

The Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida met in regular session on the above date at the Citrus County Courthouse in Inverness.

Present: Vicki Phillips, Chairwoman; Gary Bartell, First Vice-Chairman; Jim Fowler, Second Vice-Chairman; Dennis Damato and Joyce Valentino

Attorney: Robert B. Battista

Administrator: Richard Wm. Wesch

Clerks: Betty Strifler, Clerk; Glenda Brown and Theresa Steelfox, Deputy Clerks

The Chairwoman called the meeting to order, Commissioner Fowler gave the invocation, and Commissioner Bartell led the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

---

3- **CONSENT AGENDA**

***Upon motion by Commissioner Bartell, seconded by Commissioner Damato, and carried unanimously, the Board pulled item 3-FF (Public Hearings - Gas Tax Ordinances), approved the balance of the following consent agenda items, and authorized the Chairwoman to execute all related documents:***

3-A Minutes of the regular meeting held on March 22, 2005.

3-B Payroll registers dated April 26, 2005, for \$578,721.56 and dated April 28, 2005, for \$31,094.69. Accounts Payable register dated May 6, 2005, at \$4,669,492.73.

3-C Budget Resolutions:

RESOLUTION NO. 2005-089

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE TRANSPORTATION OPERATIONS BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2004-05

WHEREAS, on December 16, 2003, through Resolution 2003-295 the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners approved an application for financial assistance under Section 5311 of the Federal Transit Act and a contract to undertake a Public Transportation Service Project with the Florida Department of Transportation; and

WHEREAS, funds are available from the close of the prior year grant that are available to be carried forward; and

WHEREAS, this was not included in the original budget process; therefore, it is necessary for the Board to amend the budget for fiscal year 2004-05; and

WHEREAS, Section 129.06(d), Florida Statutes, provides that the Board may amend its budget by resolution spread upon its minutes to appropriate and expend funds from a source not anticipated in the Board's regular budget; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida as follows:

1. The Board does hereby amend its budget to include the estimated revenues and expenditures listed in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.
2. The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to spread this resolution upon the minutes of the meeting held this date.

ADOPTED, in regular session this 10<sup>th</sup> day of May 2005, by the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners.

ATTEST:

/s/ Betty Strifler

BETTY STRIFLER, CLERK

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS  
OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA

/s/ Vicki Phillips

VICKI PHILLIPS, CHAIRWOMAN

<b>EXHIBIT "A"</b>			
	ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Revenues	159F-400-200	Cash Carry Forward	43,403
		Total Revenues	\$43,403
Expenditures	159F-7204F-54603	Vehicle Maintenance	21,701
	159F-7204F-56208	Fuel and Lubes	21,702
		Total Expenditures	\$43,403

RESOLUTION NO. 2005-090

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE TITLE III C-2 BUDGET FOR FY 2004-05

WHEREAS, Community Support Services will provide meals for Citrus County residents not eligible for grant meal programs; and

WHEREAS, clients fees for meals will offset the cost of the meals; and

WHEREAS, this was not included in the original budget process; therefore, it is necessary for the Board to amend the budget for fiscal year 2004-05; and

WHEREAS, Section 129.06(d), Florida Statutes, provides that the Board may amend its budget by resolution spread upon its minutes to appropriate and expend funds from a source not anticipated in the Board's regular budget; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida as follows:

1. The Board does hereby amend its budget to include the estimated revenues and expenditures listed in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.
2. The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to spread this resolution upon the minutes of the meeting held this date.

ADOPTED, in regular session this 10<sup>th</sup> day of May 2005, by the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners.

ATTEST:

/s/ Betty Strifler

BETTY STRIFLER, CLERK

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS  
OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA

/s/ Vicki Phillips

VICKI PHILLIPS, CHAIRWOMAN

<b>EXHIBIT "A"</b>			
	ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Revenues	182F-346-903	Fees for Meals	3,750
		Total Revenues	\$3,750
Expenditures	182F-5351F-51200	Regular Salaries and Wages	647
	182F-5351F-52100	FICA	50
	182F-5351F-52200	Retirement Contributions	48
	182F-5351F-52400	Worker's Compensation	5

	182F-5351F-54004	Travel - Administration	380
	182F-5351F-54005	Travel - Volunteer	380
	182F-5351F-55237	Fee Based Meal Charges	2,240
		Total Expenditures	\$3,750

RESOLUTION NO. 2005-091

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA, ADOPTING THE STORMWATER RUNOFF BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2004-05

WHEREAS, on April 5, 2005, the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners approved an application for financial assistance with the Southwest Florida Water Management District; and

WHEREAS, the project consists of assessing the stormwater inflow points that contribute untreated stormwater directly in the Lake Tsala Apopka Chain of Lakes; and

WHEREAS, this was not included in the original budget process; therefore, it is necessary for the Board to amend the budget for fiscal year 2004-05; and

WHEREAS, Section 129.06(d), Florida Statutes, provides that the Board may amend its budget by resolution spread upon its minutes to appropriate and expend funds from a source not anticipated in the Board's regular budget; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida as follows:

1. The Board does hereby amend its budget to include the estimated revenues and expenditures listed in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.
2. The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to spread this resolution upon the minutes of the meeting held this date.

ADOPTED, in regular session this 10<sup>th</sup> day of May 2005, by the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners.

ATTEST:

/s/ Betty Strifler

BETTY STRIFLER, CLERK

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS  
OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA

/s/ Vicki Phillips

VICKI PHILLIPS, CHAIRWOMAN

<b>EXHIBIT "A"</b>			
	ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Revenues	340-334-389	Grant	25,000
	340-381-000	Transfer from Other Fund	25,000
		Total Revenues	\$50,000
Expenditures	340-5722-53100	Professional Services	50,000
		Total Expenditures	\$50,000

RESOLUTION NO. 2005-092

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE UTILITIES EXPANSION BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2004-05

WHEREAS, the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners authorized proceeding with the design portion of the Meadowcrest WWTP Expansion; and

WHEREAS, funds for the design and engineering need to be appropriated in the current fiscal year; and

WHEREAS, this was not included in the original budget process; therefore, it is necessary for the Board to amend the budget for fiscal year 2004-05; and

WHEREAS, Section 129.06(d), Florida Statutes, provides that the Board may amend its budget by resolution spread upon its minutes to appropriate and expend funds from a source not anticipated in the Board's regular budget; and  
 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida as follows:

1. The Board does hereby amend its budget to include the estimated revenues and expenditures listed in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.
2. The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to spread this resolution upon the minutes of the meeting held this date.

ADOPTED, in regular session this 10<sup>th</sup> day of May 2005, by the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS  
 OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA

ATTEST:

/s/ Betty Strifler

/s/ Vicki Phillips

BETTY STRIFLER, CLERK

VICKI PHILLIPS, CHAIRWOMAN

<b>EXHIBIT "A"</b>			
	ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Revenues	451-400-200	Cash Carry Forward	\$738,000
Expenditures	451-9600-53100	Professional Services	\$738,000

3-D Budget Transfers: **Animal Services Division:** \$500 from #001-5106-53412 to #53400. **Retired Senior and Volunteer Program (RSVP):** \$1,054 from #162F-5379F-54500 to #55107. **Chassahowitzka River Campground:** \$500 from #108-6150-54600 with \$250 to #55100 and \$250 to #55200, and \$300 from #56400 to #55205. **Housing Services Division (HSD):** \$220 from #001-2113-54615 to #55275. **State Housing Initiative Partnership (SHIP):** \$300 from #133D-5304D-54600 and \$79 from #56400 with \$26 to #54100, \$206 to #54603, and \$147 to #55208. **Inverness Airport Runway:** \$9,015 from #073-5781-56300 to #53100. **Maintenance Operations Division (MOD):** \$9,300 from #001-2670-56200 to #56300.

3-E A Satisfaction of Judgment for Ronald Brannon Barry, Jr., Case No. 1999 CT 003125.

3-F A Satisfaction of Lien filed by and on behalf of the Citrus County Municipal Service Benefit Unit for Water and Wastewater Utility Services for James B. Cook.

3-G The following wire transfers:

Heritage Consultants, Inc.	\$ 14,971.99	04/26/05
Heritage Consultants, Inc.	\$ 12,666.12	04/26/05
Preferred Governmental Claims Solutions	\$ 7,447.28	04/04/05
Preferred Governmental Claims Solutions	\$ 17,550.03	04/08/05
Preferred Governmental Claims Solutions	\$ 650.00	04/15/05
Preferred Governmental Claims Solutions	\$ 12,256.70	04/18/05
Preferred Governmental Claims Solutions	\$ 11,330.56	04/25/05
Bank of America	\$147,201.94	04/14/05
United States Postal Service	\$ 13,000.00	04/01/05
Florida Department of Revenue	\$ 2,038.72	04/20/05

Florida Department of Community Affairs	\$ 18,278.27	04/26/05
Citrus County Sheriff's Department	\$ 6,673.00	04/07/05
Wright Express	\$ 14,971.99	04/12/05
Wright Express	\$ 24,709.98	04/25/05
Nature Coast Title Co., Inc.	\$ 15,302.92	04/13/05
American Title Service of Citrus Co., Inc.	\$ 7,637.90	04/13/05
Quality Title of Marion Co., LLC	\$ 16,500.00	04/21/05
Title Offices LLC	\$ 10,000.00	04/21/05

3-H Deletion of the following items from the County's capital asset list:

<b>Fire Services</b>		
11594	Visiontech Computer System	obsolete
14144	Suncoast Computer System	obsolete
14147	Suncoast Computer System	obsolete
14139	Suncoast Computer System	obsolete
14143	Suncoast Computer System	obsolete
3418	Motorola Mobile Radio	not cost effective to repair
6459	Motorola Mobile Radio	not cost effective to repair
7682	Motorola Portable Radio	not cost effective to repair
6699	Dynamote Converter	obsolete
<b>Hazardous Materials</b>		
6762	MSA Air Pac	obsolete
6763	MSA Air Pac	obsolete
10570	MSA Ultralite II Airpack	obsolete
10570A	MSA Ultralite II Airpack	obsolete
10155	Green Butyl Suit	obsolete
10155A	Green Butyl Suit	obsolete
10156	Aim Gas 3000 Detector	obsolete
10958	Aim Chlorine Detector	obsolete
11050	Aim 2200 Gas Detector	obsolete
12698	Xerox Desktop Copier	not cost effective to repair
13890	Sony Camcorder	not cost effective to repair
10669	Brick Computer	obsolete

3-I Releases of Liens for special assessments that had been paid in full: Resolution No. 75-64, reconfirmed by Resolution No. 93-170 for Angel and Awilda Quinones, Alternate Key (AK) No. 1798396 (C). Resolution No. 86-29, reconfirmed by Resolution No. 93-216 for Leo Rice (Kenneth E. and Derlene Moran), AK No. 1574391 (H). Resolution No. 99-099 for Marie E. Pardo, AK No. 1662940 (Z) and Resolution No. 2000-135 for Marie E. Pardo, AK No. 1662940 (OB). Resolution Nos. 2002-142 and No. 2002-141 for Gilber I. and Sarah E. Runyon, AK No. 1643317 (2A).

3-J A Connection Charge Installment Lien Agreement between the Citrus County Municipal Service Benefit Unit for Water and Wastewater Utility Services and Russell Smith.

3-K State of Florida Department of Transportation Public Transportation Joint Participation Agreement, Financial Project No. 40754119401, Contract No. ANZ-76 for the design and construction of aircraft parking apron facilities at the Crystal River Airport for \$200,000.

3-L Submittal of the County's project list, attachments, and letter of support for the Withlacoochee Economic Development District to the Withlacoochee Regional Planning Council for inclusion in the Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy Update.

3-M **(1)** Sale of the Gradall G3WD Series E, Item No. 9476 to GS Equipment, Inc., for \$30,000 in accordance with the Buy-Back Agreement as stated in Bid No. 99-060, and **(2)** removal of the equipment and release of the documents from the County's capital asset list.

3-N A public hearing set for July 12, 2005, at 2:00 P.M. at the Citrus County Courthouse, 110 North Apopka Avenue in Inverness regarding the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Annual Plan Update.

3-O Staff's request to demolish the "pole barn" hangar at the Inverness Airport, which sustained damage from a hurricane last year and did not meet current building codes or wind load requirements.

3-P Write-offs of 108 uncollectible commercial solid waste assessments for \$2,840.51 and penalties of \$1,640.49.

3-Q Adoption of Administrative Regulation 10.09 entitled Priority Response Policy for Code Enforcement.

3-R **(1)** Permanent Reassignment of Development Rights Agreements with Jennifer Laurie Whitton and Frank Clyde Whitton, AK Nos. 1184371 and 1183723, DVR Nos. 2004-068 and 2004-069 for residential properties within municipal service benefit units for installation of water and wastewater systems for Chassahowitzka Water Quality Assessment District, and **(2)** that the agreements be recorded in the public records.

3-S A request by Leadership Citrus to waive \$174 in rental fees for a Hawaiian Barbecue Fundraiser to be held on May 14, 2005, at the Bicentennial Park.

3-T Staff's request to advertise a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) for consultants to update the Land Development Code.

3-U Administrative Plan Revisions for the Citrus County Housing Choice Voucher Program.

3-V Notice of Commencement for Permit No. 2005-05839 with Gaudette Electric for electrical work at the Crystal River Airport.

3-W (1) Substantially Similar Plat of Rall Subdivision, PLT-05-07, and (2) that the plat be recorded in the public records.

3-X (1) Acceptance of a General Warranty Deed from Charles J. and Sharon H. Bryan for Lot 15, Block 1, Hickory Hill Retreats, Unit One for drainage improvements, and (2) that the deed be recorded in the public records.

3-Y Global Positioning Satellite Electronic Monitoring Tracking Services and Support Services Agreement with Pro Tech Monitoring, Inc., for tracking certain classifications of County inmates at \$4.25 per tracking day for passive tracking and \$8.97 for active tracking, including the use of the equipment, beginning May 10, 2005, and ending May 9, 2006, with an option to extend the contract an additional year.

3-Z Federal Equitable Sharing Agreement with the Citrus County Sheriff's Office to allow the Sheriff's Office to receive forfeited cash, property, proceeds, and interest earned thereon from any federal case in which it was involved.

3-AA Settlement and payment of \$3,179.26 for a claim concerning property damage that occurred on November 8, 2004, involving the claimant Judy Neal and a Citrus County vehicle.

3-BB Settlement and payment of \$1,721.79 for a claim concerning property damage that occurred on April 5, 2005, involving the claimant Kare Ann Camacho and a Citrus County vehicle.

3-CC A request by the East Citrus Civitan to waive rental fees of \$180.87 for their first "Sock Hop & Family Fun Night" at the Citrus County Auditorium on June 17, 2005.

3-DD A public hearing set for May 24, 2005, at 5:01 P.M. at the Citrus County Courthouse, 110 North Apopka Avenue in Inverness to consider application CPA/AA-05-09, an amendment to the Comprehensive Plan (COMP) Ordinance No. 89-04 as amended and the Land Development Code (LDC) Atlas, Ordinance No. 90-14 as petitioned by Wayne Walker of Crystal Engineering for Carnahan Supply Company, Inc.

3-EE A public hearing set for May 24, 2005, at 2:30 P.M. at the Citrus County Courthouse, 110 North Apopka Avenue in Inverness to consider an ordinance amending Sections 74-102 and 74-105 of the Citrus County Code pertaining to the Kings Bay idle speed zones during the Memorial Day, Fourth of July, and Labor Day holidays.

3-GG Use of the Historic Courthouse grounds by the New Inverness Old Towne Association for the "Music on the Square" event on June 17, 2005, from 6:00 P.M. to 9:00 P.M., contingent on adherence to the guidelines set by the City of Inverness and the Citrus County Sheriff's Office.

3-HH Revisions to the 2004-2005 Citrus County Health Department's Annual Contract to reflect new special projects savings plans.

3-II The following Bid Committee Report: **(1) Bid No. 078-05, MOD, waterproof roof coating system-Fleet Maintenance Building**; awarded to Amnat of Florida, LLC, at \$18,746 as the lowest bidder meeting the specifications, and authorized the Chairwoman to execute the Notice of Commencement; **(2) Bid No. 060-05, Public Works Department, Citrus Springs entrance improvements**; awarded to Daly & Zilch (Florida), Inc., at \$225,000 as the lowest bidder meeting the specifications, and authorized the Chairwoman to execute the Notice of Commencement; **(3) Bid No. 074-05, Public Safety Department, Citrus County Fire Station No. 22 upgrades**; awarded to Genesis Construction and Management, Inc., at the base bid total of \$495,283 plus the alternate add-on unit price of \$300 per linear foot for the covered walkway as the lowest bidder, and authorized the Chairwoman to execute the contract documents and the Notice of Commencement; and **(4) Bid No. 064-03, Utilities, sludge hauling**; renewed the bid with A-Able Septic-Sewer Services under the same terms and conditions listed in the bid documents.


### 3-FF PUBLIC HEARINGS - GAS TAX ORDINANCES


Commissioner Phillips stated that this public hearing would be to amend the existing ordinances for gas tax, and to consider the ninth cent gas tax and the five-cent local option fuel tax. She advised that the statutes required that the ordinances be enacted by July 1, 2005, and would be effective January 1, 2006. She mentioned that the existing gas taxes could be bonded; however, the new gas taxes could not be bonded until the sales tax referendum was held. She requested that the County Attorney prepare an outline prior to the public hearing so that the Board could disseminate that information and explain the Board's intent and the timeline involved to the public.

*Upon motion by Commissioner Phillips, seconded by Commissioner Fowler, and carried unanimously, the Board set public hearings for May 24, 2005, at 4:00 P.M. at the Citrus County Courthouse, 110 North Apopka Avenue, in Inverness to consider the following ordinances: (1) amending Section 94-1 extending the four cent local option gas tax to December 31, 2040, (2) amending Section 94-2 of the Citrus County Code extending the fifth and sixth local option gas tax to December 31, 2040, (3) imposing a ninth cent gas tax, and (4) levying a five cent local option fuel tax.* (1:05 P.M.)

## 2 PUBLIC HEARINGS - WORKSHOPS - PERSONS TO APPEAR


### 2-A OPEN TO THE PUBLIC

 Dr. Brad Ruben spoke about item 2-H (Fire/Emergency Medical Services (EMS) Feasibility Study) and stated that the Nature Coast Emergency Medical Services (NCEMS) provided excellent service to the citizens. He requested that the County not consider cost when considering life. He added that fire services (paid firefighters) were just starting and the NCEMS had been established for several years. He then referred to item 2-M (OA-05-03) and said that he lived in Citrus Hills and the trees and landscaping were fine there.


 Sumner Waite requested that the existing emergency medical services be maintained. He stated that the subsidy had not gone down rapidly; however, the cost per patient, the cost per capita, and the cost per call had gone down. He advised that he had personally experienced the ambulance services under the County and the NCEMS, and the NCEMS was a better service due to better equipment, better-trained personnel, and so



on. He suggested that the Board review the spreadsheet that was prepared by staff, specifically the unrealistic savings in workers compensation, auditing services, and attorney costs. He added that the existing management would not be able to handle the emergency medical services and the new fire services. He requested that the County retain the services of NCEMS, execute at least a five-year agreement with NCEMS, and the next feasibility study be completed by an outside impartial party.

 William McFall stated that he had attended the previous meeting and spoke about the possible cleanup of Pine Lake; however, he had not been contacted by staff as promised. He also discussed the gas taxes and impact fees, and suggested that growth should be paid by impact fees.

Chairwoman Phillips assured Mr. McFall that staff would contact him regarding the Pine Lake issue. She replied to his questions regarding impact fees and gas taxes by stating that the transportation impact fee was adopted at 100 percent of the consultant's recommendation, which would pay for 30 percent of the actual roadway construction costs, and that 70 percent of those costs would have to come from another revenue source. She invited him to the May 24 public hearing on gas taxes when those issues would be discussed again.

 Mr. Wesch replied to Dave Conant's questions regarding the status of the Beverly Hills Municipal Service Benefit Unit (MSBU) by stating that the MSBU would be eliminated and merged into the overall County fire delivery system. Mr. Battista stated that staff would be presenting an ordinance amendment that repealed the establishment of the Beverly Hills MSBU and at the same time included Beverly Hills into the ad valorem fire district. Mr. Wesch replied to Mr. Conant's question regarding the opening of the Veteran's Clinic by stating November 1, 2005, was the target date. (1:22 P.M.)

14- **ANY OTHER BUSINESS OR PERSONS WISHING TO ADDRESS THE BOARD**  
14-A **ITEM 2-H FIRE/EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES FEASIBILITY STUDY**

Mr. Wesch explained that staff conducted the Fire/Emergency Medical Services Feasibility Study on a fair and impartial basis. He assured the Board that staff had dealt with the issue in a professional manner.

6- **COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT**  
6-A **GROUNDS MAINTENANCE SECTION**

***A motion was made by Commissioner Fowler and seconded by Commissioner Damato to approve and authorize the transfer of Grounds Maintenance Section from the Department of Public Works to the Department of Community Services, Parks and Recreation Division effective with the pay period ending May 20, 2005, which included the transfer of personnel, equipment, and related budget transfers (account numbers and amounts listed in the backup material for this item).***

Mr. Wesch replied to Commissioner Bartell's question regarding management by stating the appropriate management staff was also being transferred to the Parks and Recreation Division.

***The Chairwoman called a question on the motion and the motion carried unanimously.*** (1:25 P.M.)

7- **COMMISSIONER VICKI PHILLIPS, CHAIRWOMAN**  
7-A **AFFORDABLE HOUSING ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

Commissioner Damato nominated Richard Mehlenbacher to fill a building trades position on the Affordable Housing Advisory Committee for a term that would expire on April 25, 2007.

***Upon motion by Commissioner Fowler, seconded by Commissioner Bartell, and carried unanimously, the Board ceased nominations.***

7-B **SPECIAL LIBRARY DISTRICT ADVISORY BOARD**

***Upon motion by Commissioner Phillips, seconded by Commissioner Fowler, and carried unanimously, the Board accepted with regret the resignation of Irene DeLaby and announced a vacancy for a regular member position on the Special Library District Advisory Board.***

2-B **EMPLOYEE SERVICE AWARDS**

Karla Grzeca and Karen Slaska were recognized for 5 years of service to the County and Gary Hunt was recognized for 15 years of service to the County. (1:28 P.M.)

<b>The Chairwoman recessed the meeting and reconvened at 1:35 P.M.</b>
--

2-C **ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL QUARTERLY REPORT**

Brett Wattles, executive director of the Economic Development Council (EDC) presented EDC's quarterly report from October 1, 2004, through December 1, 2004, (filed with the backup material).

He stated that the EDC was happy with the Board's decision to move forward with the airport project. He advised that he had already contacted three airport organizations and would soon be sending the Board a report with a synopsis, so that they could visit one of those airports if they wished. He added that he had not found a business or marketing plan to date; however, the three airport organizations he had contacted were very small and possibly a larger organization would have plans that would serve a purpose in the Board's analysis.

He replied to the Chairwoman's questions regarding the airport ownership by stating that all three airport organizations were publicly owned. He stated that two airports were in Lake City, one was a city airport with an airport business park that only leased property because of federal and state guidelines, and the other airport was a county airport that leased property based on the number of jobs created and the level of wages provided by those companies. He added that Hernando County's airport had been very profitable since 1992. He mentioned that he would also be contacting Sebring, Okeechobee, Zephyr Hills, and Williston regarding their airport organizations.

The Chairwoman requested that the EDC's report be submitted prior to any further discussions regarding the airport. (1:44 P.M.)

2-D **CERTIFICATE OF ACHIEVEMENT FOR EXCELLENCE IN FINANCIAL REPORTING**

Lynda Flynn, financial services department director of Osceola County and the representative for the Government Finance Officer's Association of the United States and Canada presented the "Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting" to Betty Strifler, Clerk to the Board of County Commissioners, and Sarah Koser and Susan Sullivan, Clerk's Finance Department. (1:47 P.M.)

2-E **PROCLAMATION - BETTER SPEECH AND HEARING MONTH**

***Upon motion by Commissioner Bartell, seconded by Commissioner Fowler, and carried unanimously, the Board approved and authorized all Commissioners to execute a proclamation declaring the month of May 2005 "Better Speech and Hearing Month".*** (1:49 P.M.)

2-F **MARCH FOR MEALS CERTIFICATES OF APPRECIATION**

***Upon motion by Commissioner Bartell, seconded by Commissioner Damato, and carried unanimously, the Board approved and authorized all Commissioners to execute certificates of appreciation to participants in the fourth annual "March for Meals" days held March 23 and 24, 2005, for volunteering their time to deliver meals to homebound senior citizens.*** (1:51 P.M.)

<b>The Chairwoman recessed the meeting and reconvened at 2:05 P.M.</b>
--

2-G **KEEP CITRUS COUNTY BEAUTIFUL AWARD TO SUSAN METCALF**

Frank Yetner, vice-president of Keep Citrus County Beautiful, Inc., made brief comments about the organization, presented "Keep Citrus County Beautiful" hats to the Commissioners, and presented a certificate of appreciation to the Director of Solid Waste Management Susan Metcalf in recognition of taking pride in the community and doing her part to keep Citrus County beautiful.

Commissioner Valentino thanked Mr. Yetner for his presentation. She apologized to the organization and individual volunteers that helped to keep the County beautiful and explained that a recent communication with Mr. Wesch was not intended to offend anyone. Mr. Yetner accepted her apology and invited her to their meetings.

2-H **FIRE/EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES (EMS) FEASIBILITY STUDY**

Commissioner Bartell stated that he had reviewed the staff's report and all the information that was presented to the Board. He mentioned that he had requested staff to review the possibility of a merger between the paid firefighters and the EMS at the Goal Setting Session; however, it was never his intention to have the study completed so quickly, since the implementation of the paid firefighters had just taken place. He advised that he believed it was the Board's responsibility to save taxpayer's dollars; however, he was not convinced that the Board needed to pursue this project at this time. He thanked

staff for the wonderful job that they had done; however, he would not support the merger at this time because he did not want to take a chance on a critical portion of government that had worked so well over the past four to five years. He requested that the Board decide on the merger now before staff's presentation, and that the Board not fix something that was not broken. He mentioned that he was interested in the recent newspaper article regarding the subsidy potentially being removed after 2010.

***A motion was made by Commissioner Bartell and seconded by Commissioner Damato to direct staff not to proceed with the merger of Emergency Medical Services and the paid firefighters (Fire Services).***

Discussion ensued regarding the good job that NCEMS had done, the improved services and operations over the past five years, saving lives and providing services for the patients was most important, Citrus County's EMS being modeled after Volusia County's EMS, the subsidy decreasing every year, the outstanding employees at NCEMS, the NCEMS contract renewals being at least five to seven years, the NCEMS keeping the Board informed when making decisions on property and a new building because of the impact on the County's overall borrowing capacity, and so on.

Mr. Battista replied to the Chairwoman's question regarding the contract by stating that staff would negotiate the term of the contract with the NCEMS.

Commissioner Damato requested that Mr. Wesch meet with Executive Director of NCEMS Teresa Gorentz at least twice a year to discuss items of mutual interest and to keep the Board informed of those items.

Lawrence Griffith stated that he believed the Board was doing a disservice to the community by not allowing staff to present the options. He spoke of problems that other counties and municipalities had faced with separate emergency services. He added that he believed there was an opportunity for improvement and that the merger should be addressed now.

***The Chairwoman called a question on the motion and the motion carried unanimously.***

(2:31 P.M.)

<b>The Chairwoman recessed the meeting and reconvened at 3:04 P.M.</b>
--

2-1 **ORDINANCE AMENDMENT OA-05-06, DEPARTMENT OF DEVELOPMENT SERVICES (DDS)**

Director of Community Development Division Chuck Dixon commented that this public workshop was for an ordinance amendment on accessory uses and structures and vegetative debris disposal in former sand (borrow) pits. He added that the public hearing would be held on May 24, 2005.

Environmental Planner Sue Farnsworth stated that there were two minor changes to the accessory uses and structures section of the amendment. She explained that

accessory structures that were not exempt would require conditional uses or level two applications. She stated that the Planning Development and Review Board (PDRB) recommended that the level of exemption in Section 4420 C3 be 720 square feet (the size of a two-car garage) rather than 1,200 square feet recommended by staff. She further explained that the exemption in Section 4420 C4, for houses on large lots outside of the Planned Service Area (PSA) was originally proposed for 2.25 acres (based on the size of the Mini Farm lots) and the PDRB recommended a 10-acre threshold. She added that staff had received communications inquiring about the ordinance; however, had not received communications approving or disapproving the ordinance.

She advised that the second portion of the ordinance (vegetative debris and disposal in former sand (borrow) pits) affected the reuse of sand pits on at least a 20-acre site and allowed the disposal of clean wood products in the sand pits as part of their standard operation. She stated that there had been a lot of interest amongst the owners of sand pits, that there had not been any input from the public, that the PDRB had no comment on the language, and that the language was very similar to the language used for incinerators.

Assistant County Attorney Michele Slingerland explained that the PDRB decreased the square footage of the detached accessory structures because this was an exemption from the compatibility requirement. Mr. Dixon explained that staff based the 2.25 acres on the Mini Farm lots being approximately 2.5 acres, and that it was common practice to have larger structures on large parcels of land. Ms. Slingerland explained that the PDRB's discussion focused on the locations of properties that this exemption would apply to and the view of a 1,200 square foot metal building from neighboring parcels. Mr. Dixon explained that the accessory building was required to be located on the interior of the lot or parcel. He added that Section 4420 C2 (20-foot height) was based on the average height of accessory buildings in the County.

Discussion ensued regarding multiple accessory buildings being allowed on one parcel, height restrictions, square footage of the accessory buildings, agricultural structures being exempt, and preventing another incident, such as what happened on Pineaire Street.

Commissioners concurred that the height restriction in Section 4420 C2 should be 25 feet, the exemption for detached accessory structures in Section 4420 C3 should be 1,200 square feet, and the acreage amount in Section 4420 C4 should be 2.25 acres.

The Chairwoman opened the public portion of the public workshop and asked if anyone wished to speak in favor.

Morris Harvey stated that he lived in the Mini Farms and believed that the County's concept was wrong and the standards should be specialized to the area. He added that the ordinance was too restrictive for areas that did not need regulations. He suggested zoning that specified regulations for specific areas.

Discussion ensued regarding Mr. Harvey's suggestion. Mr. Dixon recommended adopting this ordinance amendment with the suggested changes, which would address the current concerns and problems with a conditional use process for anything that did not meet the requirements. He advised that staff would be presenting an ordinance amendment soon that would address various uses in the land use districts, and staff could determine which land use districts should allow large accessory buildings. Commissioner Bartell agreed that the Board should move forward with this amendment and have staff review the land use districts with specific criteria (a traditional zoning ordinance). Commissioner Damato agreed and stated that staff also needed to review the structures currently on those properties.

Commissioner Fowler was concerned about placing restrictions on so many people because of the problem with one building (on Pineaire Street) in one subdivision (Inverness Highlands) that had no deed restrictions. He stated that the County needed to be very cautious when restricting a person's use of their property. The Chairwoman stated that there had been situations other than the building on Pineaire Street, and that restrictions were needed on some property types to protect some areas in the County. She agreed that the Board should not be too restrictive and that land use categories were probably the only way to address this issue. Mr. Dixon explained that staff would review specific uses in land use categories and try to anticipate future situations and address existing problems. He advised that staff was moving all of the allowable land uses from the LDC appendices into the section that described the land use district for clarity.

Gordon Ashley read a statement of opposition into the record (filed with the Clerk's agenda), which outlined his particular parcel and the problems that he would have for his future addition.

Clark Stillwell stated that the vegetative debris disposal section did not mention if burning was allowed or not, and he felt there should be some clarity regarding this issue. He added that there were many sand pits in the County and access into those sand pits should not be through residentially committed areas.

Mike Sorel stated that he thought the accessory buildings were not distasteful; however, the location of the buildings on one's property needed to be addressed. He agreed with 1,200 square feet, that most of his customers did not have more than one acre of property, and that the height of an accessory building on residential property should not be more than 16 feet. He questioned if a lot size percentage could be used instead of the size of the residence, and suggested four percent of the lot size.

Mr. Dixon stated that the 50 percent total square footage in Section 4420 C1 could be increased to 75 percent and the accessory building would still be in proportion of the residence. He said that in most cases accessory buildings would not be more than a certain percentage of the residence and if a person wanted a larger building they could apply for a conditional use. He advised that it would be difficult to base the size of the accessory building on a percentage of the lot size because there would be too many issues to consider, such as the amount of wetlands on the property. He added that staff

was looking at the bulk of the building in relation to the existing home, rather than the bulk of the building in relation to the overall parcel of land. After further discussion, Mr. Dixon suggested, and the Commissioners agreed that Section 4420 C1 should be changed to 75 percent and that he would research the size of the accessory building to the cumulative lot acreage.

Ms. Slingerland asked staff to define the meaning of "located in the interior of the lot/parcel", because that meaning was questioned at the PDRB meeting and was questioned by the Board. Commissioner Phillips stated that the issue was not to have the accessory structure so close to the lot line that it would be offensive to the neighboring property. Mr. Wesch suggested that staff research setbacks and cumulative lot coverage for the public hearing.

Commissioners agreed that Mr. Stillwell's suggestions about burning in sand pits and access to the sand pits should be addressed. Commissioner Damato suggested siting the accessory buildings properly with natural buffering and Mr. Dixon stated that would be a conditional use type condition included in the Development Order. Mrs. Farnsworth reviewed the sand pit covering and the allowed products to be placed into those sand pits for Commissioner Damato.

Commissioner Bartell left the meeting

The Chairwoman then asked if anyone wished to speak in opposition. With no further public input, the Chairwoman closed the public portion of the workshop.

**The Chairwoman recessed the meeting and reconvened at 3:57 P.M.**

2-J **CITRUS COUNTY CODE CHAPTER 86 - SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS**

Mr. Battista advised that the proposed ordinance would replace Chapter 86 of the County Code regarding special assessments. He stated that many changes had been made in case law and interpretations in the area of special assessments since the current ordinance was adopted in 1978. He mentioned that the County had used different mechanisms to enter into special assessments because the current ordinance did not address some programs, such as water and wastewater; however, if the proposed ordinance were adopted, all assessment programs would be consolidated under one regulation.

Commissioner Bartell returned to the meeting

The Chairwoman opened the public portion of the public hearing and asked if anyone wished to speak in favor or in opposition. With no public input, the Chairwoman closed the public portion of the public hearing.

***Upon motion by Commissioner Fowler, seconded by Commissioner Bartell, and carried unanimously, the Board adopted and authorized the Chairwoman to execute***

an ordinance repealing Ordinance Nos. 78-06, 85-W, 85-X-1, 90-A31, and 93-A04, and amending Chapter 86 of the Citrus County Code, relating to capital improvements and related services providing a special benefit to local areas within the County; authorizing the imposition and collection of special assessments to fund the cost of capital improvements and related services providing a special benefit to local areas, and so on.

**ORDINANCE NO. 2005-A13** (4:00 P.M.)

2-K **RENEWAL OF EXEMPTIONS AND AGRICULTURAL CLASSIFICATION**

Melanie Hensley, Property Appraiser (PA), asked the Board to revisit the automatic renewal for all exemptions as listed in Florida Statute (FS) 196 and the agricultural classification described in FS 193.461. She advised that the Department of Revenue had requested that the Board approve the automatic renewals again because the last time the Board addressed the issue (November 19, 1991), they did not specifically include the agricultural classification. She stated that the automatic renewal process provided property owners the ability to notify the PA if they wished to remove an exemption for which they had previously qualified. She mentioned that the negative aspect would be if someone failed to notify the PA that they were no longer eligible; however, the PA did have a fraud department and investigative resources. She added that if the Board did not approve this request, the PA would have to process approximately 60,000 renewal cards every year in addition to new applications.

***A motion was made by Commissioner Bartell and seconded by Commissioner Fowler to approve the automatic renewal of all exemptions listed in Florida Statute 196 and the agricultural classification described in Florida Statute 193.461.***

Mr. Stillwell informed the Board that he had represented the PA in a lengthy lawsuit (Love PGI), which he described in detail. He mentioned that one issue of that lawsuit was the automatic renewal provision; therefore, in his opinion, the automatic renewal should not apply to those involved in litigation with the PA.

***Upon amended motion by Commissioner Bartell, seconded by Commissioner Fowler, and carried unanimously, the Board approved the automatic renewal of all exemptions listed in Florida Statute 196 and the agricultural classification described in Florida Statute 193.461. Exception: Property owners in litigation with the Property Appraiser would not qualify for automatic renewals and must submit new applications each year.*** (4:04 P.M.)

2-L **PROCLAMATION FOR NATIONAL LAW ENFORCEMENT WEEK**

***Upon motion by Commissioner Bartell, seconded by Commissioner Fowler, and carried unanimously, the Board approved and authorized all Commissioners to execute a proclamation declaring May 15 through 21, 2005, "National Law Enforcement Week" and declaring May 15, 2005, "Law Enforcement Officers' Memorial Day".*** (4:08 P.M.)

<b>The Chairwoman recessed the meeting and reconvened at 4:23 P.M.</b>
--



2-M ORDINANCE AMENDMENT OA -05-03, LESLIE J. GITHENS

Chuck Dixon stated that this proposed amendment was initiated by an applicant to change a portion of the LDC text, specifically Section 4340 - Tree Preservation and Protection Standards.

Ms. Farnsworth reported that the applicant's proposal would remove the Planned Development (PD) exemption paragraph from the Tree Preservation and Protection Standards stated in the LDC Ordinance No. 90-14. She informed that the applicant was in the audience and that Gary Stocker would provide a PowerPoint presentation.

Mr. Stocker, executive director of "Keep the Nature in Nature Coast Committee" stated that the committee was affiliated with various organizations, such as the Citrus County Council, Sierra Club, Homosassa River Alliance, and Audubon Society. He requested that the Board revoke Section 4344 (Exemptions), Paragraph H, which exempted PDs from the existing Tree Preservation and Protection Standards. He stated that developers of PDs should be subject to the same law that every taxpayer had to obey. He quoted a portion of the ordinance pertaining to tree preservation and protection. He showed several pictures of lots in Canterbury Lakes, Citrus Hills, Hunt Club, and other areas where trees had been reduced substantially or clear-cut unnecessarily for the convenience of the builder.

He mentioned that people were drawn to this County because of the beautiful nature, the trees, and the environment. He also showed pictures of building lots that had been developed without destroying trees. He advised that many large specimen trees could not be replaced in-kind, and that it would take many years for replacement trees to grow. He discussed the devastation of destroyed specimen trees at the Homosassa Tradewinds Resort area. He listed some benefits of trees, such as acting as a filter for keeping pollution out of the waters, providing shade, and preventing erosion. He stated that according to the National Forest Magazine, a tree was worth \$196,250 if not cut down, and in 50 years, a tree would produce \$62,500 in air pollution control, \$37,500 in water recycling and humidity control, and similar amounts for the production of oxygen, soil benefits, wildlife shelter, and lease and bark consumed by wildlife.

He commented that it was necessary to sacrifice some trees to allow growth, but many trees could be saved at a reasonable cost, which would enrich property values and benefit the community. He added that those against the exemption for PDs were only asking that the same number of trees be saved in PDs that was required by individuals. He said that the County had failed in conserving natural landscape and preserving larger trees due to this exemption. He summarized by stating that developers could afford to save some trees because increased property values would offset the cost, specimen trees could not be replaced in-kind and were invaluable to the environment, clear-cutting replaced natural beauty with treeless lots, and Citrus County and the Nature Coast were featured and known for the trees, the rivers, and the scenery. He urged the Board to consider revoking Paragraph H from Section 4344 - Exemptions.

The Chairwoman opened the public portion of the public workshop and asked if anyone wished to speak in favor.

Patricia Maynard, Yvonne Blass, Theodora Rusnak, Bill Serianni, Ron Miller, representing the Homosassa River Alliance; Morris Harvey, Theresa Waldon, Emily Casey, representing the Nature Coast Air Club; Fernando Ebhardt, Linda Reiner, Hal Reiner, Mary Ebhardt, and Lynn Serianni spoke in favor of eliminating the PD exemption. Some of the reasons mentioned were as follows:

- Everyone should comply with the same standards
- Replacement trees were not the same as older larger trees
- It was important to save the beauty and environment of the Nature Coast
- Traffic, noise, and water pollution concerns
- Trees produced oxygen, cleaned the air, and enhanced water quality
- Developers were not interested in the environment
- The \$205 fine for clear-cutting trees should be higher
- Green space should be preserved for deer and other wildlife habitats
- Forest, sandhills, scrub, and other areas had declined while agricultural and urban areas had increased
- Changes to the tree standards should be done by a variance request rather than an exemption
- Trees were important in promoting tourism in this County

Mr. Dixon answered Mr. Ebhardt's question regarding how the PD exemption originated by stating that it was recommended at the PDRB meeting by Avis Craig, director of development for Citrus Hills. He explained to Mrs. Reiner that the PD exemption applied only to new subdivisions and that existing residential and nonresidential lots must still meet the tree standards. He advised that the applicability of this exemption was that a developer would not have to perform a tree survey or orient their streets away from specimen trees. He added that there were only a few existing PDs with vacant unplatted land.

He confirmed for Chairwoman Phillips that a developer or property owner had to meet the provisions of the tree ordinance. Ms. Farnsworth added that a property owner could completely remove the trees and replant them with trees of their choice, that there must be two trees on lots of 10,000 square feet or smaller and one tree per 3,000 square feet up to 35 trees on larger residential lots.

Chairwoman Phillips pointed out that some people were under the impression that eliminating the PD exemption would protect all trees from being removed from residential lots; however, the exemption applied only to raw land. Ms. Farnsworth clarified that the language in the exemption applied only to PDs, not to single lot development.

Chairwoman Phillips explained to Mr. Reiner that a property owner had the right to clear-cut trees and replace them with new trees if they met the requirement of the tree standards, and eliminating the PD exemption from the ordinance would not change that

right. She further explained that the Board had studied this ordinance for two years and the majority of people favored preservation of trees, but wanted to preserve the right to develop their property. (5:15 P.M.)

The Chairwoman then asked if anyone wished to speak in opposition.

Attorney Clark Stillwell, representing Citrus Hills Development and other developers, gave a brief overview of the history of PDs in Citrus County. He stated that prior to 1986, PDs were either DRI (Development of Regional Impact) Orders or vested non-development, which did not address tree preservation and open space. He further stated that the 1986 zoning ordinance had a section on PDs, and that PDs were encouraged because they provided the greatest flexibility in applying land use standards and addressing particular needs for a specific piece of property.

He remarked that there was more open space with the current PDs than with "cookie cutter" subdivisions, that conservation easements and parks were clearly designated for the benefit of all in those projects, and in many cases, there was greater tree preservation than the two trees per 10,000 square foot standard. He specified that this amendment would not apply retroactively, but prospectively, and that since 1986 the DCA (Department of Community Affairs) had stated that a Development Order, such as Citrus Hills, Meadowcrest, and Beverly Hills were vested under the regulations in effect at that time; therefore, the proposed amendment would not impair those vested rights. He declared that it was fundamentally unfair for residential developers who had committed thousands of dollars for tree preservation and open space to be penalized because of the Tradewinds situation. He mentioned that Meadowcrest, which was a cattle pasture at one time, had won an award for tree preservation, and that Black Diamond, which was a former rock mine had won awards from the National Audubon Society. He added that the lakes in those developments were recycled water and that evaporation loss was less than two percent.

He discussed the pros and cons of the County's current 60-foot right-of-way standard and suggested reverting to the earlier 50-foot right-of-way standard in order to save some trees. He talked about the issue of fire safety and stated that after the series of storms last year, some people did not want trees around their homes. He pointed out that the basis of established developers was substantially different from the new developers buying properties today, who mostly looked at costs savings, and if the incentive to do PDs were eliminated, there would be "cookie cutter" subdivisions. (5:26 P.M.)

Ms. Craig asked that a letter dated May 3 addressed to all Commissioners and two prior letters that had been submitted to the PDRB dated January 4 and February 16 be entered into the record (filed with the Clerk's agenda). She began the PowerPoint presentation entitled "The Trees at the Villages of Citrus Hills and Terra Vista" (filed with the Clerk's agenda). Included in the presentation were photographs of the following areas:

- Terra Vista in the late 1970s and the Meadows Golf Course in the early 1980s consisting of watermelon fields and pastureland with very few trees

- Terra Vista in the early 1980s after thousands of trees had been planted over the years by developers Stan and Steven Tamposi
- Comparisons of Terra Vista in 1995 with open pasture and in 2003 with trees
- Citrus Hills neighborhoods with landscaping and trees
- Trees damaged by lightning and hurricanes that the developer was trying to save in the Brentwood development
- Hunt Club area with preserved trees and plantings of magnolia and live oak trees

Ms. Craig advised that the developers must comply with the tree ordinance when permitting and building individual homes regardless of the exemption. She specified that this exemption only applied to advanced development stages, and that she was not aware of any eligible PD developer who had abused this exemption. She proclaimed that there would not be a 37-acre park with an excellent stand of live oak trees or over 500 acres of open space in Terra Vista if something inappropriate were occurring. She added that Citrus Hills supported tree preservation and landscaping and believed the exemption was for a good reason and resulted in the kind of developments seen today. She concluded by showing a short video of Citrus Hills developments.

With no further public input, the Chairwoman closed the public portion of the public hearing.

Mr. Stocker offered a rebuttal to Ms. Craig's presentation. He stated that two of the houses shown in his presentation were under contract by individuals who had requested that the trees be saved; however, they rescinded their contracts because the builder (Citrus Hills) destroyed the trees without their permission, and now Citrus Hills could not sell them because they had degraded the property. He remarked that he understood that individuals were not allowed to clear-cut trees on their lots and must leave or replace the required number of trees based upon lot size. He emphasized that he still did not understand why PDs were exempt from a law that applied to everyone else.

Discussion proceeded as follows: the DCA required only that PDs be developed under the rules that were in place when the PD was approved; the exemption applied only to existing PDs with vacant parcels of property that were under the original development order; the exemption would not affect future PDs; the exemption would not help individual lot owners; there were very few existing PDs with unplatted vacant land; there had been no known abuse of the PD exemption; subdivision standards were applied to all new PDs; the Tradewinds situation was a violation and was not relevant to this issue; vested PD developers should not be penalized; the wonderful job Citrus Hills developers had done in creating and improving land development; the County was more beautiful now than in the past; the need to resolve clear cutting of trees; there were more trees in the County today than 20 to 30 years ago; the majority of people wanted to save and preserve trees, the tree ordinance protected trees, the tree enhancement program provided an alternative, and so on.

Because of the public's confusion about this issue, Commissioner Bartell recommended that staff prepare a statement for the public hearing about what would

happen if the PD exemption remained or if it were eliminated. Chairwoman Phillips and Valentino concurred, and Commissioner Damato requested that staff also prepare a list of the PDs under this exemption.

The Chairwoman closed the public portion of the workshop and announced that the public hearing for this issue would be held on May 24, 2005, at 5:01 P.M.

There being no other business to come before the Board, the Chairwoman adjourned the meeting. (6:00 P.M.)

ATTEST: \_\_\_\_\_, Clerk \_\_\_\_\_, Chairwoman