is necessary for the Board to amend the budget for fiscal year 2004-05; and

WHEREAS, Section 129.06(d), Florida Statutes, provides that the Board may amend its budget by resolution spread upon its minutes to appropriate and expend funds from a source not anticipated in the Board's regular budget; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida as follows:

1. The Board does hereby amend its budget to include the estimated revenues and expenditures listed in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.
2. The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to spread this resolution upon the minutes of the meeting held this date.

ADOPTED, in regular session this 15th day of November 2005, by the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners.

ATTEST:

/s/ Betty Strifler

BETTY STRIFLER, CLERK

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA

/s/ Gary Bartell

GARY BARTELL, CHAIRMAN

EXHIBIT "A"			
	ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Revenues	123-331-500	FEMA Grant	607,507
	123-381-000	Interfund Transfers	119
		Total Revenues	\$607,626
Expenditures	123-5823-53400	Other Contractual Services	14,572
	123-5823-54300	Utility Services	1,705
	123-5823-54929	Emergency Assistance	724
	123-5823-55201	Tools Imp. & Spec. Clothing	369
	123-5823-55221	Meals	1,438
	123-5823-59100	Transfers	588,818
		Total Expenditures	\$607,626

RESOLUTION NO. 2005-266

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA, AMENDING VARIOUS IMPACT FEE BUDGETS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2004-05

WHEREAS, impact fees collected during the 2004-05 fiscal year were greater than anticipated; and

WHEREAS, additional administrative fees must be budgeted in some impact fee funds due to the increased collections; and

WHEREAS, this was not included in the original budget process; therefore, it is necessary for the Board to amend the budget for fiscal year 2004-05; and

WHEREAS, Section 129.06(d), Florida Statutes, provides that the Board may amend its budget by resolution spread upon its minutes to appropriate and expend funds from a source not anticipated in the Board's regular budget; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida as follows:

1. The Board does hereby amend its budget to include the estimated revenues and expenditures listed in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.
2. The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to spread this resolution upon the minutes of the meeting held this date.

ADOPTED, in regular session this 15th day of November 2005, by the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners.

ATTEST:

/s/ Betty Strifler

BETTY STRIFLER, CLERK

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA

/s/ Gary Bartell

GARY BARTELL, CHAIRMAN

EXHIBIT "A"			
	ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
ROAD IMPACT FEES DISTRICT 3			
Revenues	603-363-241	Road Impact Fees	\$54
Expenditures	603-2803-59100	Transfers	\$54

ROAD IMPACT FEES DISTRICT 8			
Revenues	613-363-241	Road Impact Fees	\$1,063
Expenditures	613-2811-59100	Transfers	\$1,063
ROAD IMPACT FEES DISTRICT 7			
Revenues	614-363-241	Road Impact Fees	\$1,584
Expenditures	614-2812-59100	Transfers	\$1,584
FIRE IMPACT FEES			
Revenues	620-363-222	Fire Impact Fees	\$4,619
Expenditures	620-2814-59100	Transfers	\$4,619
EMS IMPACT FEES			
Revenues	621-363-222	EMS Impact Fees	\$405
Expenditures	621-2829-59100	Transfers	\$405
LIBRARY IMPACT FEES			
Revenues	622-363-271	Library Impact Fees	\$3,283
Expenditures	622-2816-59100	Transfers	\$3,283
PUBLIC BUILDINGS IMPACT FEES			
Revenues	623-363-252	Public Buildings Impact Fees	\$5,175
Expenditures	623-2830-59100	Transfers	\$5,175
COMMUNITY PARK DISTRICT 1 IMPACT FEES			
Revenues	625-363-272	Park Impact Fees	\$129
Expenditures	625-2819-59100	Transfers	\$129
PARK IMPACT FEES DISTRICT 1			
Revenues	636-363-272	Park Impact Fees	\$1,451
Expenditures	636-2836-59100	Transfers	\$1,451
PARK IMPACT FEES DISTRICT 2			
Revenues	637-363-272	Park Impact Fees	\$4,305
Expenditures	637-2837-59100	Transfers	\$4,305
PARK IMPACT FEES DISTRICT 3			
Revenues	638-363-272	Park Impact Fees	\$2,797
Expenditures	638-2838-59100	Transfers	\$2,797
PARK IMPACT FEES DISTRICT 4			
Revenues	639-363-272	Park Impact Fees	\$1,901
Expenditures	639-2839-59100	Transfers	\$1,901
ROAD IMPACT FEES DISTRICT A			
Revenues	662-363-241	Road Impact Fees	\$16,821
Expenditures	662-2831-59100	Transfers	\$16,821
ROAD IMPACT FEES DISTRICT C			
Revenues	664-363-241	Road Impact Fees	\$6,923
Expenditures	664-2833-59100	Transfers	\$6,923
ROAD IMPACT FEES DISTRICT D			
Revenues	665-363-241	Road Impact Fees	\$7,107
Expenditures	665-2834-59100	Transfers	\$7,107

RESOLUTION NO. 2005-267

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE 2004 PRIVATE ROAD CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2004-05

WHEREAS, on December 16, 2003, the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners adopted the 2004 Private Road Construction and Perpetual Maintenance Program; and

WHEREAS, the budget needs to be amended for certain expenditures that occurred during the 2004-05 fiscal year; and

WHEREAS, this was not included in the original budget process; therefore, it is necessary for the Board to amend the budget for fiscal year 2004-05; and

WHEREAS, Section 129.06(d), Florida Statutes, provides that the Board may amend its budget by resolution spread upon its minutes to appropriate and expend funds from a source not anticipated in the Board's regular budget; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida as follows:

1. The Board does hereby amend its budget to include the estimated revenues and expenditures listed in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.
2. The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to spread this resolution upon the minutes of the meeting held this date.

ADOPTED, in regular session this 15th day of November 2005, by the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners.

ATTEST:

/s/ Betty Strifler

BETTY STRIFLER, CLERK

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA

/s/ Gary Bartell

GARY BARTELL, CHAIRMAN

EXHIBIT "A"			
	ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
BENNETT POINT			
Revenues	723-363-000	Special Assessments	2,185
		Total Revenues	\$2,185
Expenditures	723-7133-53100	Professional Services	103
	723-7133-54907	Commissions – Property Appraiser	358
	723-7133-54908	Commissions – Tax Collector	51
	723-7133-57100	Principal	1,230
	723-7133-57200	Interest	443
		Total Expenditures	\$2,185
BOW & ARROW LOOP			
Revenues	724-363-000	Special Assessments	5,833
		Total Revenues	\$5,833
Expenditures	724-7134-53100	Professional Services	292
	724-7134-54907	Commissions – Property Appraiser	653
	724-7134-54908	Commissions – Tax Collector	131
	724-7134-57100	Principal	3,497
	724-7134-57200	Interest	1,260
		Total Expenditures	\$5,833
HULL TERRACE			
Revenues	728-363-000	Special Assessments	1,273
		Total Revenues	\$1,273

Expenditures	728-7138-53100	Professional Services	56
	728-7138-54907	Commissions – Property Appraiser	284
	728-7138-54908	Commissions – Tax Collector	23
	728-7138-57100	Principal	669
	728-7138-57200	Interest	241
		Total Expenditures	\$1,273
KEN/OWENS/RAL/RAY			
Revenues	729-363-000	Special Assessments	11,454
		Total Revenues	\$11,454
Expenditures	729-7139-53100	Professional Services	587
	729-7139-54907	Commissions – Property Appraiser	1,076
	729-7139-54908	Commissions – Tax Collector	225
	729-7139-57100	Principal	7,032
	729-7139-57200	Interest	2,534
		Total Expenditures	\$11,454

RESOLUTION NO. 2005-268

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE ROAD IMPACT FEES DISTRICT 7 BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2004-05

WHEREAS, the Florida Department of Transportation is improving US Hwy. 41 South in the City of Inverness; and

WHEREAS, the Florida Department of Transportation has been charging the City’s escrow account for work performed; and

WHEREAS, these changes are eligible to be funded with impact fees; and

WHEREAS, this was not included in the original budget process; therefore, it is necessary for the Board to amend the budget for fiscal year 2004-05; and

WHEREAS, Section 129.06(d), Florida Statutes, provides that the Board may amend its budget by resolution spread upon its minutes to appropriate and expend funds from a source not anticipated in the Board’s regular budget; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida as follows:

1. The Board does hereby amend its budget to include the estimated revenues and expenditures listed in Exhibit “A” attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.
2. The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to spread this resolution upon the minutes of the meeting held this date.

ADOPTED, in regular session this 15th day of November 2005, by the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners.

ATTEST:

/s/ Betty Strifler

BETTY STRIFLER, CLERK

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA

/s/ Gary Bartell

GARY BARTELL, CHAIRMAN

EXHIBIT "A"			
	ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Revenues	614-400-200	Cash Carry Forward	135,015
		Total Revenues	\$135,015

Expenditures	614-2812-53100	Professional Services	255
	614-2812-56300	Improve Other than Building	134,760
		Total Expenditures	\$135,015

RESOLUTION NO. 2005-269

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE BOATING IMPROVEMENTS BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2004-05

WHEREAS, a change was made to the purchase order for the Turner Camp boat ramp; and

WHEREAS, funds were spent on another boat ramp project; and

WHEREAS, this was not included in the original budget process; therefore, it is necessary for the Board to amend the budget for fiscal year 2004-05; and

WHEREAS, Section 129.06(d), Florida Statutes, provides that the Board may amend its budget by resolution spread upon its minutes to appropriate and expend funds from a source not anticipated in the Board's regular budget; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida as follows:

1. The Board does hereby amend its budget to include the estimated revenues and expenditures listed in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.
2. The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to spread this resolution upon the minutes of the meeting held this date.

ADOPTED, in regular session this 15th day of November 2005, by the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners.

ATTEST:

/s/ Betty Strifler

BETTY STRIFLER, CLERK

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA

/s/ Gary Bartell

GARY BARTELL, CHAIRMAN

EXHIBIT "A"			
	ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Revenues	065-400-200	Cash Carry Forward	3,594
		Total Revenues	\$3,594
Expenditures	065-6115-53100	Professional Services	1,844
	065-6115-56326	Boat Ramp Improvements	1,750
		Total Expenditures	\$3,594

RESOLUTION NO. 2005-270

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE ARTICLE V LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING BUDGET FOR FY 2004-05

WHEREAS, collections of Article V funds were higher than anticipated for the 2004-05 fiscal year; and

WHEREAS, the budget needs to be increased for these additional collections; and

WHEREAS, this was not included in the original budget process; therefore, it is necessary for the Board to amend the budget for fiscal year 2004-05; and

WHEREAS, Section 129.06(d), Florida Statutes, provides that the Board may amend its budget by resolution spread upon its minutes to appropriate and expend funds from a source not anticipated in the Board's regular budget; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida as follows:

1. The Board does hereby amend its budget to include the estimated revenues and expenditures listed in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.
2. The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to spread this resolution upon the minutes of the meeting held this date.

ADOPTED, in regular session this 15th day of November 2005, by the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners.

ATTEST:

/s/ Betty Strifler

BETTY STRIFLER, CLERK

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA

/s/ Gary Bartell

GARY BARTELL, CHAIRMAN

EXHIBIT "A"			
	ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Revenues	099-348-520	Service Charges	6,026
	099-361-200	SBA Interest	145
	099-400-200	Cash Carry Forward	5,249
		Total Revenues	\$11,420
Expenditures	099-5709-53000	Operating Expenses	11,420
		Total Expenditures	\$11,420

RESOLUTION NO. 2005-271

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA, ADOPTING THE LAW LIBRARY BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2004-05

WHEREAS, funds were expended during the fiscal year for law enforcement related publications; and

WHEREAS, a budget needs to be established for these expenditures; and

WHEREAS, this was not included in the original budget process; therefore, it is necessary for the Board to amend the budget for fiscal year 2004-05; and

WHEREAS, Section 129.06(d), Florida Statutes, provides that the Board may amend its budget by resolution spread upon its minutes to appropriate and expend funds from a source not anticipated in the Board's regular budget; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida as follows:

1. The Board does hereby amend its budget to include the estimated revenues and expenditures listed in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.
2. The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to spread this resolution upon the minutes of the meeting held this date.

ADOPTED, in regular session this 15th day of November 2005, by the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners.

ATTEST:

/s/ Betty Strifler

BETTY STRIFLER, CLERK

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA

/s/ Gary Bartell

GARY BARTELL, CHAIRMAN

EXHIBIT "A"			
	ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Revenues	101-400-200	Cash Carry Forward	\$1,069
Expenditures	101-2359-56600	Library Books - Publications	\$1,069

RESOLUTION NO. 2005-272

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA, ADOPTING/AMENDING THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS BUDGETS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2004-05

WHEREAS, the Citrus County Sheriff's Office is authorized by Florida Statutes to establish special revenue funds; and

WHEREAS, the Citrus County Sheriff has established the following special revenue funds: 911 Recurring, Law Enforcement Special Trust Fund, 911 Wireless, Intergovernmental Radio, Emergency Management Assistance Trust Fund, V.O.C.A. Grant, Self Insurance Fund, L.E. Block Grant 2003, L.E. Block Grant 2004, Vitamin Supplement Grant, Safety Town Grant, Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention Grant, State Homeland Security Grants, Bicycle Safety, Intoxilyzer 8000 Phase III Grant, Justice Assistance Grant and DCA Homeland Security Grant; and

WHEREAS, this was not included in the original budget process; therefore, it is necessary for the Board to amend the budget for fiscal year 2004-05; and

WHEREAS, Section 129.06(d), Florida Statutes, provides that the Board may amend its budget by resolution spread upon its minutes to appropriate and expend funds from a source not anticipated in the Board's regular budget; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida as follows:

1. The Board does hereby amend its budget to include the estimated revenues and expenditures listed in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.
2. The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to spread this resolution upon the minutes of the meeting held this date.

ADOPTED, in regular session this 15th day of November 2005, by the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners.

ATTEST:

/s/ Betty Strifler

BETTY STRIFLER, CLERK

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA

/s/ Gary Bartell

GARY BARTELL, CHAIRMAN

EXHIBIT "A"			
	ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
GENERAL FUND			
Revenues	001-369-900	Miscellaneous Revenue	\$21,822
Expenditures	001-3101-53000	Operating Expenses	\$21,822
911 RECURRING			
Revenues		911 System Charges	\$25,000
Expenditures		Operating Expenses	\$25,000
LAW ENFORCEMENT SPECIAL TRUST FUND			
Revenues		Fines and Forfeitures	\$35,000
Expenditures		Operating Expenses	\$35,000
911 WIRELESS			
Revenues		FL Department of Community Affairs	\$30,000
Expenditures		Operating Expenses	\$30,000
INTERGOVERNMENTAL RADIO			
Revenues		Judgments and Fines	\$31,000
Expenditures		Operating Expenses	\$31,000

EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT ASSISTANCE TRUST FUND			
Revenues		FL Department of Community Affairs	\$31,949
Expenditures		Personal Services	\$31,949
V.O.C.A. Grant			
Revenues		State Grants	8,000
		In-Kind	5,232
		Total Revenues	\$13,232
Expenditures		Personal Services	8,000
		Operating Expenses	5,232
		Total Expenditures	\$13,232
SELF-INSURANCE FUND			
Revenues		Fees and Charges	1,964,000
		Investment Income	25,000
		Miscellaneous Revenue	11,000
		Total Revenues	\$2,000,000
Expenditures		Health and Life Insurance	700,000
		Insurance Claims	1,300,000
		Total Expenditures	\$2,000,000
L.E. BLOCK GRANT 2003			
Revenues		Miscellaneous Revenue	\$2,856
Expenditures		Operating Expenses	\$2,856
L.E. BLOCK GRANT 2004			
Revenues		Federal Grant	17,935
		In-Kind	1,993
		Total Revenues	\$19,928
Expenditures		Operating Expenses	19,928
		Total Expenditures	\$19,928
VITAMIN SUPPLEMENT GRANT			
Revenues		State Grant	\$25,000
Expenditures		Operating Expenses	\$25,000
SAFETY TOWN GRANT			
Revenues		State Grant	50,000
		Total Revenues	\$50,000
Expenditures		Personal Services	8,000
		Operating Expenses	42,000
		Total Expenditures	\$50,000
LAW ENFORCEMENT TERRORISM PREVENTION			
Revenues		State Grant	89,200
		Total Revenues	\$89,200
Expenditures		Operating Expenses	20,000
		Capital Outlay	69,200
		Total Expenditures	\$89,200
STATE HOMELAND SECURITY			
Revenues		State Grant	\$187,607
Expenditures		Capital Outlay	\$187,607

STATE HOMELAND SECURITY-DCA			
Revenues		FL Department of Community Affairs	104,566
		Total Revenues	\$104,566
Expenditures		Operating Expenses	85,653
		Capital Outlay	18,913
		Total Expenditures	\$104,566
BICYCLE SAFETY GRANT			
Revenues		State Grant	1,000
		In-Kind	1,000
		Total Revenues	\$2,000
Expenditures		Personal Services	1,000
		Operating Expenses	1,000
		Total Expenditures	\$2,000
INTOXILYZER 8000 PHASE III GRANT			
Revenues		State Grant	14,287
		Match	4,763
		Total Revenues	\$19,050
Expenditures		Operating Expenses	1,125
		Capital Outlay	17,925
		Total Expenditures	\$19,050
JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT			
Revenues		Federal Grant	\$30,695
Expenditures		Operating Expenses	\$30,695
DCA HOMELAND SECURITY			
Revenues		FL Department of Community Affairs	99,606
		Total Revenues	\$99,606
Expenditures		Operating Expenses	1,803
		Capital Outlay	97,803
		Total Expenditures	\$99,606

RESOLUTION NO. 2005-273

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE LOW INCOME HOME ENERGY ASSISTANCE PROGRAM BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005-06

WHEREAS, the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners executed a Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program agreement with the State of Florida, Department of Community Affairs; and

WHEREAS, the State of Florida, Department of Community Affairs executed the agreement providing funds to benefit low income residents of the county; and

WHEREAS, this was not included in the original budget process; therefore, it is necessary for the Board to amend the budget for fiscal year 2005-06; and

WHEREAS, Section 129.06(d), Florida Statutes, provides that the Board may amend its budget by resolution spread upon its minutes to appropriate and expend funds from a source not anticipated in the Board's regular budget; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida as follows:

1. The Board does hereby amend its budget to include the estimated revenues and expenditures listed in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.
2. The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to spread this resolution upon the minutes of the meeting held this date.

ADOPTED, in regular session this 15th day of November 2005, by the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners.

ATTEST:

/s/ Betty Strifler

BETTY STRIFLER, CLERK

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA

/s/ Gary Bartell

GARY BARTELL, CHAIRMAN

EXHIBIT "A"			
	ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Revenues	167F-331-590	Federal Grant	3,692
		Total Revenues	\$3,692
Expenditures	167F-5373F-51205	Salaries – Outreach	447
	167F-5373F-52100	FICA Taxes	34
	167F-5373F-52200	Retirement Contributions	27
	167F-5373F-52400	Worker's Compensation	4
	167F-5373F-54924	Weather Related Emergency	2,903
	167F-5373F-55100	Office Supplies	277
		Total Expenditures	\$3,692

3-D Budget Transfers: **Bicentennial Park Loan:** \$21,000 from #001-5835-53100 and \$6,948 from #-56300 to #-56400. **Maintenance Operations:** \$230 from #001-2670-53400 to #-55275. **State Housing Initiative Partnership:** \$100,000 from #133E-5304E-58201 and \$84,000 from #-58200 with \$153,700 to #-54926 and \$30,300 to #-54928; \$2,196 from #-51200 and \$275 from #-51205 with \$301 to #-52100, \$570 to #-52200, \$1,534 to #-52300, and \$66 to #-52400; and \$915 from #133D-5304D-51210, \$95 from #-52100, \$100 from #-52200 with \$685 to #-52300 and \$425 to #-52400. **Administration:** \$40,000 from #001-9999-60050 to #-2101-53100.

3-E Satisfaction of Judgment for Scott Alan Lundy, Case No. 1996 CO 001739 (formerly 96-01739-I-01/02).

3-F Receipt of SWFWMD's Five-Year Water Resource Development Work Program.

3-G Approve the following wire transfers:

Heritage Consultants, Inc	\$ 6,430.29	10/03/05
Heritage Consultants, Inc	\$ 3,347.59	10/11/05
Heritage Consultants, Inc	\$ 5,517.56	10/17/05
Heritage Consultants, Inc	\$ 6,879.99	10/24/05
Preferred Governmental Claims Solutions	\$ 9,543.44	10/04/05
Johns Eastern Company	\$ 10,000.00	10/04/05
Johns Eastern Company	\$ 1,210.85	10/11/05
Johns Eastern Company	\$ 276,279.09	10/18/05

Johns Eastern Company	\$ 238.54	10/25/05
Bank of America	\$ 240,020.38	10/13/05
United States Postal Service	\$ 13,000.00	10/24/05
Citrus County Clerk of Courts	\$ 459,827.82	10/03/05
Citrus County Sheriff's Department	\$ 1,919,035.15	10/03/05
Citrus County Sheriff's Department	\$ 2,132,835.17	10/20/05
Florida Department of Revenue	\$ 1,712.23	10/20/05
Wright Express	\$ 33,559.88	10/27/05
Citrus Land Title (Beverly Hills Office)	\$ 9,749.62	09/14/05
First American Title Insurance Co	\$ 14,909.53	10/06/05
Sweetwater Title Co Inc	\$ 10,000.00	10/07/05
American Title Services of Citrus Co Inc	\$ 16,500.00	10/13/05
Sweetwater Title Co Inc	\$ 16,500.00	10/13/05
Nature Coast Title Co Inc	\$ 10,000.00	10/13/05
American Title Services of Citrus Co Inc	\$ 13,997.74	10/17/05
Brannen Title Insurance Agency LTD	\$ 10,000.00	10/20/05
Title Offices LLC	\$ 16,500.00	10/20/05
Manatee Title Company Inc	\$ 16,500.00	10/28/05

3-H Acceptance of the completed Landfill Expansion Phase II Project and the release of retainage to Advance Construction Services, Inc., for \$556,364.84.

3-I Releases of Liens for special assessments that had been paid in full: Resolution No. 2000-135 for Lucien Dion, Alternate Key (AK) No. 1035431 (OB), and Resolution No. 2003-158 for Fortune Capital Funding, AK No. 1872651 (3E).

3-J An attorney/client session set for December 6, 2005, at 10:00 A.M. at the Citrus County Courthouse, 110 North Apopka Avenue in Inverness to discuss the case styled Vester T. Hughes, Jr., as a Personal Representative of the W.W. Caruth, Jr. Estate vs. Citrus County, Florida, a political subdivision of the State of Florida.

3-K Rate Agreement with BayCare Inverness for drug counseling services and/or assessments beginning October 1, 2005, and ending September 30, 2006.

3-L Rate Agreement with The Centers for drug counseling services and/or assessments beginning October 1, 2005, and ending September 30, 2006.

3-M County Administrator's request to attend the 20th Annual Governor's Hurricane Conference to be held May 10 through 12, 2006, in Fort Lauderdale.

3-N A request by The Friends of Fort Cooper State Park to waive \$116.58 in rental fees for use of the Citrus County Auditorium on April 22, 2006, for their Aqua Fair Earth Day Celebration.

3-O The 2006 scheduled Board meeting dates and agenda deadlines.

3-P Use of Facility Agreement with the Citrus County School Board for the Citrus County 4-H Organization to use the Lecanto Middle School Cafeteria and ten classrooms on February 4, 2006, for the 4-H Youth County Events Public Speaking Demonstration Program.

3-Q Preventative Maintenance Agreement with Team Equipment, Inc., for servicing Fire Rescue's 27 systems of HURST Rescue Tools for two years at \$375 per system for the first year and \$400 per system for the second year.

3-R Change Order No. 2, Purchase Order No. 56581, to the Standard Form of Agreement between Owner and Contractor with Winkel Construction, Inc., for \$59,492 to renovate the Homosassa Fire Station No. 91.

3-S Lighting Service Application/Agreement with Progress Energy for the installation of a pole and streetlight in the parking lot area of the Holder Community Building.

3-T **(1)** A resolution relating to the Halls River Road Wastewater Special Assessment Area (2004) scheduling the time and place of the public hearing of said project, amending Resolution No. 2004-210 to include the residents of South Charles Albert Point, West Lenz Lane, South Cox Point, and the West Wind Village Retirement Community in the construction of the wastewater facilities in the subject assessment area, and determining to use the uniform method for the levy, collection, and enforcement of non-ad valorem assessments against the properties specifically benefited by the improvements, and **(2)** a public hearing set on December 20, 2005, at 3:00 P.M. for the purpose of hearing public comment on the utilization of the uniform method of collecting the non-ad valorem assessment. **RESOLUTION NO. 2005-274**

3-U Amendment to Service & Equipment Agreement between Citrus County Library System and Sprint-Florida, Inc., to provide 3MB telecommunications services coterminous with original contract to expire June 30, 2008, resulting in an increase in additional recurring service costs of \$108.

3-V Software License and Services Agreement with RouteMatch Software, Inc., for the perpetual use of software for Citrus County Transit routing, billing, and tracking of transportation clients, and for professional services and customer support for a twelve-month period for \$80,245, including the County cash match beginning upon execution of both parties.

3-W "In Home Respite Services - III E, November 1, 2005 - December 31, 2005, Rate Agreement" with Family Life Care to provide services under the Title III-E Family Caregiver Program beginning November 1, 2005, and ending December 31, 2005, effective upon incorporation of Amendment No. 1 for \$17,524 in additional funding from the Mid-Florida Area Agency on Aging.

3-X **(1)** Community Support Services Division (CSSD) to apply for funding from the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) for fund year 2004/2005 that would cover

Section 5310 Capital beginning October 1, 2006, and ending December 31, 2007, and **(2)** a resolution authorizing the application and execution of a contract for funding of a Section 5310, grant application with the FDOT, and authorizing signatories for such instruments.

RESOLUTION NO. 2005-275

3-Y "Community Based Private Pay (HOPE) Program Contract Amendment No. 1 - 2005" with A+ NurseTemps to provide homemaking, personal care, and respite services to residents under the HOPE (Private Pay) Program to expire December 31, 2005.

3-Z "In Home Services- HOPE Program, January 1, 2006 through June 30, 2006, Rate Agreement" with A+ NurseTemps to provide homemaking, personal care, and respite services to residents under the HOPE (Private Pay) Program beginning January 1, 2006, and ending June 30, 2006.

3-AA Order Agreement No. 00640.40137 with Lanier for service on the Lanier LD 135 Digital Copier located in the Aquatic Services Division beginning November 15, 2005, and ending September 30, 2006, for \$.0090000 per copy.

3-BB **(1)** Waste Disposal Account Agreement with Beverly Hills Waste Management Corporation establishing a monthly charge agreement for disposal fees up to \$24,500, and **(2)** termination of the Waste Disposal Account Agreement with Beverly Hills Waste Management Corporation dated August 10, 2004.

3-CC Reappointment of Walter Pruss to a District 3 regular member position, and Miles Blodgett to a District 5 regular member position on the Planning and Development Review Board (PDRB) for terms that would expire on November 30, 2008.

3-DD Cooperative Funding Agreement with SWFWMD for Citrus County - Watershed Management Plan Topographic Mapping (L470) for \$246,962.50 to support engineering, hydrologic, and environment studies.

3-EE Medicaid Waiver Services Agreement with the State of Florida, Agency for Persons with Disabilities for transportation services beginning November 1, 2005, and ending October 31, 2008, at the rate listed in the Transportation Rate by Trips chart.

3-FF **(1)** Request from the CSSD to apply for funding under the FDOT for fund year 2006/2007 to cover Section 5311 Operations beginning October 1, 2006, and ending December 31, 2007, and **(2)** a resolution authorizing the application and execution of a contract for funding of a Section 5311 grant application with the FDOT, and authorizing the signatories for such instruments.

RESOLUTION NO. 2005-276

3-GG Appointment of Walter C. Averill, M.D. to a technical member position on the Citrus County Water and Wastewater Authority Board for a term that would expire on November 1, 2006.

3-HH Left blank intentionally.

3-II Left blank intentionally.

3-JJ Section 8 Management Assessment Program (SEMAP) Certification for 2005.

3-KK Certificate of Substantial Completion by Pave-Rite, Inc., for the pavilion portion of the Bicentennial Park Phase I improvements.

3-LL Replat/Substantially Similar Plat of Gregory Subdivision and that the plat be recorded in the public records.

3-MM Rate Agreement with Act II Complex, Inc., for drug counseling services and/or assessments beginning October 1, 2005, and ending September 30, 2006.

3-NN **(1)** Waste Disposal Account Agreement with FDS Disposal, Inc., establishing a monthly charge agreement for disposal fees up to \$108,000, **(2)** termination of the Waste Disposal Account Agreement with FDS Disposal, Inc., dated March 22, 2005, and **(3)** acceptance of Letter of Credit No. 8037093971-55 for \$86,000.

3-OO A public hearing set on December 6, 2005, at 1:50 P.M. in the Citrus County Courthouse, 110 North Apopka Avenue in Inverness to consider an amendment to the Citrus County Building Regulations – Chapter 18 – Citrus County Code.

3-PP A public hearing set on December 20, 2005, at 3:15 P.M. in the Citrus County Courthouse, 110 North Apopka Avenue in Inverness for the purpose of adopting an annual assessment resolution pursuant to Chapter 197 of the Florida Statutes and to hear public comment on the utilization of the uniform method for collecting the non-ad valorem assessment for the following projects:

1. Homosassa Wastewater Facility – Phase 5 (Blue Water Forest and Spring Cove Road)
2. Homosassa Wastewater Facility – Phase 6 (Riverview Mobile Estates and Camp-N-Water Campground)
3. Homosassa Southfork Water Improvement Project – Phase 4
4. US 19 and Periwinkle Sewer Service Expansion Project – Phase 1
5. Inverness Village Unit 4 Project

3-QQ Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund of the State of Florida Temporary Easement Number 31510 and Easement Number 31356 for realignment and construction of the County Road (CR) 486 roadway, utility, and associated storm water facilities.

3-RR A resolution setting a public hearing on December 6, 2005, at 3:00 P.M. for Street Vacation SV-05-02 as petitioned by O.C. Cook. **RESOLUTION NO. 2005-277**

3-SS Modification of Agreement (05EA-5K-05-19-01-008) with the Florida Department of Community Affairs (DCA) for the 2005-2006 Low Income Home Energy Assistance Agreement for \$184,661.

3-TT (1) Utility Easement with the City of Crystal River for the purpose of clearing, excavating, constructing and extending, inspecting, improving, repairing and maintaining a public sanitary sewer main to transport sewage to a lift station from West Smokey Lane to the vicinity of West State Road (SR) 44 (West Fort Island Trail), and (2) that the easement be recorded in the public records.

3-UU "Public Library Association (PLA) Grow Your Own @ Your Library® Application" for \$8,000 to assist public library staff members working toward a library degree by awarding funds to the employing public library for reimbursement and tuition costs.

3-VV A public workshop set on December 6, 2005, at 3:10 P.M., and a public hearing set on January 10, 2006, at the Citrus County Courthouse, 110 North Apopka Avenue in Inverness to consider amendments to the Land Development Code (LDC) Ordinance No. 90-14, Application No. AA-05-16, James Eyster for Citrus Recreational Marina, Inc.

3-WW Master License Agreement with Environmental Systems Research Institute, Inc., (ESRI) for the use of ESRI software identified in Exhibit 1 according to the general license terms and conditions of the agreement.

3-XX Indemnity Agreement with Vander Valk, Inc., to install a six inch sanitary force main crossing Fishbowl Drive by directional bore method to provide sanitary sewer service to the Tradewinds Fishing Resort.

3-YY Settlement for \$20,385 as to claims for expert fees and costs for Parcels 124 and 767 of the CR 486 Project.

3-ZZ Settlement for \$20,234.50 as to claims for expert fees and costs for Parcels 108 and 729, Parcels 112 and 739, Parcels 114 and 743, Parcels 118 and 724, Parcels 132 and 778, and Parcels 709 and 808 of the CR 486 Project.

3-BBB Bid/RFP (Request for Proposal) award recommendations: (1) **Bid No. 025-06, Library Services Division, Homosassa Library Construction:** to Greg Construction Company at \$3,719,578 as the lowest bidder, and that the contract be executed by the Chairman upon receipt and approval from the County Attorney's office; (2) **RFP No. 027-06, Office of Management and Budget, Homosassa Library Construction Financing:** to Mercantile Bank at a fixed interest rate of 3.65 percent on a loan amount up to \$3,000,000 for financing the Homosassa Library Construction, and fees and expenses including closing costs not to exceed \$2,500; (3) **Bid No. 036-05, Parks and Recreation Division, Pool Chemicals:** renewing the current bid with Davis Supply, Inc., at the adjusted cost of \$1.10 per gallon for sodium hypochlorite; (4) **Piggyback Bid Request, Utilities Division, Generator Maintenance:** allowing the division to piggyback the Florida Department of Corrections Bid No. ITB-03-DC-7514/Contract No. C2113, with Ring Power Systems for the maintenance of all the emergency power generators until December 2008.

3-AAA **"CITRUS COUNTY GARY BURGHOFF RADAR O'REILLY DAY"**

Commissioner Phillips advised that Gary Burghoff, who played Radar O'Reilly on the hit show M*A*S*H*, would be at Felburn Park on the Cross Florida Greenway, November 19, 2005, to meet and greet the citizens.

Upon motion by Commissioner Phillips, seconded by Commissioner Fowler, and carried unanimously, the Board approved and authorized all Commissioners to execute a proclamation declaring November 19, 2005, "Citrus County Gary Burghoff (Radar O'Reilly) Day".

6- **COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT**

6-B **2007 COOPERATIVE FUNDING GRANT APPLICATIONS**

Mr. Wesch stated that staff had compiled 11 projects for the annual ranking of projects to procure cooperative grant funding through SWFWMD. He explained that after Board consideration and discussion, staff would request a motion to approve the ranking and the transmittal of the application to SWFWMD.

Upon motion by Commissioner Fowler, seconded by Commissioner Valentino, and carried unanimously, the Board approved staff's recommendation to rank the 2007 Cooperative Funding Grant Application projects for submittal to the Southwest Florida Water Management District as follows:

1. Citrus County Florida Yards & Neighborhoods
2. Citrus County Watershed Management Plan
3. Meadowcrest Reclaim Water Storage Project
4. Homosassa Regional Wastewater Project - Phase 4
5. Chassahowitzka Spring Restoration
6. Brentwood Reclaim Water Upgrade Project
7. Sandpiper Drive Water Quality Improvements
8. North Apopka Boat Ramp Water Quality Improvements
9. Cove Camp Boat Ramp Water Quality Improvements
10. Lockshire Park Boat Ramp Water Quality Improvements
11. Olive Lane Boat Ramp Water Quality Improvements

Prior to the vote, Mr. Wesch replied to Commissioner Bartell's question regarding the transmittal of the projects by stating that the projects would be separated by basin board before submittal to SWFWMD.

6-C **MEDICAID NON-EMERGENCY TRANSPORTATION AMENDMENT NO. 1**

Mr. Wesch explained that this issue was raised by Commissioner Valentino to the Legislative Delegation regarding the state's intent to reduce the Medicaid non-emergency transport funding to the County. He advised that the reduction would be approximately eight percent of revenue over last year or \$4,500 per month for the next eight months. He added that he wanted the Board and the public to understand that if the transportation needs could not be met next year this could be the reason.

Commissioner Valentino gave a brief explanation of the Medicaid issue, her discussion with the Legislative Delegation, effects to the citizens, actions she had taken, and so on.

Upon motion by Commissioner Fowler, seconded by Commissioner Damato, and carried unanimously, the Board approved and authorized the Chairman to execute the State of Florida, Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged Medicaid Non-Emergency Transportation (NET) Program Subcontracted Transportation Provider Agreement Amendment No. 1 to revise the allocation for NET transportation and the starting and ending dates of the agreement in order for the NET program agreements to run concurrently with the state fiscal year beginning November 1, 2005, and ending June 30, 2006.

7- **COMMISSIONER GARY BARTELL, CHAIRMAN**

7-A **CITRUS COUNTY WATER AND WASTEWATER AUTHORITY BOARD**

The Chairman announced a vacancy for an alternate member position on the Citrus County Water and Wastewater Authority Board for an unexpired term that would expire on October 31, 2007.

2-B **EPILEPSY AWARENESS MONTH**

Upon motion by Commissioner Phillips, seconded by Commissioner Damato, and carried unanimously, the Board approved and authorized all Commissioners to execute a proclamation declaring the month of November 2005 "Epilepsy Awareness Month" in Citrus County.

The Chairman recessed the meeting and reconvened at 1:40 P.M.
--

6-A **CHASSAHOWITZKA WATER AND WASTEWATER PROJECT**

Mr. Wesch gave a brief history of the project, which was initiated based on an environmental study performed by professors from the University of South Florida (USF) who indicated that there was a health concern regarding the drinking water supply drawn from the Chassahowitzka River. He explained that there were 599 ERUs (equivalent residential units) for this project after the County allowed for the combining of developable lots. He stated that staff received public input from the public workshop in the Chassahowitzka area regarding the project and the health concerns. He advised that following the presentation and public comment, it would be necessary for the Board to set a public hearing on December 20, 2005, at 3:15 P.M., at the Citrus County Courthouse, 110 North Apopka Avenue in Inverness, for adopting the annual assessment resolution pursuant to Chapter 197 of the Florida Statutes and to authorize staff to advertise said public hearing. He further explained that the County had received only one bid for this project because of the general condition of the building industry in Florida and the complexity of the project.

Quincy D. Wylupek, Engineering Project Manager, presented the PowerPoint presentation titled "Chassahowitzka Water/Sewer System Project Update" that had been presented to the Chassahowitzka residents at the public workshop (filed with the Clerk's

agenda). He stated that this project started as a health concern approximately five years ago, and that USF was contracted to perform the study, which indicated that the septic systems in the Chassahowitzka area were affecting the aquifer, the drinking water wells, and the Chassahowitzka Spring and River. He explained that the purpose of the project was to implement corrective actions to address the findings of the study, to provide safe drinking water, and a wastewater collection system to the residents. He reviewed the project progression as follows: the project was advertised statewide and a single bid of \$11,100,000 was received (2.5 times higher than the original engineer's opinion of construction costs); a revised construction cost of \$10,244,000 was received after staff consulted with the contractor; grants were applied for the project; there was a potential to lose the single bid if the project was not awarded by December 2005; and construction was planned to be started in February 2006 and completion by the summer of 2007.

He then reviewed the special assessment and additional costs for the project as follows:

- ‡ Total project construction cost - \$10,244,000
- ‡ Total grant funding by various agencies - \$4,053,000
- ‡ Total project unfunded cost - \$6,191,000
- ‡ Total number of ERUs - 599
- ‡ Per ERU assessment for water and wastewater - \$10,366
- ‡ 10-Year assessment period - approximately \$498 for water/\$974 for wastewater - totaling \$14,720 or \$123 per month
- ‡ 20-Year assessment period - approximately \$295 for water/\$616 for wastewater - totaling \$18,220 or \$69 per month
- ‡ \$3,760 - connection costs plus the additional cost for the homeowner to hire a plumber to abandon the septic system and connect the residence to the water and wastewater systems

Commissioner Valentino expressed concerns with the public health and safety of the citizens and the protection of the waterways, and asked if the responsibility could go back to the DEP (Department of Environmental Protection) and the Health Department. Mr. Battista discussed a 1999 lawsuit between a group of residents in the Chassahowitzka area, the DEP, and the Florida Department of Health (DOH). He explained that the lawsuit continued for several years, that there were regulatory and statutory requirements for the DEP and the DOH to take care of those type issues, and that a Stipulated Final Judgment occurred in January 2004. He further explained that the verbiage in the judgment alleged that the DEP had given the County \$1 million toward the project, that they had issued a permit for construction of the project, and that they expected the County to be finished within two years. Mr. Wesch addressed Commissioner Valentino's questions regarding the assessment by stating that if the County moved forward today, the assessment would be placed on the annual tax bill, failure to pay that assessment would be identical to failing to pay the ad valorem property taxes, and the same legal remedies would apply.

Commissioner Valentino spoke about the citizens being aware of the issues for many years, the residents not taking responsibility to correct the problems, and questioned

why the citizens were not told to upgrade their systems when the violations were found. Mr. Wesch stated that part of the difficulty was finding and identifying the problem and then finding a solution to effectuate a lower cost fix. He added that regulation of septic tanks was solely the responsibility of the state, and the County became involved after the USF study indicated a health concern with regard to the Chassahowitzka River. He reviewed the state grant money and loans that staff had been able to obtain for this project in order to buy down the cost. He replied to Commissioner Valentino's question regarding the grant money by stating the bulk of the \$4 million was obtained for the sewer system and that the money could not be shifted over to the water system. He added that the County would have to say "no thanks" to the sewer procurement and then reapply for the water system.

Commissioner Damato stated that the Chassahowitzka River was an artificial waterfront community built prior to regulations. He added that there were very few homes fronting directly on the river. He advised that the low to middle-income residents could not afford the water and sewer project as proposed, and this type of community would be limited from future redevelopment and revitalization opportunities. He proposed the following remedies: **(1)** install a central community water system using allowable grant funding, which would solve the public health issue, and **(2)** abandon the central sewer component and institute inspections and evaluations of every septic system in the project area, similar to a model used many years ago on the Rainbow River in Dunnellon. He added that all non-performing septic systems should be given a timeframe to upgrade to the state approved standards of aerobic and performance based systems, designed and installed so that they could be upgraded into a low-pressure wastewater collection system in the future, and funded with additional grants and community assessments. He requested that staff confirm with the state and the water management district whether the grant funding would be allowed to upgrade the septic systems of Chassahowitzka. He said that those possible solutions might be the best "out of the box" ways to solve the drinking water health issues and the sewerage disposal problems without the high initial installation cost of gravity sanitary sewers, the repair of roads and drainage swales, and the monthly costs of wastewater assessments and fees to the citizens.

Commissioner Phillips stated that the DEP and the DOH were the regulatory authority for the issues in Chassahowitzka; however, like many other state issues local government must assume their responsibilities. She said that she would never willingly impose an assessment on citizens when she knew they could not afford it and they could lose their homes. She agreed with Commissioner Damato regarding the septic systems and that each property owner was responsible for a properly functioning septic system. She added that the DOH had the statutory responsibility to ensure that residents in coastal sensitive areas had septic systems that were appropriate for that area. She suggested that the Board contact the DEP and the DOH and request that they assume their roles and fulfill their responsibilities, and ask them if the grant money could be applied to individual septic systems. She expressed concern with the project not being affordable and that the County could only obtain one bid.

Commissioner Fowler stated that he thought that the County needed a master plan for water and sewer in order to solve the environmental problems that occurred over the years. He suggested that assessment districts be created in environmentally sensitive areas so that the County could provide water and sewer to those areas in order to get the septic tanks offline. He added that everyone in that area would share in the cost up to a point and the remainder of the cost would be paid from the General Fund, with the understanding that everyone in the County would benefit from solving those problems.

Commissioner Bartell stated that the lawsuit had identified many lots that were too small to install upgraded septic systems and the cost to bring those systems to state standards would cost approximately \$4,500, which was close to the cost of a central sewer system. He added that no one wanted the cost to be less than he did, and that he was disappointed with the situation. He mentioned comments made at the town hall meeting that needed to be verified such as, a challenge to the USF study; however, nothing had been provided to prove that information. He agreed that the state was shifting this problem to local government yet when a public health safety issue was identified, the County needed to address the problem. He clarified that the \$1 million that Mr. Battista mentioned did not come from the DEP, that it was awarded by the legislature in 2000 and passed through the DEP. He explained that the project had been delayed because of the lack of funding and the need to accumulate grants and low interest loans. He added that if the County allowed the money to go back to the state, the credibility would be lost, and the state would not allow further funding for water and sewer projects.

He concurred with Mr. Wesch's comments that the \$750,000 grant was specific for water, and the remaining grant money came from a water quality trust fund strictly for wastewater; therefore, the County would jeopardize \$3.25 million if they did not move forward. He commented that the Board would do everything they could to buy down the cost; however, they could not ignore a serious public safety issue unless someone could bring facts forward and identify that there was no problem. He mentioned the other opportunities that the County had with other elected officials and agencies. He advised that the motion today would only set the public hearing, and that the actual calculations for the assessment would not happen until the end of the project. He reiterated that there was a possibility of losing those grants and future grants, the importance of protecting this waterway, working together as a community, and so on.

Mr. Wesch replied to Commissioner Valentino's question regarding the grant money by stating that approximately \$90,000 was for the water side of the project and \$288,000 was for the sewer side of the project, that the County would not be responsible for repaying the state for money expended to date, and that approximately \$3.45 million would go back to the state if the project did not move forward. He added that the state operation was on a reimbursement basis and the money was earmarked in Tallahassee for this project.

Commissioner Bartell questioned if there were grant dollars available for upgrading septic systems. He explained that the cost involved to bring a septic system to the new standards was very high. Mr. Wesch stated that staff would try to get funds

shifted on behalf of the Board, if so directed. He added that up until now staff had not proceeded along those lines and had not explored those areas.

Commissioner Damato stated that his proposal was based upon the state participating in this project as a model program. He commented that the state might need to approve a master variance to install the septic systems because the lots might not meet certain setback requirements. He added that there were some constraints to his proposal and it would take a lot of effort to proceed.

Commissioners continued to discuss the state being involved in the project, the County not having the regulatory authority for septic tanks, the DOH allowing variances for septic tanks, the County assisting the DEP and the DOH with the issues, not supporting the assessment, everyone having a stake in this problem, some of the funds for the water and sewer project coming from the General Fund, needing to demonstrate how everyone would benefit from a master plan, finding a way to pay for those projects, scheduling a time to discuss a master plan in the future, protecting our environment, and so on.

A motion was made by Commissioner Phillips and seconded by Commissioner Fowler to approve and authorize the Chairman to execute a letter to the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) and the Department of Health (DOH) presenting Commissioner Damato's recommendations as a solution to the Chassahowitzka area, outlining the DEP's and the DOH's regulatory responsibilities to protect ground and surface water, requesting that the DEP and the DOH administratively and financially participate in this solution, and requesting that the Board be allowed to use some of the dollars appropriated by the legislature to address the sewer issues.

Commissioner Phillips replied to Commissioner Bartell's question regarding the public hearing by stating that she would include setting the public hearing; however, she wanted it on the record that she would not support adopting an assessment she knew would result in people losing their homes. Commissioner Bartell stated that setting the public hearing would preserve the ability to make a decision to move forward on the project. He requested that a representative from the DEP and the DOH be at the December 20, 2005, public hearing to discuss the matter.

Discussion ensued regarding staff asking the DEP if the grant funding could be used for septic system upgrades, having other solutions available, not losing the funding, assuming the state's responsibilities, the need for the DEP and the DOH to get involved, asking the legislative delegation to research how the funds must be used, and so on.

Several citizens spoke regarding the increase in the assessment, the need for sewers not septic tanks, the possibility of a future lawsuit, the residents outside of Chassahowitzka not wanting to pay for their water and sewer, funding the water project with funds that were available, the use of a low pressure sewer system to be bid at a later time, the consensus of the Chassahowitzka residents was that the current terms and conditions were unacceptable, the community working together with staff on the problem, County staff installing the water lines, assessing everyone for a Countywide system,

downsizing the district, and so on. (Clerk's note: a petition to stop the current proposal for the Chassahowitzka Water and Wastewater Project was presented during the public portion of the meeting and filed with the Clerk's agenda).

Mr. Wesch addressed the downsizing issue and explained that the district boundaries were drawn taking into account traditional flows, so even though someone might not live on the river, their septic tank could have an impact. He added that if the number of ERUs were reduced, the cost of the project might not drop proportionately. Commissioner Bartell stated that phasing in the outside areas later and using the grant money for the areas on the river was an idea with merit and should be reviewed by staff. Mr. Wesch advised that downsizing the district would have to be addressed with the appropriate authorities and that staff would research this option.

Commissioner Phillips restated the motion. Commissioner Fowler stated that the average citizen would rather pay an assessment to clean up the water systems than to pay an assessment for the landfill.

Commissioner Valentino requested that staff research the number of commercial properties that were in the Chassahowitzka area.

The Chairman called a question on the motion and the motion carried unanimously.

A motion was made by Commissioner Bartell and seconded by Commissioner Damato to set a public hearing on December 20, 2005, at 3:15 P.M. in the Citrus County Courthouse, 110 North Apopka Avenue in Inverness for the purpose of adopting an annual assessment resolution pursuant to Chapter 197 Florida Statutes, to hear public comment on the utilization of the uniform method for collecting the non-ad valorem assessment, and set a cut-off date for the Permanent Reassignment of Development Rights Applications for the Chassahowitzka Water and Wastewater Project.

Commissioner Damato stated that the County could not lose the grant funding and hopefully, the Board would have the answers from the state and know how to proceed by the December 6 meeting.

Commissioner Phillips stated that she appreciated the reason for setting the hearing, but believed it would give the DEP and the DOH the perception that if they did not help, the Board would move forward with an assessment anyway. She reiterated that even if she supported this motion, she would not support the \$10,000 assessment.

Commissioner Bartell advised that no one wanted to commit to that high assessment; however, the Board needed to remember that there would be 18 months before the calculations would be done on the assessment. He reiterated that if the Board did not set the public hearing today, the grants would be gone and the project would end. Commissioner Fowler stated that he would not support a \$10,000 assessment either, but

he was prepared for the County to set a reasonable assessment, provide a sewer system, and find a way to pay for the rest of the cost.

Commissioner Bartell explained to Jim Bennett that the Board was not voting on the contract bid at this meeting. Jack Calbeck suggested that the County hire a lobbyist to go to Tallahassee to try to acquire more grant money, and if the project ended because of lack of funding, the County should place a building moratorium on all property on the watershed of the Chassahowitzka River.

The Chairman called a question on the motion. Motion carried. Voting aye: Commissioners Bartell, Fowler, and Damato. Voting nay: Commissioners Phillips and Valentino.

The Chairman recessed the meeting and reconvened at 4:08 P.M.

2-C ***ANALYSIS OF SPRINGS PROTECTION PROVISION***

Gary Maidhof, Department of Development Services (DDS) Director, stated that this was a follow up to a previous presentation from the DCA and their consultants regarding an analysis of the Springs Protection Provisions proposed within the Comprehensive Plan (COMP).

Richard Deadman, DCA Project Manager, gave a brief summary of the last presentation and direction from the Board on developing COMP amendments and LDC springs protection standards. He stated that the DCA's effort had dovetailed very well with the County's EAR (Evaluation and Appraisal Report), and that he would be working with Mr. Maidhof, staff, and the Board in the future to continue to maximize springs protection and to assist the Board in land use decisions. He introduced Harley Means, a professional geologist with the Florida Geological Survey, Bureau of the DEP, who would discuss issues the DCA addressed in their recommendations.

Mr. Means displayed a map illustrating that nearly the entire County provided water to its three first magnitude springs, which meant that almost anything done on the land surface affected the ground water, and that it could take five, ten, or twenty years for the water to travel and vent out through the springs. He advised that Citrus County's first magnitude springs had some of the lower value of nutrients in the state, however, the background levels of nutrients in the aquifer system that provided water to the springs was not good, and that applying some of the springs protection management techniques would avoid future springs and groundwater related issues.

He explained to Commissioner Damato that because of humans, the assumption that water was pure at its origination point was no longer true; however, in areas such as the Ocala National Forest, the water was still clean. He commented that he was sure there were some pristine waters in Citrus County, and he would be happy to obtain the water quality information. He mentioned that the Florida Geological Survey, the DEP, and the "Springs Initiative" monitored the water quality in all of the first magnitude springs four times a year in order to determine what was affecting the springs, how they were

changing, and so on. He reported that nutrients were a key pollutant and there were other problems such as fecal coli form; however, water pollution was directly tied to land use decisions. He added that septic systems did not work in Florida because the state was mostly limestone, which was highly porous, so it was very susceptible to pollution of not only springs, but also of groundwater and drinking water.

He affirmed Commissioner Phillips' statement that central sewer systems without treatment of the effluent would absolutely pollute the water. He mentioned that there was proof that Lake City and Tallahassee spray fields directly contributed contaminants to major springs. Discussion ensued regarding upgrading central sewer systems for reuse, building new central water systems with up to date treatment remedies, reducing the amount of pollutants going into the ground, and so on.

Commissioner Phillips questioned if nutrients from golf courses was the major pollutant into the springs, and Mr. Means replied that each springshed was different and that not only golf courses, but also fertilizers applied to lawns and agricultural nutrients contributed to the pollution. He answered her question regarding transport time for pollutants going into the spring by stating that he did not provide that information because it would involve the utilization of a model (computer program with data), and travel models were not always useful for protection of the springs. He added that he provided information on springsheds by developing a map based upon the topography of the water surface and that the map was only accurate for the date utilized, but it showed that most of this County fed water to one of the major springs.

Mr. Deadman advised that central wastewater facilities could cause problems, but they could treat the wastewater to a much higher level than septic tanks; however, it would take an extremely large area to spray the wastewater onto alternate sites. He added that there were recommendations for golf course standards, but they would not preclude development, only set different standards. He confirmed for Commissioner Damato that the buffering ability would not limit the capacity to reduce a waterfront setback if other engineering practices were performed.

Gail Easley of Gail Easley Company stated that she had provided input to the County's consultant who would be working on revisions to the LDC, and had prepared and provided a data and analysis report and land development regulations for springs protection to establish regulating policies in the County's COMP amendment changes. She reported three things involved in the COMP amendment regarding the protection of springs, **(1)** EAR-based amendment, which already contained important policies to protect springs, **(2)** proposed future amendment to the COMP, and **(3)** the land development regulations. She detailed the following additional components to the protection of springs: best management practices for storm water runoff; practices for wastewater treatment; limitations on the amount and location of imperious surfaces for development; the amount of open space required for development; best management practices for landscaping; provision for allowing conservation clustered development; and golf course standards.

Mr. Maidhof responded to Commissioner Phillips' question regarding the process involved for springs' protection recommendations of the DCA by stating that the first step would be to receive direction from the Board as to the proposals. He said that the EAR would be presented to the Board in 2006 and forwarded to the DCA for approval with the public hearing process to follow. He mentioned that some of the recommendations pointed out by Ms. Easley were more detailed and would not be part of the EAR, but would be presented to the Board in a future cycle amendment. He said at the conclusion, there would be goals, objectives, and policies within the COMP that would provide guidance to the consultants and staff in developing the implementing rules within the LDC, which would also go through a public hearing process. He mentioned that in many cases, it would guide new development and in others, it might be a retrofit type situation, such as performance-based septic systems. He suggested that the DOH speak to the Board about the current research done on performance-based systems, estimated costs, and programs available to assist lower income or existing homeowners in meeting the demands. He added that the County was fortunate to have funding provided by the DCA and Ms. Easley's firm to provide extensive technical analysis, guidance, and data; however, from this point forward, staff would be proposing policies to the Board through the public hearing process.

Ms. Easley and Mr. Maidhof confirmed Commissioner Phillips' statement that the recommendations today would not be part of the EAR and that the other issues would be brought forward as text amendments. Commissioner Phillips emphasized that the public should be aware of the Board's actions because those residents who would be required to install a performance-based system should know everything that was involved.

Ms. Easley advised that the proposed DCA modifications to the County's COMP Springs Protection Objectives and Policies was distinguished by double underlining, and that requirements for the performance-based systems and best management practices for storm water, landscaping, and golf courses were incorporated.

The Commissioners expressed no concerns with the DCA's proposal and agreed that the DOH should make a presentation to the Board and the public. Mr. Maidhof confirmed that he would arrange for the presentation with possibly a model of a performance-based system. He pointed out that the Board recently approved to continue or move forward with many of the things discussed today in the Cooperative Funding Grants; for example, cleaning up sediment caused by nutrients in the Chassahowitzka Springs; funding the Florida Yards and Neighborhood Program, which addressed the fertilizer issue, and moving forward with reclamation on wastewater projects. (4:42 P.M.)

2-D **2005 SMALL SCALE AMENDMENT - CPA/AA/PDO-05-12, LEEDS HOLDING SOUTHEAST, INC. (A/K/A ALLEN PLANTATION)**

Assistant County Attorney Michele Lieberman polled the Board for ex parte communications and all Commissioners disclosed that there had been no previous communications regarding this application. Chuck Dixon, Community Development Division (CDD) Director, made brief comments about the amendment and advised that a

letter was included in the backup materials from Attorney Jim Neal notifying the County of an existing mining operation on Maylen Avenue.

Rhonda Lake, CDD Coordinator, showed a slide presentation and described the property, and Joanna L. Coutu, AICP, Senior Planner, CDD, provided the staff report as follows:

Application Number/Name:	CPA/AA/PDO-05-12, Leeds Holding Southeast, Inc. (a/k/a Allen Plantation)
Land Use:	Generalized Future Land Use Map (GFLUM) and LDC Atlas: From LDR (Low Density Residential District) to MDR (Medium Density Residential District), and a Planned Development Overlay (PDO)
Property Data:	Land Use Change: Section 28, Township 18 South, Range 18 East; further described as Parcels A and B of a descriptive sketch consisting of portions of Parcels 24000, 20000-0020, 20000-0010, and 20000-0030; and a portion of Parcel 33000. Approximately 9.61 acres PDO: Section 28, Township 18 South, Range 18 East; further described as Parcels 24000, 20000-0020, 20000-0010, and 20000-0030, <i>together with</i> Section 33, Township 18 South, Range 18 East; further described as Parcels 44100, 44310, 44210, 44220, 44230, and 44240 in the Lecanto area. Approximately 213.37 acres
Proposed Project:	To develop Allen Plantation, a site with 810-age-restricted residential units with three commercial buildings along CR 491 within the General Commercial (GNC) District
PDRB and Staff Recommendations	Approval with numerous conditions as listed in backup material
Public Hearing	December 6, 2005, at 5:01 P.M.

Mrs. Coutu reported that this application was first submitted in January for approximately 100 more units; however, the revised plan would have a residential density of 3.8 dwelling units per acre; well within the LDC allowable limits. She stated that the open space of 23.5 percent was within the PD (Planned Development) requirements and that the different types of housing units would be townhomes, villas, and estate lots. She advised that this large project had many conditions due to accommodating various divisions within the County, the LDC, and compatibility with the surrounding area. She mentioned that because this was in the Central Ridge area, there were proposals to build subdivisions around this vicinity, central water and sewer was available, and road-widening projects were in place or planned.

She discussed some of the conditions, such as the project must be served by central water and sewer; the project must meet Florida Statute requirements and register as an age-restricted community; it must provide a stub-out to the adjacent commercial area to the south along CR 491 providing for interconnection of future commercial development in that area; the applicant must post an assurance bond for planting trees,

building a bike path and sidewalks; and the homeowners association must maintain utility lines and drainage in the reduced width alleyways.

She mentioned that the traffic study by the County's consultant did not indicate improvements to Horace Allen Street other than turn lanes along CR 491, but the applicant had proposed improvements from CR 491 to the existing pavement on Horace Allen Street. She stated that CDD staff preferred improvements from CR 491 to the rear entrance of the project on Maylen Avenue, and the Engineering Division (ED) recommended paving not only to Maylen Avenue, but also to Sanction Road to provide further interconnection for traffic. She said that the applicant proposed a 25-foot dedication to the County for future road widening of CR 491, which the PDRB supported; however, the ED recommended 75 feet. She added that ED told the applicant that Maylen Avenue would need to be widened in the future up to Horace Allen Street, which would require a 55-foot dedication. She told Commissioner Damato that the traffic study did not support a traffic light at CR 491 and Horace Allen Street.

Attorney Clark Stillwell, representing the applicant, stated that Jay Diceglie with Leeds Holdings Southeast would provide a PowerPoint presentation (copy filed with the Clerk's agenda). He advised that this was a three-part application and that the COMP amendment was on less than ten acres with a net increase of about two units per acre for a total of 40 units. He added that with the discovery of Citrus County, this would probably be the first of a series of similar non DRI PDs.

Mr. Diceglie provided background information about Leeds Holdings Southeast, which specialized in the development of organized and themed communities from 200 to over 1,000 homes. He stated that Allen Plantation was north of Lecanto on CR 491 at West Horace Allen Street, and that the greater area included communities such as Black Diamond, Terra Vista, and Clearview Estates. He described Allen Plantation as a highly amenitized themed community for active 55 and older adults with housing priced in the \$100,000s. He advised that the community would be served by a main entrance on Horace Allen Street, secondary entries on Maylen Avenue and CR 491, and a main boulevard would run east to west through the interior of the site.

He showed illustrations of the four components of the project (commercial, townhomes, 50-foot lots and 80-foot lots), landscaping, courtyards, entryways, guardhouse, project identity signage, clock tower at CR 491, street markers, retention ponds, walking and bike paths, sidewalks, buffers, walking gardens, clubhouse, fitness center, pools, outdoor kitchen, and so on.

He summarized the community's highpoints as follows:

- A well-organized and themed active adult community
- An elaborate amenity package serving as the focal point of the community and greater area
- Open space and reforestation that would exceed County requirements and would provide a more natural setting for the community

- Bike paths and sidewalks throughout the community
- Four distinct residential villages providing a unique and diverse community
- A main entrance located on Horace Allen Street to better accommodate traffic in the area and the future widening of CR 491
- A traditional neighborhood development layout for the townhomes that would promote neighborhood and social interaction between residents

Mr. Stillwell stated that issues of impervious surface ratio (ISR) and open space standards had been resolved, and that the issues today were traffic on CR 491, Horace Allen Street, and Maylen Avenue, and Mr. Neal's letter (regarding the Crystal River Quarries, Inc., mining operation). He discussed the reforestation standards of planting trees at the time the houses were being built rather than at the time of approval of the improvements. He emphasized that property owners should share equally in the impact of road expansion on CR 491, and that his client would dedicate his fair share (one-half of the responsibility). He reiterated that the traffic study did not warrant a light at CR 491 and Horace Allen Street, and that the County's consultant agreed with the conclusions of that study. He stated that his client agreed to bring Horace Allen Street up to County standards, but objected to ED's recommendation to pave the entire road because the traffic study did not warrant it, the number of new peak hour trips was only eight, and there was no rational basis for that requirement. He also stated that the issue on Maylen Avenue was similar, that there would be only 13 new peak hour trips and there was no level of service issue. He added that his client would contribute a fair share of the 25-foot right-of-way dedication on the east side of Maylen Avenue; however, the other property owners should contribute equally to the improved roadway.

He talked about Mr. Neal's letter regarding the active extractive mining operation to the south of the project and specified that the issue would be addressed by placing a warning on the plats, a statement in the covenants and restrictions for the project, and noted in the sales contracts. He mentioned that blasting techniques were so good today that people hardly noticed, and that this was a reputable mining operation with no complaints; however, additional buffering standards would be added to the site plan to address the visibility aspect. He added that the project was in the Planned Service Area (PSA) and was reflective of the County's COMP.

Mr. Stillwell answered Commissioner Damato's questions about a second mining operation (Allen Sand Pit) by stating that the amount of traffic was insignificant west of Maylen Avenue, and he did not see this as particularly onerous. He affirmed that the traffic study showing only eight new trips was based upon the assumption that traffic would exit on CR 491.

Mr. Diceglie responded to Commissioner Damato's question about the slope of the property by stating that the amount of the grade change from the high point to CR 491 could be accommodated and that the greatest slope in the 50-foot lots would be accounted for in the design process.

Discussion ensued regarding the land planning and design of the project, success of the townhome concept, traffic flow to CR 491, the 2010 traffic study showing that this project did not contribute enough traffic to warrant the off-site improvements (recommended by ED) on Horace Allen Street, the reason the developer chose this property for the development, and so on.

The Chairman opened the workshop for public comment and asked if anyone wished to speak in favor. Tim Stevenson stated that he had no problem with the development, but was concerned about the traffic patterns and dangers on Maylen Avenue.

The Chairman then asked if anyone wished to speak in opposition. Mr. Neal, representing Crystal River Quarries, stated that a mining operation mine was adjacent to the property and the owner had significant concerns about the potential impact of mine blasting and the associated activities on this proposed community without an extensive buffering distance and other considerations to reduce the effects. He added that the mine adjoined the subject property on the south and west, that the mine had been in existence for years, and that the area was mostly rural.

Mr. Dixon explained to Commissioner Bartell that there were standards for new mining operations; however, the subject property next to this mine was already designated LDR and would allow for the density proposed, the overall density would not increase, and the applicant did not feel the mine would affect the development. Commissioner Bartell commented that a good neighbor brought this information forward so it should be taken into consideration.

Frank Colitz, president of Crystal River Quarries, responded to Commissioner Fowler's questions about the size and life of the mine by stating that there were approximately 124 acres, which had been extensively mined in some areas. He added that since acquiring the mine in 2003, it was a base rock operation and that blasting would occur after the first of the year. He told Commissioner Damato that he received a report from the previous owner regarding the amount of rock on the property, but he felt the report was inflated.

Discussion continued regarding noise and vibration of the mining operation, lessening the impact of the mining operation, distance separation between mining and residential development, land use designation already on the property, purchasers being notified of the mining operation, the developer should be aware of the mining activity and the impact it could have, and so on.

Mr. Dixon specified that due to the discussion on this issue, he would review the geographical relationship between the mining operation and this proposed project; however, he did not believe there would be an issue regarding the density proposed because it was allowed by the LDR and MDR zoning of the property. He added that the PDO would be beneficial to the County because of the better mix of land uses, better amenities, and better product.

Mr. Stillwell said that he would express the Board's concerns about the mining operation to the developer; however, he pointed out that mining techniques were very advanced today and the vibration was substantially below the Fire Marshall's standards. He suggested that Mr. Colitz notify the property owners when blasting would occur. He indicated that the applicant would address buffering and setbacks on that portion of the project, and that he would draft a standard for the notice to the homeowners.

Mr. Dixon asked the Board to provide direction concerning staff's recommendation that the applicant pave Horace Allen Street to Maylen Avenue. He stated that Horace Allen Street was a gated collector roadway and should be improved to the project entrance on the west. He added that staff had given the applicant a choice of gating individual side streets off the collector roadway or gating the entire project and paving West Horace Allen to Maylen Avenue. Commissioner Phillips said that she thought West Horace Allen Street should be paved all the way through because it would be well traveled and the road should be safe.

Mr. Stillwell stipulated that the internal roadway was not a collector roadway under the COMP and the LDC, that the purpose of the gated community was to provide security and safety, and that the 80-foot width was mandated because of the number of trips. He expressed concern about the costs of paving the road at over \$500,000 a road mile. Mr. Diceglie added that the costs to pave the road would be passed on to the user at approximately \$1,500 to \$2,000 per unit. He specified that initially, the developer had planned the main entrance on CR 491, but the PDRB would not support a collector road at that location; so they agreed to a gated entrance on Horace Allen Street with improvements to the unpaved portion.

Mr. Dixon informed the Board that they could make a decision on this issue at the final public hearing. Discussion ensued about the pros and cons of gated communities, people using Horace Allen Street to Maylen Avenue to reach SR 44, access to the commercial component, and so on. (5:59 P.M.)

The Chairman recessed the meeting and reconvened at 6:13 P.M.

2-E **APPLICATION NO. D-05-03, AVIS CRAIG FOR THE VILLAGES OF CITRUS HILLS, DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT (DRI)**

Jenette B. Collins, AICP, Principal Planner, CDD, gave the following staff report:

Application Number/Name:	D-05-03, Avis Craig for the Villages of Citrus Hills (f/k/a/ Citrus Hills II) DRI
Property Data:	Sections 23, 24, 25, 26, 33, 34, and 35, Township 18 South, Range 18 East, Hernando area 1,977 ± acres
Proposed Project:	Notice of Proposed Change (NOPC) to the DRI approved in Resolution Nos. 98-19, 2000-016, 2000-063, 2000-159, 2001-151, 2002-044, 2003-126, and 2004-083
PDRB and Staff Recommendations	Approval with the revised Master Development Plan

Mrs. Collins stated that the proposal would establish a new Master Plan with changes to Parcel "B" by adjusting phasing schedules and redefining proposed single-family residential and multifamily product types and shifting acreage of recreational and open space, resulting in a decrease of 75 dwelling units and a cumulative decrease of 337 units from the original 1986 approval. She also stated that the proposal would add 45 acres to the recreation and open space area for the project and would result in a 5 percent cumulative traffic reduction for external trips. She requested that the written report and staff's presentation at the November 1 Board meeting be made a part of the record for this hearing. She added that there had been no changes since the public workshop and staff found that this NOPC was not substantial as reviewed in accordance with Section 380.619 of Florida Statutes for DRIs.

Ms. Craig asked that her remarks made at the November 1 Board meeting be made a part of the official record for this hearing and requested that the Board approve the application as recommended by staff and the PDRB.

The Chairman opened the hearing for public comment and asked if anyone wished to speak in favor or opposition. With no public comment, the Chairman closed the public hearing.

Upon motion by Commissioner Valentino, seconded by Commissioner Damato, and carried unanimously, the Board adopted and authorized the Chairman to execute a resolution determining that the proposed changes to the Villages of Citrus Hills Development of Regional Impact described in a Notice of Proposed Change Application (D-05-03) dated August 2005, filed on August 30, 2005, by Hampton Hills does not constitute a substantial deviation from the development orders approved in Resolution Nos. 98-19, 2000-016, 2000-063, 2000-159, 2001-154, 2002-044, 2003-126, and 2004-083.

RESOLUTION NO. 2005-278

2-F **2005 SMALL SCALE AMENDMENT - CPA/AA/PDO-05-19, MCKEAN FOR COLLINS**

Mr. Maidhof read the ordinance preambles into the record, Mrs. Lake displayed a slide of the subject property, and Margaret Beake, AIA, AICP, Senior Planner, CDD, provided the staff report as follows:

Application Number/Name:	CPA/AA/PDO-05-19, Paul Furman of McKean & Associates for Craig K. Collins (Color Country Nursery)
Land Use:	GFLUM: From: MDR to GNC LDC Atlas: From: MDR* (allowing mobile homes) to GNC with a PDO
Property Data:	Section 2, Township 19 South, Range 18 East; further described as Parcels 1A391, Parcel 1A340-0011, Parcel 1A340-0020, and Parcel 1A340-0030, located on the north side of SR 44 at South Easy Street, behind the existing nursery in the Lecanto area. Approximately 5.3 acres of approximately 9.09 acres under ownership

Staff/PDRB Recommendation:	Approval with nine conditions as listed in the backup materials
-----------------------------------	---

Mrs. Beake stated that a previous application (CPA/AA-05-08) was approved by the Board to allow for expansion of the existing Color Country Nursery, and that the applicant submitted this application for a PDO Master Plan to address encroachment concerns into a residential area. She also stated that the applicant proposed to limit use of the subject property to plant/material/equipment storage and greenhouses, and requested that the conditions of approval discussed at the workshop be part of today's record. She reported that there had been no public concerns since the PDO was submitted and conditions of approval were established. She added that there were no concurrency issues and the proposed land use change as limited by the PDO Master Plan and conditions of approval were consistent with the COMP and LDC.

Mr. Furman stated that the applicant had followed the direction of the Board by preparing a Master Plan for the rear 5.3 acres of the Color Country Nursery property and that the staff recommended conditions for approval would provide assurances that this property would only be used as a plant nursery.

The Chairman opened the hearing for public comment and asked if anyone wished to speak in favor or against the request. With no public comment, the Chairman closed the public hearing.

Upon motion by Commissioner Phillips, seconded by Commissioner Fowler, and carried unanimously, the Board adopted and authorized the Chairman to execute (1) an ordinance amending Ordinance No. 89-04 as amended, by revising the Generalized Future Land Use Map on certain parcels of land as presented in the 2005 Small Scale Review, in accordance with CPA/AA/PDO-05-19 (McKean for Collins); and (2) an ordinance amending the Atlas of the Citrus County Land Development Code, Ordinance No. 90-14 as amended, by revising the land use designation on certain parcels of land as presented in the 2005 Small Scale Review in accordance with CPA/AA/PDO-05-19 (McKean for Collins). ORDINANCE NOS. 2005-A40 and 2005-A41, RESPECTIVELY

12- **COUNTY ATTORNEY'S REPORT**

12-A **CRYSTAL RIVER CHRISTMAS PARADE**

Upon motion by Commissioner Fowler, seconded by Commissioner Damato, and carried unanimously, the Board approved a request from the City of Crystal River to temporarily close a portion of County Road 495 (Citrus Avenue) from Crystal Street to US 19 on December 3, 2005, from approximately 4:30 P.M. until 10:00 P.M. for the Crystal River Christmas Parade.

14- **ANY OTHER BUSINESS OR PERSONS WISHING TO ADDRESS THE BOARD**

14-A **AIRBOAT NOISE**

Frank Shepard spoke about health and safety concerns of airboat noise and demanded that the Board do something about the problem or he would consider taking the matter to court. Commissioner Bartell explained that the Board had taken action by

directing the County Attorney to request an opinion from the Attorney General, and when that information was received, it would provide guidance to the Board in addressing the issue. He said that the Board was compassionate, but they must abide by the law.

14-B CHASSAHOWITZKA WATER AND WASTEWATER PROJECT

Dennis Dutcher stated that although he supported the water and sewer project in Chassahowitzka, the assessment would be a burden on the property owners. He suggested that the County help buy down the costs for the residents because it would benefit the residents of Chassahowitzka as well as the economic vitality of the County now and in the future.

14-C LOBBYIST IN TALLAHASSEE

Commissioner Fowler mentioned that he had visited with Bruce Jordon, a lobbyist in Tallahassee, who had provided him a list of grants that had been available in the last 12 months. He suggested that the Board consider hiring a lobbyist in the future who could assist in acquiring grant funds.

The Board discussed the issue as follows: a lobbyist should be hired early in order to be in Tallahassee at the beginning of the legislative session; other people worked in Tallahassee for the County and had obtained over \$4,000,000 in grants this last legislative session; various types of grants and programs that should be reviewed; staff had been successful in seeking grants; the need to have a grant writer due to deadline dates, and so on.

There being no other business to come before the Board, the Chairman adjourned the meeting. (6 41: P.M.)

ATTEST: _____, Clerk _____, Chairman