

The Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida, met in regular session on the above date at the Citrus County Courthouse in Inverness.

Present: Gary Bartell, Chairman; Jim Fowler, First Vice-Chairman; Dennis Damato, Second Vice-Chairman; Vicki Phillips and Joyce Valentino

Attorneys: Robert B. Battista; Michele Lieberman, Assistant

Administrator: Richard Wm. Wesch

Clerks: Betty Strifler, Clerk; Glenda Brown and Theresa Steelfox, Deputy Clerks

The Chairman called the meeting to order, Commissioner Damato gave the invocation, and Commissioner Valentino led the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

2- **PUBLIC HEARINGS - WORKSHOPS - PERSONS TO APPEAR**

2-A **OPEN TO THE PUBLIC**

2-A.1 Dave Conant praised Carmen Bruno with the Solid Waste Management Division for his outstanding presentation made at the last Beverly Hills Civic Association meeting.

2-A.2 Hilbert Staton stated that the County engineer had not contacted him as instructed by the Chairman a few weeks ago regarding an assessment of his property due to the road elevation and construction of the new Gospel Island Bridge. He further stated that an analysis of the potential costs of additional services for the residents of Gospel Island without a temporary bridge had not been provided as directed by the Chairman at the last Board meeting. He conveyed to the Board that the health, safety, and welfare of the Gospel Island residents were being ignored.

Mr. Wesch replied that a meeting was scheduled for this Thursday between the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) and staff regarding the Gospel Island Bridge.

2-A.3 Frank Yetner was concerned that nothing had been done about his request made two weeks ago to the District 5 Commissioner about cleaning up trash on Stevens Street and Croft Road, and he implored the Board to assist with the matter. He further stated that he was concerned about the sewer pollution in Chassahowitzka and all other environmentally sensitive areas, and was in favor of paying an assessment.

Commissioner Valentino informed Mr. Yetner that she had filed his complaint the next morning and was not aware the problem had not been addressed. She asked that in the future, Mr. Yetner contact her if he had not received a response to a complaint and she would investigate the matter.

2-A.3 Jim McIntosh questioned if the Board meeting agenda published on the County's website could be updated with items that were received after the close of the agenda deadline. He spoke in opposition to paying for anyone's water and sewer.

3- **CONSENT AGENDA**

Upon motion by Commissioner Fowler, seconded by Commissioner Damato, and carried unanimously, the Board pulled item 3-V (Economic Development Council Funding Agreement), item 3-II (Purchase/Sale Agreement and Addendum to Contract with Cable Engineering), item 3-Y (Hoyle, Tanner & Associates, Inc., Addendum No. 1 to Amendment No. 8 for Crystal River Airport Corporate Hangar), and item 3-Z (Hoyle, Tanner & Associates, Inc., Amendment No. 11 for the Crystal River Airport Box Hangar Design Project), approved the balance of the consent agenda items, and authorized the Chairman to execute all related documents:

3-A Minutes of the regular meetings held on January 10 and 24, 2006.

3-B County warrants for payroll and accounts payable.

3-C Budget Resolutions:

RESOLUTION NO. 2006-039

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA, ADOPTING THE LOW INCOME HOME ENERGY ASSISTANCE PROGRAM BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005-06

WHEREAS, on February 14, 2006, the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners executed a Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program agreement with the State of Florida, Department of Community Affairs; and

WHEREAS, the State of Florida, Department of Community Affairs executed the agreement providing funds to benefit low income residents of the county; and

WHEREAS, this was not included in the original budget process; therefore, it is necessary for the Board to amend the budget for fiscal year 2005-06; and

WHEREAS, Section 129.06(d), Florida Statutes, provides that the Board may amend its budget by resolution spread upon its minutes to appropriate and expend funds from a source not anticipated in the Board's regular budget; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida as follows:

1. The Board does hereby amend its budget to include the estimated revenues and expenditures listed in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.
2. The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to spread this resolution upon the minutes of the meeting held this date.

ADOPTED, in regular session this 28th day of February 2006, by the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners.

ATTEST:

/s/ Betty Strifler

BETTY STRIFLER, CLERK

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA

/s/ Gary Bartell

GARY BARTELL, CHAIRMAN

EXHIBIT A			
	ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
<i>Revenues</i>	167G-331-590	Federal Grant	177,173
		Total Revenues	\$177,173
<i>Expenditures</i>	167G-5373G-51200	Regular Salaries and Wages	9,227
	167G-5373G-51205	Salaries – Outreach	16,027
	167G-5373G-52100	FICA Taxes	1,932
	167G-5373G-52200	Retirement Contributions	1,977

	167G-5373G-52300	Life and Health Insurance	6,525
	167G-5373G-52400	Worker's Compensation	221
	167G-5373G-54000	Travel and Per Diem	200
	167G-5373G-54160	Postage	214
	167G-5373G-54615	Software Maintenance	450
	167G-5373G-54676	Copier Maintenance	1,000
	167G-5373G-54922	Energy Assistance Payments	44,295
	167G-5373G-54923	Energy Assistance – Crisis	90,635
	167G-5373G-54924	Weather Related Emergency	3,620
	167G-5373G-55100	Office Supplies	582
	167G-5373G-55417	Training	268
		Total Expenditures	\$177,173

RESOLUTION NO. 2006-040

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF
CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA, ADOPTING THE WATER WISE FESTIVAL
GRANT BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005-06

WHEREAS, on October 11, 2005, the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners approved an application for a Southwest Florida Water Management District grant; and

WHEREAS, this grant will be used for a Water Wise Fiesta day to help educate the citizens of Citrus County on water conservation; and

WHEREAS, this was not included in the original budget process; therefore, it is necessary for the Board to amend the budget for fiscal year 2005-06; and

WHEREAS, Section 129.06(d), Florida Statutes, provides that the Board may amend its budget by resolution spread upon its minutes to appropriate and expend funds from a source not anticipated in the Board's regular budget; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida as follows:

1. The Board does hereby amend its budget to include the estimated revenues and expenditures listed in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.
2. The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to spread this resolution upon the minutes of the meeting held this date.

ADOPTED, in regular session this 28th day of February 2006, by the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners.

ATTEST:

/s/ Betty Strifler

BETTY STRIFLER, CLERK

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA

/s/ Gary Bartell

GARY BARTELL, CHAIRMAN

EXHIBIT A			
	ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
<i>Revenues</i>	119-334-389	SWFWMD Grant	5,000
		Total Revenues	\$5,000
<i>Expenditures</i>	119-5850-53400	Other Contractual Services	900
	119-5850-54160	Postage	300
	119-5850-54400	Rentals and Leases	450
	119-5850-54700	Printing and Binding	500
	119-5850-55100	Office Supplies	1,000
	119-5850-55210	Miscellaneous Supplies	1,050
	119-5850-55225	Demonstration Supplies	800
		Total Expenditures	\$5,000

RESOLUTION NO. 2006-041

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005-06

WHEREAS, the Citrus County Health Department Inverness Clinic is in need of renovations in the waiting and clerical areas, restrooms and medical records rooms; and

WHEREAS, this reimbursement from the Health Department will provide funds for the necessary renovations to the Inverness Health Clinic; and

WHEREAS, this was not included in the original budget process; therefore, it is necessary for the Board to amend the budget for fiscal year 2005-06; and

WHEREAS, Section 129.06(d), Florida Statutes, provides that the Board may amend its budget by resolution spread upon its minutes to appropriate and expend funds from a source not anticipated in the Board's regular budget; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida as follows:

1. The Board does hereby amend its budget to include the estimated revenues and expenditures listed in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.
2. The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to spread this resolution upon the minutes of the meeting held this date.

ADOPTED, in regular session this 28th day of February 2006, by the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners.

ATTEST:

/s/ Betty Strifler

BETTY STRIFLER, CLERK

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA

/s/ Gary Bartell

GARY BARTELL, CHAIRMAN

EXHIBIT A			
	ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
<i>Revenues</i>	505-369-900	Other Miscellaneous Revenue	\$52,076
<i>Expenditures</i>	505-2114-54604	Building Maintenance	\$52,076

RESOLUTION NO. 2006-042

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE TOURIST TAX GRANT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005-06

WHEREAS, the Visitors and Convention Bureau received a grant from the Florida State Fair Authority during 2004-05 fiscal year that were not expended prior to the end of the fiscal year; and

WHEREAS, these funds are available to be appropriated in the 2005-06 fiscal year and will be used for trade show promotions; and

WHEREAS, this was not included in the original budget process; therefore, it is necessary for the Board to amend the budget for fiscal year 2005-06; and

WHEREAS, Section 129.06(d), Florida Statutes, provides that the Board may amend its budget by resolution spread upon its minutes to appropriate and expend funds from a source not anticipated in the Board's regular budget; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida as follows:

1. The Board does hereby amend its budget to include the estimated revenues and expenditures listed in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.
2. The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to spread this resolution upon the minutes of the meeting held this date.

ADOPTED, in regular session this 28th day of February 2006, by the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners.

ATTEST:

/s/ Betty Strifler

BETTY STRIFLER, CLERK

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA

/s/ Gary Bartell

GARY BARTELL, CHAIRMAN

EXHIBIT A			
	ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
<i>Revenues</i>	160C-400-200	Cash Carry Forward	\$977
<i>Expenditures</i>	160C-2122C-54809	Trade Show Promotion	\$977

RESOLUTION NO. 2006-043

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE TRANSPORTATION OPERATIONS BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005-06

WHEREAS, on January 10, 2006, the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners approved an additional subsidy for Transportation Operations; and

WHEREAS, these funds need to be appropriated and will be used to cover the anticipated deficit of the Transit System; and

WHEREAS, this was not included in the original budget process; therefore, it is necessary for the Board to amend the budget for fiscal year 2005-06; and

WHEREAS, Section 129.06(d), Florida Statutes, provides that the Board may amend its budget by resolution spread upon its minutes to appropriate and expend funds from a source not anticipated in the Board's regular budget; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida as follows:

1. The Board does hereby amend its budget to include the estimated revenues and expenditures listed in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.
2. The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to spread this resolution upon the minutes of the meeting held this date.

ADOPTED, in regular session this 28th day of February 2006, by the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners.

ATTEST:

/s/ Betty Strifler

BETTY STRIFLER, CLERK

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA

/s/ Gary Bartell

GARY BARTELL, CHAIRMAN

EXHIBIT A			
	ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
<i>Revenues</i>	159F-381-004	County Cash Match	149,102
		Total Revenues	\$149,102
<i>Expenditures</i>	159F-7204F-54603	Vehicle Maintenance	76,042
	159F-7204F-55208	Fuel and Lubes	73,060
		Total Expenditures	\$149,102

RESOLUTION NO. 2006-044

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA, ADOPTING THE REFORESTATION BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005-06

WHEREAS, the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners collects funds for reforestation efforts; and

WHEREAS, these funds will be used for trees and landscaping; and

WHEREAS, this was not included in the original budget process; therefore, it is necessary for the Board to amend the budget for fiscal year 2005-06; and

WHEREAS, Section 129.06(d), Florida Statutes, provides that the Board may amend its budget by resolution spread upon its minutes to appropriate and expend funds from a source not anticipated in the Board's regular budget; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida as follows:

1. The Board does hereby amend its budget to include the estimated revenues and expenditures listed in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.
2. The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to spread this resolution upon the minutes of the meeting held this date.

ADOPTED, in regular session this 28th day of February 2008, by the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners.

ATTEST:

/s/ Betty Strifler

BETTY STRIFLER, CLERK

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA

/s/ Gary Bartell

GARY BARTELL, CHAIRMAN

EXHIBIT A			
	ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
<i>Revenues</i>	008-400-200	Cash Carry Forward	\$7,000
<i>Expenditures</i>	008-5765-55200	Operating Supplies	\$7,000

RESOLUTION NO. 2006-045

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE UTILITIES EXPANSION BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005-06

WHEREAS, the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners received funds to proceed with the development of a Master Plan for the Canterbury Lakes Utility Service Area (CLUSA); and

WHEREAS, funds for the Master Plan need to be appropriated in the current fiscal year; and

WHEREAS, this was not included in the original budget process; therefore, it is necessary for the Board to amend the budget for fiscal year 2005-06; and

WHEREAS, Section 129.06(d), Florida Statutes, provides that the Board may amend its budget by resolution spread upon its minutes to appropriate and expend funds from a source not anticipated in the Board's regular budget; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida as follows:

1. The Board does hereby amend its budget to include the estimated revenues and expenditures listed in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.
2. The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to spread this resolution upon the minutes of the meeting held this date.

ADOPTED, in regular session this 28th day of February 2006, by the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners.

ATTEST:

/s/ Betty Strifler

BETTY STRIFLER, CLERK

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA

/s/ Gary Bartell

GARY BARTELL, CHAIRMAN

EXHIBIT A			
	ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
<i>Revenues</i>	451-389-400	Grants, Donations, Other Sources	24,850
	451-400-200	Cash Carry Forward	24,850

		Total Revenues	\$49,700
<i>Expenditures</i>	451-9600-53100	Professional Services	49,700
		Total Expenditures	\$49,700

3-D Budget Transfers: **Landfill:** \$4,768 from #401-5216-55207 to #-53400. **Crystal River Airport Infrastructure:** \$17,278 from #084-5840-56300 to #-56200. **Property Appraiser:** \$191,028 from #001-2211-60050 to #-54904. **Crystal River Airport Security:** \$4,478 from #076-5788-56300 to #-53100. **Crystal River Airport Roadway:** \$498 from #060-5773-56300 to #-53100. **Housing Services:** \$52 from #001-2113-55100 to #-54605.

3-E Correction to item 2-H of the December 6, 2005, minutes to read as follows:

Property Data: Sections 11 and 14, Township 27 17 South, Range 16 East, Parcel 23000, 10173 North Suncoast Boulevard, Crystal River, approximately 42.20 acres.

3-F Receipt of a letter dated February 9, 2006, from the Citrus County Mosquito Control District regarding meeting dates and times.

3-G Deletion of the following items from the County's capital asset list:

SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT		
14570	Osicom Router	Obsolete
14413	Dell Computer	Obsolete
15273	Dell Computer	Obsolete
14099	IQ Computer	Obsolete
14316	Winbook Laptop Computer	Obsolete
15441	Cisco Switch	Obsolete
13686	Winbook Laptop Computer	Obsolete
14317	Winbook Laptop Computer	Obsolete
12279	Uptech Computer	Obsolete
14604	Vodavi PC	Obsolete
11803	TI 486 Computer	Obsolete
14154	Shiva Lan Rover	Obsolete
13644	Pipeline Router	Obsolete
14926	Dell Computer	Obsolete
15547	Dell Computer	Obsolete
15544	Dell Computer	Obsolete
14836	Dell Computer	Obsolete
14825	Dell Computer	Obsolete
14495	Dell Computer	Obsolete
11304	Lexmark Printer	Obsolete
SUPPORT SERVICES		
11097	IBM Wheelwriter Typewriter	Irreparable
11545	Gem Computer	Obsolete

LIBRARY SERVICES		
14340 B/21	Bookcase	Irreparable
14340 B/48	File Unit	Irreparable
14340 B/59	Desk	Irreparable
8859 P/300	Workstation	Irreparable
8861-000	Two (2) Mauve chairs	Irreparable
14212	Digital LN16 Printer	Obsolete
14213	Digital LN16 Printer	Obsolete
14214	Digital LN16 Printer	Obsolete
14329	Digital LN16 Printer	Obsolete
14330	Digital LN16 Printer	Obsolete
14331	Digital LN16 Printer	Obsolete

3-H Release of Lien for a special assessment that had been paid in full for Philip A. Lebert as recorded in Resolution No. 2005-147.

3-I Resolution authorizing the application and execution of a contract for funding of Delinquency Prevention Programs with the Florida Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ), and authorizing the signatories for such instruments. **RESOLUTION NO. 2006-046**

3-J Release of Lien in Code Enforcement Case No. 02-099 filed against James, Jacqueline, Richard B., and Claire Croteau.

3-K The appointment of Clifford W. Flegal to fill an alternate member position on the Code Enforcement Board for a term that would expire November 30, 2006.

3-L "In Home Services July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006 Rate Agreement" with RK III DBA Helping Hands of North Florida using unit rates established as a result of the Invitation to Negotiate 069-05 under the Community Care for the Elderly Program.

3-M Youth Athletic Organization Agreement with Crystal River Little League for the use of the Bicentennial Park for their 2006 season.

3-N "Medical Examiners Commission Recommendation for Reappointment District Five Medical Examiner" ballot form showing the Board in favor of the reappointment of incumbent Dr. Steven C. Cogswell.

3-O⇒P Set public workshops and hearings to be held at the Citrus County Courthouse, 110 North Apopka Avenue in Inverness to consider amendments to the Land Development Code (LDC) Ordinance No. 90-14, as follows:

Date and Time	Application/Name
Public Workshop: March 14, 2006 at 3:00 P.M. Public Hearing: April 11, 2006 at 5:01 P.M.	AA-05-15/Walker/Barnes for Plokelman/Fairway Investments
Public Workshop: March 14, 2006 at 3:05 P.M. Public Hearing: April 11, 2006 at 5:05 P.M.	AA-06-01, Franklin L. Stork III

3-Q Rate Agreement with the DJJ for drug screening and/or confirmations beginning October 1, 2005, and ending September 30, 2006, for \$15 per screen.

3-R Resolution authorizing the application and execution of a contract for funding of a National Senior Service Corps Program with the Corporation for National Service, and authorizing the signatories for such instruments beginning September 30, 2006, and ending September 30, 2007, in an amount to be determined. **RESOLUTION NO. 2006-047**

3-S Resolution authorizing the application and execution of a contract for funding of a Transportation Disadvantaged Trust Fund Grant application with the Florida Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged, and authorizing the signatories for such instruments beginning October 1, 2006, and ending September 30, 2007, for \$19,190. **RESOLUTION NO. 2006-048**

3-T Resolution authorizing the application and execution of a contract for funding of a Transportation Disadvantaged Trust Fund Grant application with the Florida Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged, and authorizing the signatories for such instruments beginning July 1, 2006, and ending June 30, 2007, for \$256,217. **RESOLUTION NO. 2006-049**

3-U Resolution authorizing the application for funding and execution of a contract for funding of Rural Capital Assistance Support Grant application with the Florida Commission for the Transportation Disadvantaged beginning July 1, 2006, and ending June 30, 2007, in an amount to be determined. **RESOLUTION NO. 2006-050**

3-W Replat/Substantially Similar Plat of Fernandes Addition and the Clerk to record the plat in the public records.

3-X Work Authorization No. 10 with the LPA Group, Inc., for the design, bidding, and construction phase services for the Jet A-1 fueling facility at the Inverness Airport for \$32,930.

3-AA **(1)** Work Authorization No. 9 for Professional Services with the LPA Group Inc., for the construction phase services for the Inverness Airport Runway and Taxiway Marking Project for \$6,620, subject to awarding Bid No. 103-05; and **(2)** the related budget transfer: **Inverness Airport:** \$6,620 from #073-5781-56300 to #-53100.

3-BB Eden Park Use Agreement with the Key Center Foundation, Inc., for overflow parking for the "Citrus County Challenge Tournament" on April 8, 2006.

3-CC Professional Services Agreement for Central Ridge District Park Engineering Services (Phase III Improvements) with C & D Engineering, Inc., RFQ (Request for Qualification) 022-06 for \$182,000.

3-DD Waste Disposal Account Agreement with Budd Excavating, Inc., establishing a monthly charge agreement for disposal fees up to \$5,000.

3-EE Government/Municipal/Public Funds Banking Resolution for Heritage Consultants/Professional Administrators.

3-FF Stewardship Agreement with the Beverly Hills Civic Association to monitor signs within the County rights-of-way and conduct landscaping at County owned facilities for an additional five years.

3-GG Appointment of Donald P. Sterling to an alternate member position on the Code Review and Appeals Board for a term that would expire February 14, 2010.

3-HH Floral Park Use Agreement with the Citrus County Chamber of Commerce for the 19th Annual Strawberry Festival to be held March 4 and 5, 2006.

3-JJ Application for Grant Funding (up to \$1,000) through Wal-Mart for the Homosassa River Garden Club's community project of improving the appearance of the historic Stage Stand Cemetery.

3-KK Receipt of the "Citrus County Economic Development Council, Inc., Financial Statements and Independent Auditor's Report for the years ended September 30, 2005, and 2004" provided by Williams, McCranie, Wardlow, and Cash, P.A.

3-LL **(1)** Acceptance of a Warranty Deed for Lot 1 of an unrecorded subdivision in Section 26, Township 18 South, Range 19 East, from Diana Lyn Perry for the Croft Avenue Road Improvement Project, and **(2)** the Clerk to place the acceptance stamp upon the deed and record in the public records.

3-MM Investigative Phase proposal submitted by Heery International, Inc., for \$12,200 for facility assessment services at the Citrus County Historic Courthouse, including architectural, structural, mechanical, plumbing, fire protection, and electrical engineering reviews.

3-NN Bid/RFP (Request for Proposal) Award Recommendations: **(1) RFP No. 040-06, Engineering, Crystal River Airport Hangar Construction - Design/Build:** to Caldwell Construction Company as the only bidder at the base bid cost of \$439,828 plus the additive alternate No. 1 for the electrically operated hangar doors at \$12,450 for total cost of \$452,278; **(2) Bid No. 046-06, Utilities, Sludge Hauling:** to A-Able Septic-Sewer Service, Inc., as the lowest bidder; **(3) Bid No. 048-06, Public Safety, Rubbish/Junk Removal Services:** to Solution Home Buyer's Land Clearing as the lowest bidder based on two hypothetical scenarios regarding the work to be performed; **(4) Bid No. 103-05, Engineering, Inverness Airport Airfield Marking and Runway 01-19 Pavement Sealing Project:** to Kloote Contracting, Inc., as the only bidder, Schedule A only for the runway and taxiway marking for \$43,679.73; and **(5) Bid No. 056-05, Utilities, Submersible Pump Repairs:** renewing the current bid with Barney's Pumps under the same terms and conditions listed in the bid documents.

3-V **ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL (EDC) FUNDING AGREEMENT**

Mr. Wesch stated that this item referred to the potential funding of the EDC; however, that issue was raised during the Board's Goal Setting Session; therefore, staff felt it appropriate to withhold final action on the agreement pending the Board's discussion of the EDC under item 6-A.

3-II **PURCHASE/SALE AGREEMENT AND ADDENDUM TO CONTRACT WITH CABLE ENGINEERING**

Mr. Conant questioned the Board spending \$750,000 for property on the corner of County Road (CR) 486 and State Road (SR) 44, and asked if eminent domain was practiced in this state. Mr. Battista advised that the eminent domain process could be used in Florida, but the arms length negotiations process was better for the County because of additional costs of the other parties' appraisers, attorneys, and experts.

Upon motion by Commissioner Bartell, seconded by Commissioner Phillips, and carried unanimously, the Board approved (1) Purchase/Sale Agreement and Addendum to Contract with Cable Engineering, Inc., for the purchase of land for a drainage retention area (DRA) for County Road 486 Phase II Road Improvement Project for \$750,000, (2) staff to close the transaction subject to review and approval by the County Attorney, and (3) the Clerk to place the acceptance stamp upon the deed and record in the public records.

3-Y **HOYLE, TANNER & ASSOCIATES, INC. (HTA) ADDENDUM NO. 1 TO AMENDMENT NO. 8 FOR CRYSTAL RIVER AIRPORT CORPORATE HANGAR**

3-Z **HTA AMENDMENT NO. 11 FOR CRYSTAL RIVER AIRPORT BOX HANGAR DESIGN PROJECT**

Commissioner Phillips informed the Board that she had previously questioned if the addendum to the amendment (item 3-Y) was included in the original RFP 040-06, and that she was told it was a design/build schematic contract with HTA; however, that response did not answer her question.

Quincy Wylupek, Engineering Project Manager, explained that the project was not bid because the anticipated costs would be less than \$1 million, and HTA was selected from the County's continuing servicing firms to do the project. Mr. Wesch further explained that the Board approved staff's ranking of the firms and they were used on a rotation basis according to their expertise on a particular project. Mr. Wylupek addressed Commissioner Phillips' concern about the selection process by stating that competitive bidding was not being circumvented by this method.

A motion was made by Commissioner Phillips and seconded by Commissioner Damato to approve item 3-Y (Addendum No. 1 to Amendment No. 8 to the Professional Services Agreement with Hoyle, Tanner & Associates, Inc. (HTA) for construction phase services for the Crystal River Airport Corporate Hangar for \$22,000, subject to awarding RFP No. 040-06); and item 3-Z (Amendment No. 11 to the Professional Services Agreement with HTA for the Crystal River Airport Box Hangar

Design Project for \$61,000, and the related budget transfer: **Crystal River Airport:** \$61,000 from #048-5784-56300 to #-53100.

Morris Harvey, Fiscal Watch Committee of the Citrus County Council, questioned if items 3-X, 3-AA, and 3-NN, which were aviation projects totaling approximately \$500,000, would make the airports profitable. Mr. Wesch replied that individual projects would not make the airports profitable, but the projects were part of the long-term airport master plans and revenue was a goal.

The Chairman called a question on the motion and the motion carried unanimously. (1:20 P.M.)

6- **COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT**

6-A **2006 GOAL SETTING ISSUES**

Mr. Wesch stated that several potential projects and issues were discussed by the Board at their 2006 Goal Setting Session on February 1, 2006, and that pursuant to the Board's request; a list of 35 items was compiled for review and decision on whether to move forward with those items.

Commissioner Bartell suggested that at future Goal Setting Sessions, the Board reach a consensus on the issues they wished to pursue and direct staff to begin working on them rather than delaying the decision until a regular Board meeting.

A motion was made by Commissioner Phillips and seconded by Commissioner Valentino to instruct Mr. Wesch to explore hiring a transportation planner and an impact fee coordinator.

Mr. Wesch explained to Commissioner Bartell that staff was not asking for prioritization of the issues today, only direction in whether to explore the projects.

Commissioner Phillips requested that Mr. Wesch determine if hiring a transportation planner and impact fee coordinator would be sufficient for addressing the issues of concurrency system management. She added that she thought written policies were necessary.

The Chairman called a question on the motion and the motion carried unanimously.

Upon motion by Commissioner Valentino, seconded by Commissioner Phillips, and carried unanimously, the Board directed staff to explore identification of active mines and the cost of posting signs.

Upon motion by Commissioner Phillips, seconded by Commissioner Damato, and carried unanimously, the Board directed staff to explore the state level of service standards for County libraries.

A motion was made by Commissioner Valentino to explore the existence of the Economic Development Council and funding by the Board.

Commissioner Phillips stated that the contract with the EDC had expired, but they were funded through September 30, 2006, and since the Board had voiced displeasure with the EDC, the issue should be explored in detail as to their performance and effectiveness.

The Board concurred with Mr. Wesch's suggestion that item 3-V be postponed and rescheduled with the EDC addressing the funding agreement at an upcoming Board meeting.

Commissioner Fowler stated that all 35 items had merit; however, they needed to be prioritized because some were more urgent and staff did not have time to work on all goals at once. Mr. Wesch explained that he only wanted to know what items the Board wished to pursue and that prioritization would come later. He added that with the cooperation of a citizen, a standardized form (matrix) had been developed that would allow each Board member to prioritize the items.

A motion was made by Commissioner Fowler and seconded by Commissioner Philips to authorize staff to include all 35 items on the priority matrix.

Mr. Wesch told Commissioner Damato that any changes to the EDC Funding Agreement would not be effective until October 1, 2006, and staff could place the issue on the March 14 agenda.

Commissioner Phillips specified that one goal was not included in the list, which was to provide an update to the public by July 1 on the gas tax revenue and how those dollars would be spent. Mr. Wesch advised that staff had already planned to make that presentation through a normal course of business, but he would add it to the list.

Mr. Wesch responded to Commissioner Valentino's question concerning rental fees for the use of community centers by stating that staff had a revised draft Administrative Regulation (AR), but based on individual Commissioners' schedules, he had not been able to circulate the AR prior to this meeting; therefore, it would be scheduled for an upcoming meeting.

The Chairman called a question on the motion and the motion carried unanimously.

(1:36 P.M.)

2-B **MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT AUDIT REPORT**

Mrs. Strifler presented the Board's Machinery and Equipment Audit for the period ending September 2005. She pointed out that she had greatly considered a comment made about the audit being grossly overstated; however, she could not add any further clarity or definition of the audit's accuracy. She stated that the scope of the audit, the responsibilities of the parties involved in keeping the asset list, the findings of the audit, the

procedures, authoritative documents from the Auditor General, and Florida Statutes were clearly defined.

Commissioner Valentino stated that she thought the audit was good and that many issues were being addressed, but she was upset over the comment about the audit being grossly overstated.

Mrs. Strifler explained the audit process, procedures, and requirements of inventorying assets to Commissioner Valentino, and advised that audits were scheduled one year in advance and forwarded to the County Administrator and the Board for review and approval. She specified that the Clerk was not required to provide training on the procedures for asset management, but the service began a number of years ago to help improve the audit process. She added that if the Board desired, the County could provide their own training.

Commissioners Bartell and Phillips extended appreciation to the Clerk and her staff for conducting the internal audits in a professional manner and for her willingness to continue training and educating County employees.

Commissioner Phillips shared her concerns regarding County staff's derogatory responses about the audit. She talked about forms for disposal and deletion of capital assets, tangible property procedures, and an AR regarding surplus property that was on the County's website, and specified that it was the County employee's responsibility to complete those forms. She indicated that Mr. Wesch and Tom Dick (Assistant County Administrator) should accept the Clerk's offer to continue training County employees and to educate a County employee to train custodians in the procedures and importance of accounting for the fixed assets. She emphasized that the responsibility of the fixed assets in this audit were that of County employees, and reminded staff that although some of the missing assets might have been found, they were still considered missing until the correct forms were completed.

Mrs. Strifler mentioned that training was scheduled for March 26 and 30. She agreed with Commissioner Valentino's suggestion of the Clerk's Office training a County staff member to educate other employees on asset management as needed. Mr. Wesch indicated that he would endorse the idea, but thought it would be more effective for the office having oversight responsibility for tracking assets to conduct periodic training.

The Chairman asked Mr. Wesch and Mrs. Strifler to discuss the issue and decide the best approach for being more accountable. Commissioner Phillips requested that Mr. Wesch address continuous training for new custodians because it was not the Clerk's responsibility to provide ongoing training, and Commissioner Damato suggested that job descriptions specify the responsibility of maintaining fixed assets.

2-C **THE CENTERS AND THE NATIONAL ALLIANCE OF MENTAL ILLNESS
(NAMI) – CITRUS**

Joan Murphy, president of NAMI-Citrus, distributed folders of information regarding the International Center for Clubhouse Development Project (filed with the

Clerk's agenda), which focused on promoting independence for people with mental illnesses through education, housing, and employment.

Laura Lee Putzback, vice-president of NAMI-Citrus detailed the various resources in the folders, and stated that Clubhouse would allow individuals with serious mental illnesses the opportunity to live, learn, work, play, and worship in this community. She added that NAMI was working with The Centers to provide this service.

Russel Rasco, director of The Centers, stated that The Centers was excited to work in partnership with NAMI-Citrus. He advised that The Centers had filed an appropriation for \$100,000 sponsored by Senator Nancy Argenziano and Representative Charles Dean, and there was hope of other funding sources. He mentioned that the Department of Children and Families and the community supported this project, and that people in Tallahassee were assisting with trying to acquire funding. He requested the Board's endorsement and a letter of support.

Mr. Rasco answered Commissioner Damato's question about the location and funding of Clubhouse by stating that it would probably be a house close to businesses in the Inverness community, and the \$100,000 would help fund the facility. He specified that the name "Clubhouse" made the mentally ill feel comfortable, but it was a formal term with specific requirements, certification, and standards.

Upon motion by Commissioner Fowler, seconded by Commissioner Valentino, and carried unanimously, the Board approved and authorized the Chairman to compose and sign a letter of support for the National Alliance of Mental Illness - Citrus.

Mr. Russell requested that if any Board members attended the Legislative Session in Tallahassee at the end of the month, that they speak in support of Clubhouse.

2-D **EDWARD BYRNE MEMORIAL JUSTICE ASSISTANCE GRANT**

Mr. Wesch explained that this item was a grant application for \$20,117 to be submitted by the Sheriff's Office for providing mobile wireless data communications for law enforcement road patrol officers, and he requested Board approval after the required public hearing.

The Chairman opened the public hearing for comment. William Reach, Director of Information Services with the Sheriff's Office, made brief comments about the use of the grant funds. With no further public comment, the Chairman closed the public portion of the hearing.

Upon motion by Commissioner Fowler, seconded by Commissioner Valentino, and carried unanimously, the Board authorized the Sheriff's Office to submit the Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Application. (2:11 P.M.)

The Chairman recessed the meeting and reconvened at 2:29 P.M.

2-E **HISTORIC COURTHOUSE ACCESSIBILITY OPTIONS**

Brad Thorpe, Director of the Department of Community Services, and staff member of the Historical Resources Advisory Board, stated that staff was requesting direction from the Board on providing ADA (Americans with Disabilities Act) accessibility into the Historic Courthouse by replacing the outdated mechanical lift or constructing a ramp. He gave a PowerPoint presentation (included in the backup materials) regarding the differences between lifts and ramps, access design schemes, positives and negatives of each option, differences in costs and features, and staff's action to date. He discussed state and federal ADA laws for historic properties; grant covenants used to restore the building; the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings; legal considerations; and a letter from the Historic Preservation Office, stating that a lift would have the least impact on the historic character of the building.

Commissioner Fowler said that he thought public safety superseded historic preservation, and if there was a power failure, a lift could not be used; therefore, he thought the ramp would provide the greatest accessibility.

Mr. Thorpe and Mrs. Lieberman answered Commissioner Valentino's questions as follows:

- When the Historic Courthouse was restored, the ramp was not ADA compliant, so the architect replaced it with a lift.
- Drainage problems would be resolved by the design of the ramp.
- The ramp option would comply with the Historic Preservation guidelines.
- The grant covenants required that any modifications made to the Historic Courthouse be submitted to the state for review.
- If the Board chose the lift option, a letter from the Historic Preservation Office would be required under federal guidelines.
- Future grants would not be jeopardized if procedures were followed, and the state would endorse either option.
- The standards in the grant covenants preferred a ramp versus a lift; however, either option would comply with the standards.

Mr. Thorpe expressed appreciation to the Board for approving the Investigative Phase proposal today for facility assessment services at the Historic Courthouse because staff did not have the historic background or skill to determine the facility maintenance. He also recommended that a powered door be installed.

Commissioner Damato stated that he preferred Scheme 2 (low wall) Option A (five foot wide with inside handrails), which would match the foundation wall, meet all standards, and be more desirable than a lift. He concurred with Mr. Thorpe about long-term maintenance being beyond the scope of County staff. The Board concurred with Commissioner Damato's suggestion for a low wall ramp. Short discussion followed.

A motion was made by Commissioner Phillips and seconded by Commissioner Fowler to direct staff to provide all documentation required to the State

Department of Historical Preservation to demonstrate the Board's intent to construct Scheme 2 (low-wall ramp) Option A (five-foot wide ramp with inside handrails), outlining reasons of public safety, independent access, and enhancement to the building without violating the grant covenants, and to receive concurrence from the State Department of Historical Preservation.

Commissioner Phillips mentioned that if the state approved this option, staff would need to find a solution for skateboarders.

The Chairman asked if anyone wished to speak to the motion.

Jim Bitter pointed out that all egress into the Historic Courthouse opened inward, and state fire codes required doors to open outward in places of public assembly; therefore, that issue should be addressed.

Bob Roberts stated that a new lift could be positioned in the same location as the current lift with an attractive acrylic enclosure. He spoke about the expense of special equipment that would be needed in order to perform maintenance on the upper building if a ramp was installed. He said that he thought County staff and volunteers had the expertise of determining the Historic Courthouse maintenance, and that money spent on other experts could be used to stop the moisture and rain from destroying the interior.

Mildred Arnold voiced objection to the ramp because she felt it would detract from the appearance of the Historic Courthouse and create a liability.

Commissioner Damato addressed Mr. Robert's comment about maintenance by stating that work done by a telescoping boom lift would cause no damage to the building.

Kelly White (design architect) assured Commissioner Phillips that drainage had been addressed by designing the ramp with drains underneath to allow water to flow away from the building.

Mr. Thorpe added that staff was doing preventative maintenance to the best of their ability and that working on the integrity of the building was a priority issue. Mr. Wesch added that staff had exceeded their capabilities, which was the reason for the consent item today (proposal by Heery International, Inc., for assessment of the Historic Courthouse).

The Chairman called a question on the motion and the motion carried unanimously.

2-A **OPEN TO THE PUBLIC - CONTINUED**

2-A.3 Commissioner Valentino asked Glen McCracken (Public Works Director) to inform the public about their discussion during a recess regarding Mr. Yetner's complaint concerning trash on Stevens Avenue. Mr. McCracken advised that Commissioner Valentino had forwarded a citizen's complaint about trash on Stevens Avenue, the problem was turned over to Code Enforcement, and the trash was picked up. He added that he

drove on Stevens Avenue last night and did not see the debris; however, he would check into the matter again. (3:13 P.M.)

The Chairman recessed the meeting and reconvened at 3:36 P.M.

2-F **GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEM (GIS)**

Darryl Clouse, Director of Systems Management, presented a live data demonstration of the various complex capabilities of the GIS, and gave an update on the progress of the Property Appraiser's (PA) CoGo (Coordinate Geometry) base map, which would eventually be connected to the County's Hanson database. He advised that the PA and staff were cooperating on the base map and that both agencies could now view each other's information. He explained that the PA would be in charge of the base map, there would be dual copies of the map on both the PA's and the County's web servers, and the PA and staff were working out the technicalities of sharing a GIS website. He pointed out that GIS was the best tool for government, it would force unification of agencies and data, and raise standards.

Property Appraiser Melanie Hensley stated that County staff could use the PA's geodatabase files, and she distributed a document (filed with the Clerk's agenda), which included 19 feature classes that were captured in the geodatabase that might be useful to the County. She explained that the PA used CoGo, a method of starting at one point in a given direction by following the description of the property; however, the PA was not trying to create surveys. She requested that the Board assist the PA in obtaining copies of all new subdivisions from developers in "Autocad dxf format", which would prevent duplication in parcel conversion. She added that according to the Department of Revenue Mapping Division, the PA's geodatabase was one of the most complete in the state, and she advised that it would be completed in two to four years.

6-B **NATURE COAST EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES CONTRACT**

Mr. Wesch explained the agreement and stated that it would automatically renew upon approval of both parties.

A motion was made by Commissioner Bartell and seconded by Commissioner Fowler to approve and authorize the Chairman to execute an Agreement with Nature Coast Emergency Medical Foundation for emergency and non-emergency medical transportation services beginning October 1, 2005, and ending September 30, 2010.

Mr. Wesch told Mr. Conant that Charles Poliseno (Public Safety Director) and he negotiated the contract for the County.

The Chairman called a question on the motion and the motion carried unanimously.

6-C APPROVAL OF MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS RECEIVED AFTER THE CLOSE OF AGENDA DEADLINE

6-C.1 JOINT MEETING WITH THE CITRUS COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD

Upon motion by Commissioner Fowler, seconded by Commissioner Phillips, and carried unanimously, the Board cancelled the joint meeting with the Citrus County School Board, which was to be held on March 3, 2006. (4:14 P.M.)

7- COMMISSIONER GARY BARTELL, CHAIRMAN

7-A CITRUS SPRINGS ADVISORY COUNCIL

Upon motion by Commissioner Phillips, seconded by Commissioner Valentino, and carried unanimously, the Board accepted with regret the resignation of William Hayman and announced a vacancy for a regular member position on the Citrus Springs Advisory Council for an unexpired term that would expire September 30, 2007.

8- COMMISSIONER JIM FOWLER, FIRST VICE-CHAIRMAN

8-A CHASSAHOWITZKA SEWER PROJECT

Commissioner Fowler stated that he had always been in favor of providing water and sewer to the environmentally sensitive areas of the County. He mentioned his previous failed attempts to gain support of a dedicated funding source for projects such as the Chassahowitzka project. He advised that he would be suggesting the next area for water and sewer during the Capital Improvement Program (CIP), which would be presented in April.

A motion was made by Commissioner Fowler and seconded by Commissioner Damato to direct staff to examine the funding options for the Chassahowitzka Sewer Project and bring that information back to the Board in two weeks in order to get this much needed project underway.

Commissioner Phillips stated that she did not understand why the Board would explore funding options when no action was taken during the last Board meeting regarding the type of system that would be installed in Chassahowitzka. She suggested that the Board decide on the type of system that would work the best, was environmentally friendly, and would benefit the citizens the most.

Commissioner Bartell updated the Board on information he had received regarding the Chassahowitzka project. He mentioned that he had spoken to representatives from the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) and SWFWMD (Southwest Florida Water Management District), Senator Mike Fasano, Representative Charles Dean, and others, and was told that the agencies were holding the grant funding for the Chassahowitzka project. He also mentioned the properties along US 19 that could be serviced, which would create approximately 160 ERUs (equivalent residential units) to bring the sewer cost down for the residents of Chassahowitzka. He spoke about a possible discrepancy in the actual ERU count, Senator Fasano and Representative Dean indicating that they would continue to request legislative appropriations for additional funding, and that he would be traveling to Tallahassee to meet with Senator Fasano and others to talk about funding issues. He requested Board consensus to have Albert

McLaurin (Engineering Services Director) travel to the City of Sanford to inspect their low-pressure system so that staff could determine the best system to install.

Mr. Battista addressed Commissioner Damato's question regarding the inclusion of the Chassahowitzka Lodge into the ERU count by stating that staff could review the possibility of assessing the Chassahowitzka Lodge prior to them receiving a benefit because currently they were connected to their own DEP approved package plant. Commissioner Damato stated that he wanted to know how many possible ERU connections were at the Chassahowitzka Lodge and the Chassahowitzka Campground. Mr. Wesch advised that staff had established the number of ERUs for the campground; however, the assessment question would need to be addressed prior to staff proceeding into a proposed ERU classification for the Chassahowitzka Lodge. Commissioner Damato mentioned that receiving the information regarding the flow from the package plant converted to ERUs would be helpful to have in two weeks. Mr. Wesch said that this subject would be discussed at staff's CIP meeting tomorrow morning and a more definitive number of ERUs from the US 19 corridor and the Chassahowitzka community would be distributed to the Board, per the Chairman's request.

Discussion ensued regarding the number of permits issued for new septic systems since 2002, calculating the number of ERUs along the US 19 force main, creating ERU calculations based on land use and the size of the property, increasing rates and connection fees, staff's recommendation to use a gravity system, getting the project started again, and so on.

The Chairman called a question on the motion. Motion carried. Voting aye: Commissioners Bartell, Damato, and Fowler. Voting nay: Commissioners Phillips and Valentino.

The Chairman asked for public comment.

Jim McIntosh suggested having an impact fee for sewer and water projects. He talked about the costs for the various systems, installing septic systems rather than a central sewer system, and whether the septic systems or the central sewer systems were polluting the area.

Commissioner Bartell and Mr. Wesch explained that the decision to interconnect to the Sugarmill Woods system was changed because of capacity reasons and granting options through SWFWMD to take that flow to the Meadowcrest Plant for reuse.

Commissioner Fowler replied to Bernie Leven's comments regarding conflicting statements he had made regarding assessment projects by stating he had changed his view after it was determined that the Chassahowitzka residents would be assessed approximately \$10,000. He mentioned that since that time it occurred to him that since construction costs had increased, the Chassahowitzka residents should pay a reasonable amount (\$5,000 to \$7,000) and anything above that should come from a dedicated funding source.

12- **COUNTY ATTORNEY'S REPORT**

12-A **APPROVAL OF MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS RECEIVED AFTER THE CLOSE OF AGENDA DEADLINE**

12-A.1 **FLORIDA GOVERNMENTAL UTILITY AUTHORITY (FGUA) ACQUISITION**

Mr. Battista explained that the proposed resolution was drafted based upon Board action this morning at the special meeting. He explained that the resolution was similar to a letter of intent and would start the process of obtaining a transition agreement (contract for sale).

The Chairman recessed the meeting so that the Commissioners had time to review the proposed resolution.

The Chairman recessed the meeting and reconvened at 5:15 P.M.

12-A.1 **FGUA ACQUISITION CONTINUED**

A motion was made by Commissioner Phillips and seconded by Commissioner Valentino to approve and authorize the Chairman to execute a resolution authorizing and approving the transition of the Citrus systems from the Florida Governmental Utility Authority to Citrus County ownership and all acts necessary and proper to such transition in a manner consistent with the interlocal agreement and other provisions of applicable law, providing for applicability and an effective date. Motion carried. Voting aye: Commissioners Bartell, Damato, Phillips, and Valentino. Voting nay: Commissioner Fowler. **RESOLUTION NO. 2006-051**

2-G **2006 FIRST CYCLE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENTS**

Department of Development Services (DDS) Director Gary Maidhof stated that this was the transmittal hearing for the 2006 First Cycle Comprehensive Plan (COMP) Amendments including text amendments based on the EAR (Evaluation and Appraisal Report) and two land use applications.

2-G.1 **TEXT AMENDMENTS TO THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN**

Kevin Smith, Assistant Planning Director, Community Development Division (CDD) presented a PowerPoint presentation (filed with the Clerk's agenda), which addressed the following issues and concerns from the January 24, 2006, workshop:

Issues or Concerns	Staff Recommended Changes
Open Space Standards: ↳ how to apply to master planned projects ↳ joint use of open space ↳ the proper integration of functional/aesthetic features ↳ should a golf course count as open space ↳ credit for wetlands	↳ staff adjusted the language in Policy 17.15.11 to allow golf courses to be counted as open space.
Wetland Protection: ↳ extensive discussion of the DEP ERP (Environmental Resource Permit) process regarding wetland protection ↳ concerns expressed on local staffing	↳ staff recommended no change to the current draft

capability, mitigation, adequacy of protection etc.	
Hurricane Shelters: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ‡ a representative of the Florida Manufactured Housing Association stated opposition to the requirement for mobile home parks to provide hurricane shelter facilities ‡ the Withlacoochee Regional Planning Council (WRPC) recently prepared a study for the entire region and the hazards to this type of construction was well documented ‡ based upon the established procedures all mobile home residents were subject to an evacuation order by local officials 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ‡ staff recommended no change to the proposed policy because such new development caused additional demands for emergency shelter space
Sidewalks: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ‡ concerns were expressed about requiring sidewalks at the platting stage 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ‡ staff adjusted the text to eliminate the plat reference and simply made sidewalks a development requirement
Pre- and Post- Drainage Policy: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ‡ CDD and Engineering staff reviewed the concerns expressed on the minimum standards for storm water quantity and quality 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ‡ staff revised Policy 9.1.1 to include the appropriate sections of the drainage subelement
Library Level of Service (LOS): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ‡ noted that the Florida LOS standards went beyond what was required presently in the COMP 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ‡ LOS standards were adjusted to be consistent with Florida LOS standards

He then explained the review schedule: transmittal to the Department of Community Affairs (DCA); review and comment by DCA, DEP, FDOT, SWFWMD, and WRPC; ORC (Objections Recommendations and Comments) Report; PDRB workshop on May 18, 2006; PDRB hearing on June 1, 2006; Board workshop on June 27, 2006; and Board hearing on July 11, 2006. He added that the entire amendment package was posted on the County website.

Sue Farnsworth, Environmental Planner, CDD, replied to Commissioner Bartell's question regarding the wetlands by stating that the proposed language would give the County more leverage in denying ERP permits when there was a higher intensity of use. Mrs. Lieberman referred to her memorandum dated February 27, 2006, regarding the wetland policy (filed with the Clerk's agenda) and explained that the amendment proposed not allowing the development of wetlands in certain circumstances, and the criteria to enforce that policy would be developed in the LDC as the implementing legislation of the COMP.

The Chairman opened the hearing for public comment and asked if anyone wished to speak in favor.

Several members of the audience complimented staff for the amendments, and commented on the protection of the wetlands, aquifer recharge, open space issues, mitigation of wetlands, affordable housing element, and so on.

The Chairman then asked if anyone wished to speak in opposition.

Clark Stillwell stated that he was representing several clients and spoke about the following issues: **(1)** the affordable housing issue and his letter dated Feb 7, 2006 (filed with the backup material), which indicated that the idea of large lots was inconsistent with affordable housing. He suggested that the Board adopt affordable housing infill areas and included, for Board review, a proposed policy and objectives for affordable housing. **(2)** The storm water subelement, Policies 9.32 and 8.211, and that his clients could not meet the requirement standard of post and pre treat developments being equivalent. He requested that the reference into the current DEP and storm water management standards be placed within the policies. **(3)** He explained that it was his understanding that the open space language would be postponed and packaged in with the Springs Initiative amendments. **(4)** He disagreed with the adoption of a veto policy of ERP permits for wetlands and stated that the County needed DEP consent when adopting stricter standards of wetlands. He referenced Florida Statute Chapter 163 and Rule 9J5, which stated "the use of and the protection of those natural resources".

Bill Turney, representing the Florida Manufactured Housing Association, commented on the hurricane shelter requirement and spoke in opposition because of the increase in costs of affordable housing and the potential liability issues. He also mentioned that manufactured home construction standards had increased tremendously since 1994, and thousands of manufactured homes built after 1994 were inspected after the last two hurricane seasons and not one home had any serious damage.

Mr. Maidhof clarified the water quality issues discussed by Randy Clark, Clark Construction, by stating that the Citrus County Builder's Association (CCBA) believed they had received a consensus opinion from the PDRB to remove those policies; however, staff never received formal direction from the PDRB. He added that if this amendment were adopted, specific language would be drafted into the stormwater management section of the LDC. He also addressed Mr. Clark's question regarding carst sensitive areas by stating that SWFWMD had identified a geographic region for those areas.

With no further public comment, the Chairman closed the public portion of the hearing.

Mr. Maidhof explained to Commissioner Damato that staff would transmit the amendments to the DCA and then the DCA would transmit them to various agencies for state review. He added that all of the documents received to date would be included in the transmittal packet to the DCA. (6:14 P.M.)

Upon motion by Commissioner Phillips, seconded by Commissioner Valentino, and carried unanimously, the Board approved transmittal of the Comprehensive Plan text amendments based on the Evaluation and Appraisal Report to the Florida Department of Community Affairs.

Mrs. Lieberman polled the Board for ex-parte communications on application CPA/AA-06-02, and all Commissioners disclosed that they had no previous communications regarding this application.

2-G.2 CPA/AA-06-02, PAUL GRANNAN OF LECANTO RIDGE INVESTMENTS FOR EDWARD LAZUR

Amy Pace, Community Development Technician, presented a slide presentation and explained that the application was for a land use change on a 12.41-acre portion of a 28-acre parcel located approximately 400 feet south of CR 486. Margaret A. Beake, Senior Planner, CDD, provided the staff report and stated that this application was for a land use change without a master plan. She advised that the environmental, drainage, and Traffic Access Management Plan issues could be resolved at the time of development. She added that there were no concurrency issues evident, the proposed redesignation was consistent with the COMP, public services that met the adopted LOS were available, and the proposal would achieve compatibility of land uses and pattern of development in the area. She mentioned that the PDRB and staff had recommended approval.

The Chairman opened the public portion of the hearing and asked if anyone wished to speak in favor or in opposition. With no public comment, the Chairman closed the public portion of the hearing.

Upon motion by Commissioner Fowler, seconded by Commissioner Damato, and carried unanimously, the Board approved the transmittal of application CPA/AA-06-02, Paul Grannan of Lecanto Ridge for Edward Lazur to the Florida Department of Community Affairs.

The Chairman recessed the meeting and reconvened at 6:37 P.M.

Mrs. Lieberman advised that the applicant requested a formal quasi-judicial hearing. She polled the Board for ex-parte communications on application CPA/AA-06-07, and Commissioners Bartell, Phillips, and Valentino explained that they had no communications other than e-mails and paperwork they received, which was forwarded to the appropriate staff, and Commissioners Damato and Fowler disclosed that they had no previous communications regarding this application. Mrs. Lieberman also advised that the applicant provided the Commissioners with a notebook labeled "Applicant's Composite Exhibit 1" prior to the public hearing as required (filed with the Clerk's agenda). She then placed staff members and witnesses who would testify under oath.

2-G.3 CPA/AA-06-07, KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES FOR JOHN EDEN

Mrs. Pace presented a slide presentation and stated that this request was for approximately 207 acres located on the south side of East Gulf to Lake Highway, 2.3 miles east of downtown Inverness, and adjoining Big Lake Spivey along the south and west boundaries. She added that the applicant proposed a conceptual master plan for a 499-unit RV (recreational vehicle) park known as Preservation Point. Mrs. Farnsworth distributed a package of the latest public input received and additional applicant information (filed with the Clerk's agenda). She gave the staff report and reviewed the application by stating that the applicant was asking to develop an age restricted motor coach resort with 499 pads and the related amenities. She advised that the property was currently vacant, previously a citrus grove, the project was located entirely within the upland portions of the site, and approximately three sides of the property were well-defined wetlands. She added that the gross density was approximately 2.42 RVs per acre, this

use was consistent with the density and the use of the surrounding areas, there was about 7,000 linear feet of water frontage with two proposed access points with the remaining waterfront proposed as buffers ranging between 50 and 200 feet, and the applicant was committing to assist with the installation and upgrading of sanitary sewer and potable water. She mentioned that the traffic study had been performed and the project was not expected to have a great impact on the LOS. She advised that staff was recommending approval; however, the PDRB had recommended denial of this application. She reviewed the conditions of approval, stated that the applicant had offered to add an item that addressed the question of leases and rentals, and an item that addressed using only slow release fertilizers. She announced that staff had received a considerable amount of public input both for and against the project, there had been no new issues since the workshop, and she showed slides of the various buffers.

Mr. Maidhof and Mrs. Farnsworth addressed the Commissioners' questions and concerns as follows:

✓The 5,000 square feet for general commercial activities within the LDC was limited to a boat dock, general store, and golf course. The active recreational facilities (community center/club house) were covered within the LDC, but not within the 5,000 square feet. Further limitations could be enforced if the Board requested. There were other commercial uses in the area that had been grandfathered in.

✓The boat storage facility would be available for the RVs stored off-season or for storing extra vehicles and would be considered a general storage area.

✓There was currently potential for someone to bring in a boat; however, it would not mean that they could launch from this site. The planned development could be limited to strictly non-motorized boat vehicles.

✓This was a large-scale COMP amendment at the transmittal-hearing phase, and the application would come back to the Board for the adoption phase. The land use change would not occur until the adoption phase and a final master plan would be produced prior to that land use change. Any significant changes, such as not being served by city sewer between now and the adoption phase would be sufficient grounds to generate a different decision at the adoption hearing.

✓Daily trip generation according to the traffic study was 2,240 trips per day, and trip generation was low in comparison to more intense commercial activity; however higher than a residential home. The trip generation would not result in a drop of LOS; therefore, that number would be considered low.

✓Most of the surrounding properties and densities were grandfathered in.

✓Based on the proposed EAR the intensity for RV parks would be reviewed more from an environmental and compatibility standpoint than what was currently in the COMP. Staff was proposing in the EAR a policy that would allow those standards to be incorporated into the LDC.

✓The current LDC had a series of exemptions in the wetlands, which included elevated boardwalks (passive use). This property had a jurisdictional wetland delineation performed on the entire property, the conditions of the project specifically mention the setbacks that were required from all of the jurisdictional delineation lines, and those conditions would dictate that there could not be a wetlands impact.

✓The installation of water and sewer was voluntary, negotiated with staff, and was not required under the LDC. The applicant negotiated the water and sewer installation with the City of Inverness; therefore, staff was unaware if connection fee credits were part of the negotiation process. This project could be developed with a package plant; however, staff probably would not have supported it. The capacity for a package plant would be significant for this project and effluent disposal would be an issue. Water quality in this area was also an issue and a system to serve this development would have to be permitted by DEP and meet the Florida Drinking Water Standards.

✓Each lot was limited to one accessory structure (sheds, gazebo, or spa) per the COMP and LDC.

✓Staff did not have a planner with the expertise to perform economic impact analysis. The applicant performed an economical report that was presented to staff; however, staff did not require or request this report from the applicant. Staff had completed some research and planned to bring that information back to the Board.

Ron Clark, attorney for Century Realty Funds, the developer of the project, made brief comments about the process, competent substantial evidence, the traffic study provided, and that this project was to provide a recreation area. He added that his client provided everything staff had requested, that there was a letter stating there would not be overnight or leasing of those lots, they agreed to conservation easements, and they were willing to use slow release fertilizers. He advised that the compatibility test had been met, the traffic study did not change the LOS, there was a potential of \$750,000 in new ad valorem tax with no homestead cap, and so on. The Chairman agreed to Mr. Clark's submittal into evidence Composite Exhibit No. 1 and tabs 18 through 24.

Neil Cumby with Century Realty Funds explained that the REIMS Model was used for the economical report that was supplied, that there were more positive e-mails received than negative e-mails, that this project was good for the environment, economically sound, etc. He presented a PowerPoint presentation (filed with the Clerk's agenda) showing the amenities and the conceptual site plan.

Short discussion ensued regarding competent substantial evidence, which could be factual testimony from a layperson or testimony from an expert witness.

Kelly Klepper, certified planner with Kimley-Horn and Associates, gave a history of his background and stated that the plan met and exceeded the standards in the LDC and COMP.

Richard Styles, traffic engineer, stated that the traffic study findings indicated that all transportation facilities were sufficient to accommodate this project and that SR 44 would remain a LOS B after the project was built. He explained that the typical trip generation from this type of recreational project would be one third versus a single-family project during the p.m. peak hour.

Mike Czerwinski, environmental engineer for the landowner, talked about the increased buffers, and stated that the only wetland impact would be minor for a boat ramp or landing. He addressed other environmental merits, such as no septic systems and clustering development away from the lake with buffers. He added that the buffers would protect the water quality, and the conservation easement would protect from sedimentation and other issues associated with the lake.

The Chairman opened the public portion of the hearing and the following citizens spoke in favor: John and Patricia Eden, Howard Ellis, John Henson, Doug Alexander, George Derewenko, Don Tanery, Joe and Linda Bega, Julia Van Ness, Leroy Rooks, Chris Moling, Joe Elizarde, and Mark O'Leary.

The Chairman then asked if anyone wished to speak in opposition. Those speaking in opposition were Edward March, Don and Patricia Brophy, Jim Adkins, George Elias, Hal Groveland, Sophia Diaz Fonseca, Steve Bolin, Beverly Wisco, Bob Burke, Mark Wilson, Frank Heath, Carol Jones, James Smith Jr., Joe Brannen, Wayne Sawyer, Rob Tesmer, Greg and June Smuckle, Jim Bitter, and George Mitchell.

With no further public comment, the Chairman closed the public portion of the hearing and asked Mr. Clark if he wished to rebut. Mr. Clark commented that he only heard opinions from the citizens in opposition and proceeded to review the facts of the project.

Commissioners discussed the intensity and density of the project, the project not being compatible for the area, environmental issues, and so on.

Mrs. Lieberman replied to Commissioner Bartell's question regarding the amendments by stating that the COMP amendment was legislative and the LDC amendment was quasi judicial; however, they were combined for the convenience of staff and not to duplicate hearings. Commissioner Bartell stated that the Board was only considering the COMP amendment at this time, which would be transmitted to the DCA. He added that the amendment to the Atlas would change the zoning at the adoption phase.

Upon motion by Commissioner Bartell, seconded by Commissioner Phillips, and carried unanimously, the Board denied the Comprehensive Plan amendment for application CPA-06-07, Kelley Klepper, AICP of Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc., for John Eden and New Horizon Funding, Inc., et al, as inconsistent with the overall intent of the goals and policies of the Comprehensive Plan.

Upon motion by Commissioner Bartell, seconded by Commissioner Phillips, and carried unanimously, the Board denied the Atlas amendment for application AA-06-07, Kelley Klepper, AICP of Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc., for John Eden and New Horizon Funding, Inc., et al, as inconsistent with the overall intent of the goals and policies of the Comprehensive Plan.

Upon motion by Commissioner Fowler, seconded by Commissioner Phillips, and carried unanimously, the Board approved transmittal of (1) the Citrus County Comprehensive Plan text amendments based on the Evaluation and Appraisal Report (EAR), and (2) application CPA/AA-06-02, Grannon for Lazur to the Department of Community Affairs.

There being no other business to come before the Board, the Chairman adjourned the meeting at 10:11 P.M.

ATTEST: _____, Clerk _____, Chairman