

The Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida, met in regular session on the above date at the Citrus County Courthouse in Inverness.

Commissioners: Gary Bartell, Chairman; Jim Fowler, First Vice-Chairman; Dennis Damato, Second Vice-Chairman; Vicki Phillips and Joyce Valentino

Attorney: Robert B. Battista  
Administrator: Tom Dick, Assistant  
Deputy Clerk: Glenda Brown

The Chairman called the meeting to order, Commissioner Damato gave the invocation, and Commissioner Valentino led the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

---

2- **PUBLIC HEARINGS - WORKSHOPS - PERSONS TO APPEAR**

2-A **OPEN TO THE PUBLIC**

2-A.1 Priscilla Watkins, speaking for the Homosassa River Alliance (Alliance), requested that the Board remove application PDO (Planned Development Overlay) 05-01 (Homosassa Riverside Resort) from the agenda today because citizens were not given an opportunity to speak at the first hearing in April 2005 due to termination of the meeting. She stated that after waiting over seven hours to speak at the second meeting in April 2006, many people had to leave; therefore, missing another chance to comment on the issue. She further declared that the summary minutes of the meetings were incomplete and contained erroneous quotes compared to a verbatim transcript she received (copies of the transcript was provided to the Board members and filed with the Clerk's agenda). She remarked that members of the Alliance had expressed concerns with this application; however, the staff report dated March 31, 2006, indicated that no concerns were identified. She further stated that the Alliance felt their legal rights had been violated, and demanded that the item be pulled and returned to the PDRB (Planning and Development Review Board) so the public could participate in the hearings.

Chuck Dixon, Director, Community Development Division (CDD), explained that a PDO application required only one PDRB meeting before coming to this Board. He stated that the PDRB determined the application incomplete at the first hearing; therefore, they continued the hearing in order to give the applicant an opportunity to redesign the plan. He added that the application was before the Board today for a public workshop and a hearing was scheduled in July, so there was plenty of time for anyone to speak to this issue.

Mr. Battista addressed Ms. Watkins' statement that the meeting was advertised as a workshop in 2005 by stating that even if the meeting was advertised incorrectly, the PDRB fulfilled the requirements of the ordinance by conducting the one public hearing.

Mr. Dixon clarified for the Chairman that the PDRB had a full public hearing on the issue and public comment was allowed. He added that this was the first time he was

aware of any issues with the application, but that he would be happy to listen to the tapes and review the transcripts of the meeting.

Commissioner Phillips pointed out that the Board's staff had no authority over the PDRB, that a member of the PDRB made a motion to continue the first meeting, so it appeared that the requirements of the public hearing process was fulfilled by the PDRB.

The Chairman stated that he had not heard of any issues regarding this matter until today and he believed the process was accurately followed. He further stated that the PDRB was an advisory board, that he would base his decision on the merits of the application, and that Ms. Watkins would have an opportunity to speak today; therefore, he was not in favor of pulling the application.

The Commissioners discussed the following: incomplete applications, the PDRB minutes being deficient; understanding the citizens' concerns for public input; advertising the PDRB meeting as a workshop rather than a hearing; the PDRB needing to explain continuance procedures to the public; doing a better job of scheduling public workshops and hearings for the time noticed, and so forth.

The Board concurred that the application should not be removed from the agenda today. The Chairman asked Mr. Dixon to present the citizen's concerns to the PDRB, and that in the future they be more receptive to public input. Mr. Dixon confirmed that he would research the minutes for discrepancies and would resolve the matter before the July public hearing.

Gary Maidhof, Department of Development Services (DDS) Director, informed the Board that staff had conducted training for the PDRB on the process of public hearings since this incident. He stated that the Board had previously directed staff to revisit the turnaround time on applications and in receiving additional information; however, with the volume of applications the ability for a sufficient turnaround time was not possible. He addressed the objection to the PDRB minutes by explaining that one individual not only prepared the minutes, but also was responsible for a tremendous amount of other responsibilities, which was part of the problem. He added that staff would make a presentation to the Board in the future concerning application filing requirements, a more reasonable window for processing applications, scheduling procedures, and so forth.

2-A.2 Jim Bitter commented that today's agenda was not realistic in keeping close to the times scheduled for different items. He said that when he had asked staff why this item (PDO-05-01) was scheduled after the Dixie Hollins application, he was told that items were scheduled on the agenda as they were received; however, PDO-05-01 was an older application (April 2005) and it should not have been scheduled after a seven-hour discussion.

The Chairman pointed out that Ms. Watkins and Mr. Bitter had illustrated a potential problem, and that staff would make recommendations to the Board to rectify the matter so that it would not happen in the future.

2-A.3 Dave Conant thanked Kimberly Bruce and Kathy Nielson with the Code Enforcement Division for their support and effort to the citizens of Beverly Hills.

2-A.4 Attorney Clark Stillwell, representing Dixie Hollins (president and owner of Citrus Mining and Timber, Inc.) stated that Mr. Hollins and he wished to address the issues discussed for seven hours at the PDRB meeting yesterday; however, it could not be done the next day; therefore, Mr. Hollins had a proposal.

Mr. Hollins informed that he had contacted Attorney Carl Bertoch (representing concerned residents) about a request for continuance of his applications (CPA/AA-06-17, CPA-06-17, and CPA-06-18) to the next amendment cycle. He asked the Board to direct staff to work with him to create the framework of a development agreement addressing and including the major components of the Hollinswood Area Plan, which he outlined in detail. He added that if the Board agreed, a development agreement application would be presented for consideration on June 13 or shortly thereafter.

Commissioner Fowler indicated that he had no problem with a continuance of the applications; however, he did not want to comment on the issues outlined by Mr. Hollins today.

Commissioners Valentino and Phillips expressed concerns about Mr. Hollins proposal for the following reasons: the request was not received in a timely manner; they had devoted time in reviewing the large amount of material submitted with the applications; and staff's time that would be involved in the project. Commissioner Phillips also stated that time should not be devoted to working on a development agreement for this specific project, that she did not support a continuance, and that the Board should move forward with the item on the agenda today or Mr. Hollins should withdraw his applications.

Mr. Stillwell declared that the applications would be withdrawn; however, he advised the Board that he had written staff about the problem of the applications being scheduled the next day after the PDRB meeting and asked for a postponement until June 13 for the workshop and June 27 for the final hearing, but the request was rejected. He stated that his client would file in the second cycle next year and the applications would be resubmitted as a better product.

Commissioner Phillips commented that from what she had read about this project, it was not specific enough and it should come to the Board with at atlas amendment. She and the other Board members concurred that the COMP should not be amended based on a particular development.

The Chairman noted that the applicant had withdrawn the applications today, but he questioned if staff denied the request to bifurcate the applications from the cycle amendments. Mr. Maidhof explained that Mr. Stillwell did request a postponement of this portion of the second cycle amendments; however, there were other applications in the cycle, and staff was compelled to transmit them to the DCA (Department of Community Affairs) in a timely fashion. He further explained that he relayed the following concerns to Mr. Stillwell: **(1)** separating the cycle amendments into components; **(2)** the minutes not being ready to transmit to DCA; **(3)** not scheduling items after 5:00 P.M. the last meeting in

June due to a FAC (Florida Association of Counties) meeting; and **(4)** breaking the cycle amendments would set a precedent. He stated that his suggestion to Mr. Stillwell was for his client to continue the applications until the 2007 first cycle amendments, which was his understanding of the request from the Board today. He agreed that staff should not commit to anything proposed by Mr. Hollins today other than continuing the applications to allow staff and the public time to discuss the main issues with Mr. Hollins and his representatives.

The Chairman reiterated that the applicant had withdrawn the applications and if he wished to reapply, it would be in another cycle amendment. He advised that the Board would officially withdraw the application by motion at 2:00 P.M., and the public would have an opportunity to comment at that time. (1:43 P.M.)

2-B **"NATIONAL PUBLIC WORKS WEEK"**

***Upon motion by Commissioner Fowler, seconded by Commissioner Phillips, and carried unanimously, the Board (1) approved and authorized all Commissioners to execute a proclamation declaring May 21 through May 27, 2006, "National Public Works Week", and (2) recognized the award for Environmental Project of the Year presented to the Department of Public Works by the American Public Works Association for the Citrus County Landfill Phase 2 Expansion.***

2-C **ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL (EDC) QUARTERLY REPORT**

Brett Wattles, executive director of the EDC, presented the EDC's quarterly report (filed with the Clerk's agenda). He discussed the continued cooperation of the strategic alliance partners, CLM workforce Connection, Central Florida Community College, and the Withlacoochee Technical Institute; communications with the Citrus County Chronicle newspaper and other media in the area; marketing efforts; focus on new memberships; identifying industrial property; retail and commercial projects; and some successful results of the micro loan programs. (1:53 P.M.)

2-D **CERTIFICATES OF RECOGNITION**

2-D.1 ***Upon motion by Commissioner Bartell, seconded by Commissioner Fowler, and carried unanimously; the Board approved and authorized all Commissioners to execute a certificate of recognition in honor of Sergeant Dennis James Flanagan for his dedication and sacrifice to protect the freedom of our great nation.***

2-D.2 ***Upon motion by Commissioner Fowler, seconded by Commissioner Phillips, and carried unanimously, the Board approved and authorized all Commissioners to execute a certificate of recognition in honor of Corporal Stanley J. Lapinski for his dedication and sacrifice to protect the freedom of our great nation.***

2-E **FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND CONSUMER SERVICES, DIVISION OF FORESTRY**

Keith Mousel, resource administrator for the Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Division of Forestry, presented a check to the Board for \$29,676.02 for distribution of the Withlacoochee State Forest receipts for fiscal year 2004-2005. He then read a letter included in the backup material from Charles H. Bronson, Commissioner of Agriculture.

2-F **"CODE ENFORCEMENT INSPECTORS APPRECIATION WEEK"**

***Upon motion by Commissioner Bartell, seconded by Commissioner Fowler, and carried unanimously, the Board approved and authorized all Commissioners to execute a proclamation proclaiming June 5 through June 9, 2006, "Code Enforcement Inspectors Appreciation Week".*** (2:03 P.M.)

**The Chairman recessed the meeting until 2:21 P.M.**

2-G **2006 SECOND CYCLE AMENDMENTS**

Mr. Maidhof explained that this was the transmittal workshop for the following applications to consider amendments to the COMP and the Land Development Code (LDC) Atlas. Michele Lieberman, Assistant County Attorney, polled the Board for ex parte communications regarding CPA/AA-06-15, CPA/AA-06-16, AA-06-02, PDO-05-01, and PDO-06-03. All Commissioners disclosed that there had been no previous communications. Mr. Maidhof then announced that applications CPA/AA-06-17, CPA-06-17, and CPA-06-18 had been withdrawn by the applicant.

***A motion was made by Commissioner Fowler and seconded by Commissioner Damato to accept the withdrawal of applications CPA/AA-06-17, CPA-06-17, and CPA-06-18.***

Commissioner Phillips said that she would prefer a motion to accept public comment on the issue. The Chairman stated that the motion was only to accept the withdrawal and that he would allow public input.

***Commissioner Fowler withdrew his motion and Commissioner Damato withdrew his second to the motion.***

***A motion was made by Commissioner Fowler and seconded by Commissioner Damato to accept public comment on applications CPA/AA-06-17, CPA-06-17, and CPA-06-18.***

Attorney Bertoch, representing the people opposed to the Citrus Mining applications was upset about the last minute withdrawal of the applications. He expressed concern with the state fire marshal preempting the County's ordinance regarding setback of mining property adjacent to residential property. He requested that the setback issue be addressed prior to those applications being submitted again.

Mr. Maidhof explained that the original adopted LDC had mining standards with a 200-foot setback, and within the noise and vibration section was a reference stating any new or expanded mining district must provide a 3,000-foot set back from all residentially committed property. He advised that in 2001 the state preempted local governments' regulation of noise and vibration and deferred that responsibility to the state fire marshal; therefore, the Board removed the noise standards from the LDC and those standards were rewritten as part of the Citrus County Code. He added that because the vibration and noise section was preempted by the state, the County had to use the 200-foot setback in the LDC. He started to make suggestions on how to rectify the situation when Commissioner Fowler raised a point of order and specified that the motion was to accept

public comment. The Chairman remarked that the setback issue should be addressed and agreed that Mr. Maidhof should agenda the item for the next Board meeting.

The Chairman then asked if anyone else wished to address the motion.

Mr. Maidhof addressed Charles Miko's concerns about timing of applications and the need for deadlines by agreeing that staff should establish strict guidelines with a wider timeframe because of the complexity and volume of applications.

Helen Spivey expressed concern for the protection of the manatees in the Greenway Canal, the necessity of responsible boating, and so on, and distributed information regarding the manatees (filed with clerk's agenda).

***The Chairman called a question on the motion and the motion carried unanimously.***

2-G.1 ***CPA/AA-06-15, MCKEAN & ASSOCIATES FOR SUN COAST INVESTORS***

Amy Pace, CDD Coordinator, showed a slide of the property known as Sandy Oaks Mobile Home and RV Park located in the Beverly Hills area consisting of approximately 21.24 acres. She advised that the applicant was requesting to redesignate land use on the Generalized Future Land Use Map (GFLUM) from LDR (Low Density Residential) to RVP (Recreational Vehicle Park) and on the LDC Atlas from LDR PDO to RVP.

Sue Farnsworth, CDD Environmental Planner, provided the staff report and stated that the park was previously vested for 137 RV sites and 97 mobile home sites, the applicant was proposing a complete redesign of the property, and the COMP amendment would change the land use designation to be formally recognized as an RVP. She advised that the submitted master plan met the COMP and LDC requirements, and that staff and the PDRB recommended approval.

Mrs. Farnsworth addressed Commissioner Damato's questions regarding the power line easement by stating that the easement was under the same ownership as the remainder of the property, that the easement had historically been used for open space, spray field, and parking vehicles and that access to the easement was available.

The Chairman opened the public portion of the workshop and asked if anyone wished to speak in favor.

Paul Furman, representing McKean & Associates Engineers and Sun Coast Investors, LLC, made brief comments regarding the previous master plan, and explained the new owner's intentions of keeping the existing mobile home sites, increasing the RV sites to 186, upgrading existing facilities, and additions to new recreational amenities. He added that the owner had accepted staff's recommendation to use the RVP land use designation for both pieces of property on either side of the power line easement, and that the owner understood that the 38 mobile home sites would become nonconforming with this amendment.

The Chairman then asked if anyone wished to speak in opposition. With no further public comment, the Chairman closed the public portion of the workshop.

Mr. Maidhof announced that the public hearings for all the 2006 Second Cycle Amendments would be held June 13, 2006, at 5:01 P.M.

**2-G.2 CPA/AA-06-16, LARRY HAAG FOR G & C OF CITRUS, INC.**

Mrs. Pace described the subject property as 18.39 acres located on the east side of County Road (CR) 495 in the Crystal River area.

Heather M. Urwiller, CDD Senior Planner, gave the staff report for the applicant's request to change the land use designation from GNC (General Commercial) District to IND (Industrial) District on both the GFLUM and the LDC Atlas. She added that there had been no public comment on this application, there were no concurrency issues, and staff and the PDRB recommended approval.

The Chairman opened the public portion of the workshop and asked if anyone wished to speak in favor

Mr. Haag, representing the applicant, stated that this parcel was adjacent to the Seaboard Coastline Railroad, the highest and best use of the property was industrial, and he requested approval of the application.

The Chairman then asked if anyone wished to speak in opposition. With no further comment, the Chairman closed the public portion of the workshop.

**2-G.3 CPA-06-19, CLARK A. STILLWELL FOR CROFT REVOCABLE FAMILY TRUST AND LECANTO RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES, LLC**

Mrs. Pace stated that the subject property was located off CR 491 on Starlite Path and consisted of approximately 74.5 acres in the Lecanto area. She advised that the applicant was requesting a redesignation on the GFLUM from LDR to MDR (Medium Density Residential).

Ms. Urwiller gave the staff report and explained that the original application included an amendment to the LDC Atlas, which would be brought back later with a PDO. She advised that staff had received one letter from the Crystal River Quarries regarding their active mining operation and a letter from someone in opposition (filed with the backup materials). She added that the PDRB and staff recommended approval.

She replied to Commissioner Damato's question regarding the abutting property by stating that the property was designated GNC and would be part of their PDO.

The Chairman opened the public portion of the workshop and asked if anyone wished to speak in favor.

Mr. Stillwell said that the project had met concurrency, that the PDO to be submitted later would meet the new EAR (Evaluation and Appraisal Report) standards, that the MDR designation was driven by ISR (impervious surface ratio), that there would be

compatibility of housing types on the west side of the property similar to the Allen Plantation Project, and so on.

The Chairman then asked if anyone wished to speak in opposition.

Heather LeMay expressed concerned about runoff that could destroy her property and other property to the south. Mr. Maidhof explained that if the PDO was approved, they would start platting the property and a master drainage plan would be developed. He added that a project of this size would also require a review by the Southwest Florida Water Management District (SWFWMD) for the purpose of stormwater management.

With no further public comment, the Chairman closed the public portion of the workshop.

2-G.4 CPA-06-16, GENERALIZED FUTURE LAND USE MAP UPDATE

Mrs. Beake explained that this proposed amendment by DDS was the update of the GFLUM based on the EAR. She reported that the proposed GFLUM depicted the desired general pattern for the location, distribution, and intensity of land uses to the year 2030. She advised that the GFLUM was last printed in 1997 and that this update would show all adopted amendments through 2005. She stated that the GFLUM would also depict new land use districts and corrections researched by staff. She discussed the table displaying the GFLUM changes and land use acres and advised that the PDRB and staff recommended approval.

The Chairman opened the public portion of the workshop and asked if anyone wished to speak in favor or in opposition. With no public comment, the Chairman closed the public portion of the workshop.

Mr. Maidhof stated that the updated GFLUM was posted on the County's website and that staff would be interested in public comments about accuracy and usability. He reiterated that the next three applications, relating to Citrus Mining and Timber, Inc., had been withdrawn and that this concluded the second cycle amendments.

2-H AA-06-02, MCKEAN AND ASSOCIATES FOR SUN COAST INVESTORS

Mrs. Pace stated that this application was for an atlas amendment to amend a PDO for Sandy Oaks Mobile Home and RV Park in the Beverly Hills area.

Mrs. Farnsworth gave the staff report and explained that the applicant wanted to relocate up to 44 RV sites from the east side of the property to the west side of the property so they could start their master plan while their large scale land use change went through the state review and adoption phase. She stated that staff recommended approval and there had been no public input received on this application.

She assured the Chairman that no conflict of interest existed between this application and the earlier application (CPA/AA-06-15) and that this application would allow the applicant to begin their project without waiting for adoption of the other application.

The Chairman opened the public portion of the workshop and asked if anyone wished to speak in favor.

Paul Furman of McKean & Associates explained that this application represented phase I of the overall redevelopment plan for the Sandy Oaks Mobile Home and RV Park. He further explained that the existing 44 RV sites would be relocated to an area of new construction at the northwest quadrant of the property. He added that once application CPA/AA-06-15 was approved, the applicant would move forward with additional phases of the RV Park including the reconfiguration of the existing RV area.

The Chairman then asked if anyone wished to speak in opposition. With no further public comment, the Chairman closed the public portion of the workshop and advised that the public hearing would be held on June 13, 2006, at 3:00 P.M. (3:03 P.M.)

**The Chairman recessed the meeting until 3:20 P.M.**

3- **CONSENT AGENDA**

*Upon motion by Commissioner Fowler, seconded by Commissioner Damato, and carried unanimously, the Board pulled items 3-P (Code Enforcement Lien), 3-BB (Hazard Mitigation Grant Program Application), 3-HH (Joint Participation Agreement with Florida Department of Transportation), 3-YY (Project Feasibility Report), 3-ZZ.2 (RFP No. 057-06, Cellular Communications and Equipment), and 3-ZZ.10 (Bid Waiver Request, Purchase of Pontoon Boat and Trailer), approved the balance of the following consent agenda items, and authorized the Chairman to execute all related documents:*

3-A Minutes of the regular meeting held on April 11, 2006.

3-B County warrants for payroll and accounts payable.

3-C Budget Resolutions:

RESOLUTION NO. 2006-097

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE GENERAL OPERATING BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005-06

WHEREAS, the Citrus County Sheriff's Office has received funds for auction proceeds, zylon vest settlement, difibulators donation, and a CAD reimbursement; and

WHEREAS, these funds will be used for operating expenditures, and capital outlay; and

WHEREAS, this was not included in the original budget process; therefore, it is necessary for the Board to amend the budget for fiscal year 2005-06; and

WHEREAS, Section 129.06(d), Florida Statutes, provides that the Board may amend its budget by resolution spread upon its minutes to appropriate and expend funds from a source not anticipated in the Board's regular budget; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida as follows:

1. The Board does hereby amend its budget to include the estimated revenues and expenditures listed in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.
2. The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to spread this resolution upon the minutes of the meeting held this date.

ADOPTED, in regular session this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of May 2006, by the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners.

ATTEST:  
/s/ Betty Strifler

BETTY STRIFLER, CLERK

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS  
OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA

/s/ Gary Bartell

GARY BARTELL, CHAIRMAN

<b>EXHIBIT "A"</b>			
	ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
<i>Revenues</i>	001-369-900	Miscellaneous Revenue	191,003
		Total Revenues	\$191,003
<i>Expenditures</i>	001-3101-53000	Operating Expenditures	171,170
	001-3101-56000	Capital Outlay	19,833
		Total Expenditures	\$191,003

RESOLUTION NO. 2006-098

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA, ADOPTING/AMENDING THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS BUDGETS FOR FY 2005-06

WHEREAS, the Citrus County Sheriff's Office is authorized by Florida Statutes to establish special revenue funds; and

WHEREAS, the Citrus County Sheriff has established the following special revenue funds: Buffer Zone Protection Plan, Florida Fish and Wildlife Commission Grant, Homeland Security Grants, Drug Coalition and K-9 Contributions; and

WHEREAS, this was not included in the original budget process; therefore, it is necessary for the Board to amend the budget for fiscal year 2005-06; and

WHEREAS, Section 129.06(d), Florida Statutes, provides that the Board may amend its budget by resolution spread upon its minutes to appropriate and expend funds from a source not anticipated in the Board's regular budget; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida as follows:

1. The Board does hereby amend its budget to include the estimated revenues and expenditures listed in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.
2. The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to spread this resolution upon the minutes of the meeting held this date.

ADOPTED, in regular session this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of May 2006, by the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners.

ATTEST:  
/s/ Betty Strifler

BETTY STRIFLER, CLERK

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS  
OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA

/s/ Gary Bartell

GARY BARTELL, CHAIRMAN

<b>EXHIBIT "A"</b>			
	ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
<b>BUFFER ZONE PROTECTION PLAN</b>			
<i>Revenues</i>		Federal Grants	\$50,000
<i>Expenditures</i>		Capital Outlay	\$50,000
<b>FLORIDA FISH AND WILDLIFE COMMISSION</b>			
<i>Revenues</i>		Federal Grants	\$87,976
<i>Expenditures</i>		Capital Outlay	\$87,976
<b>HOMELAND SECURITY 06-DS-3W-05-19-01-347</b>			
<i>Revenues</i>		Federal Grants	39,875
		Total Revenues	\$39,875

<i>Expenditures</i>		Operating Expenses	17,625
		Capital Outlay	22,250
		Total Expenditures	\$39,875
HOMELAND SECURITY 06-DS-3W-05-19-01-352			
<i>Revenues</i>		Federal Grants	\$29,970
<i>Expenditures</i>		Capital Outlay	\$29,970
DRUG COALITION			
<i>Revenues</i>		Federal Grants	9,315
		Total Revenues	\$9,315
<i>Expenditures</i>		Operating Expenses	8,958
		Non-Operating Expenses	357
		Total Expenditures	\$9,315
K-9 CONTRIBUTIONS			
<i>Revenues</i>		Contributions – Private Sources	\$1,000
<i>Expenditures</i>		Capital Outlay	\$1,000

RESOLUTION NO. 2006-099

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE REFORESTATION BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005-06

WHEREAS, the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners collects funds for reforestation efforts; and

WHEREAS, these funds will be used for trees and landscaping; and

WHEREAS, this was not included in the original budget process; therefore, it is necessary for the Board to amend the budget for fiscal year 2005-06; and

WHEREAS, Section 129.06(d), Florida Statutes, provides that the Board may amend its budget by resolution spread upon its minutes to appropriate and expend funds from a source not anticipated in the Board’s regular budget; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida as follows:

1. The Board does hereby amend its budget to include the estimated revenues and expenditures listed in Exhibit “A” attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.
2. The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to spread this resolution upon the minutes of the meeting held this date.

ADOPTED, in regular session this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of May 2006, by the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners.

ATTEST:

/s/ Betty Strifler

BETTY STRIFLER, CLERK

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS  
OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA

/s/ Gary Bartell

GARY BARTELL, CHAIRMAN

<b>EXHIBIT "A"</b>			
	ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
<i>Revenues</i>	008-400-200	Cash Carry Forward	\$8,000
<i>Expenditures</i>	008-5765-55200	Operating Supplies	\$8,000

RESOLUTION NO. 2006-100

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE CODE ENFORCEMENT BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005-06

WHEREAS, Code Enforcement receives funds for nuisance abatement fines and has received funds in excess of the budgeted amount; and

WHEREAS, these excess funds are available to be appropriated in the 2005-06 fiscal year and will be used for nuisance abatement; and

WHEREAS, this was not included in the original budget process; therefore, it is necessary for the Board to amend the budget for fiscal year 2005-06; and

WHEREAS, Section 129.06(d), Florida Statutes, provides that the Board may amend its budget by resolution spread upon its minutes to appropriate and expend funds from a source not anticipated in the Board's regular budget; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida as follows:

1. The Board does hereby amend its budget to include the estimated revenues and expenditures listed in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.
2. The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to spread this resolution upon the minutes of the meeting held this date.

ADOPTED, in regular session this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of May 2006, by the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners.

ATTEST:

/s/ Betty Strifler  
 BETTY STRIFLER, CLERK

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS  
 OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA  
 /s/ Gary Bartell  
 GARY BARTELL, CHAIRMAN

<b>EXHIBIT "A"</b>			
	ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
<i>Revenues</i>	001-351-120	Code Enforcement Fines	\$25,000
<i>Expenditures</i>	001-3345-54914	Nuisance Abatement	\$25,000

RESOLUTION NO. 2006-101

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE DRUG COURT BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005-06

WHEREAS, the Citrus County Drug Court receives funds for Participation Fees and Court Fines, Drug Court has received additional revenue from these fees; and

WHEREAS, these funds will be used to offset the cost of drug testing and operating supplies; and

WHEREAS, this was not included in the original budget process; therefore, it is necessary for the Board to amend the budget for fiscal year 2005-06; and

WHEREAS, Section 129.06(d), Florida Statutes, provides that the Board may amend its budget by resolution spread upon its minutes to appropriate and expend funds from a source not anticipated in the Board's regular budget; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida as follows:

1. The Board does hereby amend its budget to include the estimated revenues and expenditures listed in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.
2. The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to spread this resolution upon the minutes of the meeting held this date.

ADOPTED, in regular session this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of May 2006, by the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners.

ATTEST:

/s/ Betty Strifler  
 BETTY STRIFLER, CLERK

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS  
 OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA  
 /s/ Gary Bartell  
 GARY BARTELL, CHAIRMAN

<b>EXHIBIT "A"</b>			
	ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
<i>Revenues</i>	040-342-800	Drug Court Participation Fees	1,275
	040-351-110	Court Fines	11,725
		Total Revenues	\$13,000

<i>Expenditures</i>	040-5750-55200	Operating Supplies	13,000
		Total Expenditures	\$13,000

RESOLUTION NO. 2006-102

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA, ADOPTING THE LOW INCOME HOME ENERGY ASSISTANCE PROGRAM BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005-06

WHEREAS, the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners executed a Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program agreement with the State of Florida, Department of Community Affairs; and

WHEREAS, the State of Florida, Department of Community Affairs executed the agreement providing funds to benefit low income residents of the county; and

WHEREAS, this was not included in the original budget process; therefore, it is necessary for the Board to amend the budget for fiscal year 2005-06; and

WHEREAS, Section 129.06(d), Florida Statutes, provides that the Board may amend its budget by resolution spread upon its minutes to appropriate and expend funds from a source not anticipated in the Board's regular budget; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida as follows:

1. The Board does hereby amend its budget to include the estimated revenues and expenditures listed in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.
2. The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to spread this resolution upon the minutes of the meeting held this date.

ADOPTED, in regular session this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of May 2006, by the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners.

ATTEST:

/s/ Betty Strifler

BETTY STRIFLER, CLERK

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS  
OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA

/s/ Gary Bartell

GARY BARTELL, CHAIRMAN

<b>EXHIBIT "A"</b>			
	ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
<i>Revenues</i>	167G-331-590	Federal Grant	128,222
		Total Revenues	\$128,222
<i>Expenditures</i>	167G-5373G-51205	Salaries – Outreach	15,293
	167G-5373G-52100	FICA Taxes	1,170
	167G-5373G-52200	Retirement Contributions	1,197
	167G-5373G-52300	Life and Health Insurance	868
	167G-5373G-52400	Worker's Compensation	133
	167G-5373G-54000	Travel and Per Diem	100
	167G-5373G-54160	Postage	240
	167G-5373G-54921	Advertising	200
	167G-5373G-54922	Energy Assistance Payments	32,055
	167G-5373G-54923	Energy Assistance – Crisis	74,370
	167G-5373G-54924	Weather Related Emergency	2,564
	167G-5373G-55417	Training	32
		Total Expenditures	\$128,222

RESOLUTION NO. 2006-103

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA, ADOPTING THE EMERGENCY HOME ENERGY ASSISTANCE FOR THE ELDERLY BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005-06

WHEREAS, on February 14, 2006, through Resolution 2006-031 the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners approved an application for financial assistance under the Emergency Home Energy Assistance for the Elderly Program and a contract to provide services with the Mid-Florida Area Agency on Aging, Inc.; and

WHEREAS, on May 1, 2006, the Mid-Florida Area Agency on Aging, Inc. executed the contract to provide funds to benefit elderly residents of the county; and

WHEREAS, this was not included in the original budget process; therefore, it is necessary for the Board to amend the budget for fiscal year 2005-06; and

WHEREAS, Section 129.06(d), Florida Statutes, provides that the Board may amend its budget by resolution spread upon its minutes to appropriate and expend funds from a source not anticipated in the Board's regular budget; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida as follows

1. The Board does hereby amend its budget to include the estimated revenues and expenditures listed in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.
2. The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to spread this resolution upon the minutes of the meeting held this date.

ADOPTED, in regular session this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of May 2006, by the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners.

ATTEST:

/s/ Betty Strifler  
BETTY STRIFLER, CLERK

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA

/s/ Gary Bartell  
GARY BARTELL, CHAIRMAN

<b>EXHIBIT "A"</b>			
	ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
<i>Revenues</i>	188G-331-590	Federal Grant	* <del>\$28,000</del> \$25,000
<i>Expenditures</i>	188G-5331G-54300	Utility Services	* <del>\$28,000</del> \$25,000

(\*Clerk's Note: The above correction was submitted by the Office of Management and Budget after the agenda was published.)

RESOLUTION NO. 2006-104

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE 2005 DOMESTIC PREPAREDNESS TRAINING GRANT BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005-06

WHEREAS, on February 14, 2006, the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners executed a Memorandum of Understanding Agreement with the State of Florida Department of Financial Services/Division of State Fire Marshal; and

WHEREAS, excess funds for this grant have been received and need to be appropriated to reimburse Citrus County for training and salaries; and

WHEREAS, this was not included in the original budget process; therefore, it is necessary for the Board to amend the budget for fiscal year 2005-06; and

WHEREAS, Section 129.06(d), Florida Statutes, provides that the Board may amend its budget by resolution spread upon its minutes to appropriate and expend funds from a source not anticipated in the Board's regular budget; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Citrus County, Florida as follows:

1. The Board does hereby amend its budget to include the estimated revenues and expenditures listed in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof by reference.

2. The Clerk of the Board is hereby directed to spread this resolution upon the minutes of the meeting held this date.

ADOPTED, in regular session this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of May 2006, by the Citrus County Board of County Commissioners.

ATTEST:

/s/ Betty Strifler

BETTY STRIFLER, CLERK

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

OF CITRUS COUNTY, FLORIDA

/s/ Gary Bartell

GARY BARTELL, CHAIRMAN

<b>EXHIBIT "A"</b>			
	ACCOUNT NUMBER	ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
Revenues	157-331-200	Federal Grant	15,589
		<i>Total Revenues</i>	\$15,589
Expenditures	157-5857-51200	Regular Salaries & Wages	12,297
	157-5857-51306	Casual Labor	3,292
		<i>Total Expenditures</i>	\$15,589

3-D Budget Transfers: **Public Works Administration:** \$351 from #102-4103-54615 to #-55270, and \$500 from #-55208, \$500 from #-54603, and \$500 from #-51400 to #-55417. **Fire Rescue:** \$88,390 from #154-9996-60120 with \$39,000 to #-3200-55201 and \$49,390 to #-55205. **Systems Management:** \$5,000 from #001-2150-55417 to #-53100. **Parks and Recreation (P & R) District 4 Impact Fees:** \$35,000 from #639-2839-56300 to #-53100. **Lecanto Office Building:** \$800 from #220-4122-60050 to #-53100. **Landfill:** \$2,300 from #401-5218-56400 to #-55210. **Chassahowitzka River Campground:** \$500 from #108-6150-54600 and \$100 from #-54000 to #-54603.

3-E Releases of Liens for special assessments that had been paid in full for BTI, LLC, A. Dean Nix, and Peter and Angela Governale as recorded in Resolution No. 99-099; Victor V. Alloco as recorded in Resolution No. 2000-135; and James E. and Sheryl Chilton as recorded in Resolution Nos. 2002-141 and 2002-142.

3-F Satisfactions of Judgments for Eric William Maier, Case No. 1996 CT 001717; Linda Lou Gates, Case No. 02-00212-CF; Kevin Lee Cason, Case No. 02-03094-MM; and Daniel S. Hilton, Case No. 1996 MM 001224.

3-G Donation of assets as indicated below and removal from the County's capital asset list:

Property Number	Description	Organization
15856	Dell Laptop	The Path of Citrus County, Inc.
15857	Dell Laptop	The Path of Citrus County, Inc.
15223	Dell Laptop	The Path of Citrus County, Inc.

3-H Deletion of the following items from the County's capital asset list:

<b>Community Support Services</b>		
Property Number	Description	Condition
13618	Pool table	non-repairable
<b>Housing Services Division</b>		
13326	Compaq Laptop	unable to locate

<b>Road Maintenance Division</b>		
10999	Xerox Copy Machine	unable to locate
12799	Motorola Mobile Radio	unable to locate
13917	K2 Computer w/monitor	unable to locate
<b>Systems Management Division</b>		
13337	Uptech Computer	obsolete
15868	Dell Computer	obsolete
15867	Dell Computer	obsolete
15871	Dell Laptop	obsolete
14366	Dell Computer	obsolete
14317	Winbook Laptop	obsolete
10835	Cable Scanner	obsolete
15545	Dell Computer	obsolete
15737	Dell Computer	obsolete
13496	HP Deskjet Printer	obsolete
15313	Dell Computer	obsolete
15553	Dell Computer	obsolete
11619	Shiva Server	obsolete
15879	Dell Computer	obsolete
14416	Dell Computer	obsolete
14402	Dell Computer	obsolete

3-I Satisfactions of Liens filed by and on behalf of the Citrus County Municipal Service Benefit Unit for Water and Wastewater Utility Services against property owned by Michael W. Hoffman, Ruth Williams, and Dianna I. Robb.

3-J Acceptance of the completed Crystal River Airport Access Road Project and approve the final payment of \$2,510.59 and release of retainage of \$38,540.48 to Pave-Rite, Inc.

3-K Acceptance of the completed Bicentennial Park Phase I Project and release of retainage for \$28,623.11 to Pave-Rite, Inc.

3-L Acceptance of the completed Bluebird Springs Park Phase I Project the release of retainage for \$2,632.20 to Pave-Rite, Inc.

3-M Settlement of \$23,125 for Parcels 146 and 704 for the CR 486 Capital Road Improvement Project.

3-N Settlement of \$9,500 for expert witness fees and costs for parcel 718 for the CR 486 Capital Road Improvement Project.

3-O Administrative Regulation 10.06-3, entitled Regulating Water Activity.

3-Q Property Damage Only Release submitted by Eveready Fire & Security Equipment, Timothy Jordan Scalzi and Allstate Auto Commercial for reimbursement of completed repairs for the traffic signal operation at US 19 and Grover Cleveland Boulevard for \$38,000.

3-R Notice of Commencement to Winkel Construction Inc., for construction of handicap access to the Turner Camp Boat Ramp.

3-S Release of lien in CEB Case No. 04-314 filed against property owned by Mark/Steven Elbrecht and Willard Middelstadt for \$1,584.74.

3-T Pool Service Contract with Citrus Custom Pool & Services, Inc. d/b/a Citrus Pool Service for servicing the swimming pool at the Citrus County Resource Center/VA Clinic from May 23, 2006, through May 23, 2007, for \$75 weekly.

3-U **(1)** Resolution relating to the 2006 Limerock Road Paving Program, approving the preliminary assessment roll and reported costs, and setting the time, date, and place of a public hearing on the special assessment roll; and **(2)** setting a public hearing for July 25, 2006, at 5:01 P.M. in the Commissioner Chambers at the Citrus County Courthouse, 110 North Apopka Avenue in Inverness to receive public comment on adoption of a resolution adopting and certifying a non-ad valorem assessment roll for the 2006 Limerock Road Paving Program pursuant to Section 197.3632, Florida Statutes and providing an effective date.  
**RESOLUTION NO. 2006-105**

3-V Application Suite Pricing from Siemens for replacement of hardware and software plus one-year system maintenance on the Siemens telephone system located in the Lecanto Government Building for \$36,609.10.

3-W Schedule A MAC Product Order agreement with Siemens Communications, Inc., for implementation of a modification to the Inverness telephone switch located in the Citrus County Courthouse beginning June 1, 2006, and ending July 31, 2006, for \$10,231.64.

3-X **(1)** Buy-back agreement as stated in Bid Document No. 00-088 to sell equipment No. 1040 a Bomag BC671 RB Compactor at \$85,000 to Highland Tractor Co., and **(2)** the Clerk to remove equipment No. 1040 from the County's capital asset list and authorize the release of documents.

3-Y **(1)** Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission Florida Boating Improvement Program Grant Application for Fiscal Year 2006-2007 for the Fort Island Trail Park Improvements, and **(2)** a resolution authorizing the grant application and Rafael Del Valle, P & R Manager, as the project manager to apply and administer the grant on their behalf and to execute any follow-up documents connected with the grant.  
**RESOLUTION NO. 2006-106**

3-Z The County Attorney to attend the 25<sup>th</sup> Annual Seminar, Florida Municipal Attorneys Association to be held in Bonita Springs, Florida July 20 through 22, 2006.

3-AA Citrus County/Florida Governmental Utility Authority Interlocal Agreement for Activation of Provision of Temporary Emergency Potable Water Interconnection constructed on April 2, 2006, in the northerly right-of-way of CR 486 where the water main intersected the westerly right-of-way of West Pine Ridge Boulevard.

3-CC Replat/Substantially Similar Plat of Maggie Acres and the Clerk to record the plat in the public records.

3-DD Work Authorization Invoice (1575242) Agreement with Progress Energy for \$7,100.45 to modify single-phase service to three-phase service for the soccer field lights at Homosassa Area Recreation Park.

3-EE Work Authorization Invoice (1550640) Agreement with Progress Energy for \$111.35 for the installation of poles and lights at Fort Island Trail Park.

3-FF Letter to Denise James, District Chief, Chassahowitzka Volunteer Fire Department, to terminate the lease on a 1990 GMC station wagon, VIN ~~\*1FDKE30L3DHA46802~~ 1GKGR26N5LF514614 due to the age and condition of the vehicle. **(\*Clerk's note: correction was submitted by the Department of Public Safety after the Board meeting was adjourned.)**

3-GG Ratification of The Centers Board of Directors' approval of Julia McHugh as the newest member of their board.

3-II Release to CSX Transportation, Inc., for liability of claims by the County for donated property located in the Southwest 1/4 of the Northwest 1/4 of Section 26, Township 18 South, Range 19 East (former railroad right-of-way).

3-JJ Appointment of David Noble to a member-at-large position on the Historical Resources Advisory Board for a term that would expire September 30, 2006.

3-KK Special public meeting on June 9, 2006, at 9:00 A.M. in the Commission Chambers at the Citrus County Courthouse, 110 North Apopka Avenue in Inverness for discussing the finalists for the County Administrator position with the consultant from the Mercer Group, Inc.

3-LL Special public meeting on June 19, 2006, at 9:00 A.M. in the Commission Chambers at the Citrus County Courthouse, 110 North Apopka Avenue in Inverness for interviewing finalists for the County Administrator position.

3-MM Special public event on June 19, 2006, at 6:00 P.M. at the Citrus County Resource Center, 2804 West Marc Knighton Court in Lecanto for meeting the County Administrator candidates.

3-NN Special public meeting on June 20, 2006, at 2:00 P.M. in the Commission Chambers at the Citrus County Courthouse, 110 North Apopka Avenue in Inverness for selecting the County Administrator and approving the associated contract.

3-OO Experience Works SCSEP (Senior Community Service Employment Program) Host Agency Agreement to provide work opportunities for older individuals within the Community Support Services Division beginning May 23, 2006, and continuing until amended or terminated by either party.

3-PP Budgetary Schedule A MAC Product Order with Siemens Communications, Inc., for implementation of a modification to the telephone switch in the Lecanto Government Building beginning June 5, 2006, and ending July 28, 2006, for \$34,750.06.

3-QQ Travel request for Albert McLaurin, Engineering Services Director, to attend the American Water Works Association Conference in San Antonio, Texas, June 9 through 17, 2006.

3-RR Travel request for Laurie McLean, Fire Rescue Inspector, to attend a course entitled "Fire Protection: Structures and Systems" at the National Fire Academy in Emmitsburg, Maryland, July 10 through July 21, 2006.

3-SS Payment of \$137,295 to Arthur J. Gallagher & Co., for Workers' Compensation premium audit for FY 2004/2005.

3-TT Proclamation declaring May 14 through 20, 2006, "National Law Enforcement Appreciation Week", and May 15, 2006, "Law Enforcement Officers Memorial Day".

3-UU Information regarding a Complaint that had been filed in the Circuit Court in the matter styled: "*Veolia Water North America Operating Services, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company authorized to do business in the State of Florida, and Professional Services Group, Inc., a Minnesota corporation authorized to do business in the State of Florida vs. Beverly Hills Waste Management Corporation, a Florida corporation; Rolling Oaks Utilities, Inc., a Florida corporation; Beverly Hills Development Corporation, a Florida corporation; Anchor Properties, Inc., a Florida corporation; Ronald J. Collins, individually; and Citrus County, Florida, a political subdivision of the State of Florida*".

3-VV Indemnity Agreement with Carnahans Supply, Inc., to extend existing water line for relocating a fire hydrant to meet current fire codes in the right-of-way of East Street.

3-WW Terms and conditions expressed in the April 27, 2006, letter from Sobering, White & Luczak, P.A., for services to provide certain title documents and research to support acquisition of the Florida Governmental Authority (FGUA) Citrus systems.

3-XX Conditions and terms expressed in the May 3, 2006, letter from the Zimmet, Unice, Salzman & Heyman, P.A., to begin pre-litigation action concerning the lack of progress by Graham Contracting, Inc., in completing the Extension Services/Environmental Health facility in Lecanto.

3-ZZ Bid/RFP (Request for Proposal) Award Recommendations: **(1) Bid No. 019-06, Utilities Division (UD) - Well Pump/Motor Repairs:** to A.C. Schultes as the lowest bidder; **(3) Bid No. 078-06, Housing Services Division (HSD) - Home Repairs:** RHB 2005-001 at the base bid total of \$21,800 plus Alternate No. 1 total of \$2,000 for a total cost of \$23,800 to Donle Enterprises, Inc., as the lowest bidder; **(4) Bid No. 080-06, HSD - Home Repairs:** RHB 2004-08 at the base bid total of \$23,730 plus the flooring allowance of \$1,500 for a total cost of \$25,230 to Duke & Duke Associates, Inc. as the only bidder; **(5) RFP No. 077-06, Community Services Department (CSD) - Senior Club Magazine:** to Excel Printing as the only bidder and authorize the Chairman to execute contract

documents upon receipt; **(6) Bid No. 056-04, P & R - Mowing at the Cemeteries and Health Department:** renewing the current bid with MJW of Crystal River, LLC under the same terms and conditions listed in the bid documents; **(7) Bid No. 064-04, Maintenance Operations Division - Fire Alarm Repairs:** renewing the current bid with Specialty Electronics Systems at a five percent increase in accordance with the bid documents; **(8) Bid No. 068-05, UD - Electric Motor Repairs:** renewing the current bid with Tampa Armature Works under the same terms and conditions listed in the bid documents; **(9) Bid No. 088-05, UD - Fire Hydrant Painting:** renewing the current bid with Harry's Painting & Enterprises, Inc., under the same terms and conditions listed in the bid documents; **(11) Bid Waiver Request, Fire Rescue, MSA Products:** waiving the bid procedures and allowing the division to purchase MSA products from Ten-8 Fire Equipments, Inc.

3-P **CODE ENFORCEMENT LIEN**

Commissioner Valentino informed the Board that this property remained in junkyard condition; however, had been sold to a new owner.

***A motion was made by Commissioner Fowler and seconded by Commissioner Damato to approve and authorize the Chairman to execute a Release of Lien in Code Enforcement Board (CEB) Case No. 0502-135 filed against property owned by Stephen H. Webb for \$17,250.***

Mr. Battista asked the Board to pull this item for further investigation due to Commissioner Valentino's comments about the condition of the property. He advised that normally a code enforcement officer certified that the condition had abated regardless of the ownership.

***Commissioners Fowler and Damato withdrew their motions.***

3-BB **HAZARD MITIGATION GRANT PROGRAM APPLICATION**

Mr. Dick explained that this was the application for FEMA (Federal Emergency Management Agency) funding to supplement the EOC (Emergency Operation Center) construction. He advised that the state preferred that the County hold this application until their next funding cycle, which would be more appropriately geared toward assistance in EOCs and shelters. He asked the Board to approve the application with the knowledge that staff would detain the application as requested by the state.

***Upon motion by Commissioner Bartell, seconded by Commissioner Fowler, and carried unanimously, the Board approved and authorized the Chairman to execute the State of Florida - Joint Hazard Mitigation Grant Program & Flood Mitigation Assistance Application for funding to upgrade construction of the new Emergency Operations Center/9-1-1 Communications Center to ensure protection from a Category 5 Hurricane.***

3-HH **JOINT PARTICIPATION AGREEMENT (JPA) WITH FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (FDOT)**

Mr. Dick responded to several questions from Commissioner Valentino as follows: **(1)** this JPA was for construction of hangars at the Inverness Airport to be sited in accordance with the master plan after the environmental assessment was completed, **(2)** there would be additional hangars; however, those would not be funded during this FDOT

funding cycle, (3) staff had requested that the Mosquito Control District remove the building on the leased plot of ground at the airport and staff would provide another plot of ground for them to construct their own hangar for their helicopter at a later date, and (4) the funding in the CIP (Capital Improvement Program) was not for the existing hangars.

Mr. Dick replied to Commissioner Phillips' question regarding the hangars by stating that this funding was for a T-Hangar, which housed multiple planes. Quincy Wylupek, Engineering Project Manager, explained that the type of hangars would be determined by need and would most likely be T-Hangars, this funding could supply six to eight T-Hangars, and the new hangars would be similar to those at the Crystal River Airport.

***Upon motion by Commissioner Fowler, seconded by Commissioner Damato, and carried unanimously, the Board approved the State of Florida Department of Transportation Public Transportation Joint Participation Agreement (Financial Project No. 410185-1-94-01, Contract No. AOD-57) for \$480,125 for the development, design, and construction of hangars at the Inverness Airport.***

3-YY ***PROJECT FEASIBILITY REPORT***

Commissioner Damato questioned the replacement of expended funds for the FGUA acquisition, and Mr. Battista explained that staff would be presenting a refunding resolution, which would include all those funds expended from the general fund or enterprise funds when the County entered into the financing agreements.

Mr. Battista also confirmed for the Chairman that a cost estimate of the acquisition would be presented to the Board at the next meeting.

***Upon motion by Commissioner Fowler, seconded by Commissioner Valentino, and carried unanimously, the Board authorized the Chairman to execute the engagement letter with Public Resources Management Group, Inc., to perform the Citrus County Utilities Project Feasibility Report Series 2006 Bonds Scope of Services with regard to the FGUA acquisition.***

3-ZZ.2 ***RFP NO. 057-06, CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS AND EQUIPMENT***

Commissioner Phillips asked for the comparison information from the committee that evaluated this bid because she wanted to ensure that the County was getting the best service for the most people at the best price.

Charles Polisenio, Director of Public Safety, stated that he was a member of the committee that evaluated the different networks and equipment. He explained the committee's process and decision to recommend Sprint, and advised that the committee reviewed price, equipment, and start up and change of equipment costs.

***Upon motion by Commissioner Phillips, seconded by Commissioner Valentino, and carried unanimously, the Board approved RFP No. 057-06 from the Office of Management and Budget for cellular communications and equipment to Sprint as the top ranked proposal, and authorized the Chairman to execute the contract documents upon receipt.***

**3-ZZ.10 BID WAIVER REQUEST, PURCHASE OF PONTOON BOAT AND TRAILER**

Commissioner Phillips expressed concern about waiving this bid when there were so many places to purchase boats and trailers in the County.

Mr. Dick stated that the request was for a pontoon boat and trailer that would replace an existing boat and trailer in disrepair. Mark Edwards, Director of Aquatic Services, explained the problems that occurred during this bid process and stated that this bid waiver request included the boat and trailer from a local vendor. He further explained that the request was for a 24-foot deck pontoon boat with triple pontoons. Short discussion followed regarding lack of vendors bidding, insurance requirements, researching the bid process, and so on.

***Upon motion by Commissioner Phillips, seconded by Commissioner Fowler, and carried unanimously, the Board approved (1) rescinding the approval to purchase a pontoon boat and trailer from Darryl's Marine for \$10,510 because the vendor did not include the cost of the trailer in the quote, and (2) waiving the bid procedures and allowing the division to purchase a pontoon boat and trailer from Gulf to Lake Sales, Inc., for \$11,395.***

**2-I PDO-05-01, HOMOSASSA RIVERSIDE RESORT, LLC**

Commissioner Bartell advised that his daughter worked for the Homosassa Riverside Resort; however, he said that Mr. Battista informed him there was no conflict of interest.

Mrs. Pace described the property in this application as approximately 6.20 acres, a portion of an 8.93-acre parcel located on the Homosassa River.

Margaret A. Beake, AIA, AICP, Senior Planner, CDD, stated that the applicant's request for a PDO was to establish a master development plan for Homosassa Riverside Resort LLC in the Old Homosassa Special Overlay District (Overlay District). She stated that all buildings on the subject PDO property would be demolished and new ones constructed. She reported that the applicant (Gail Oakes) was proposing redevelopment and new development to include 72 motel suites in condominium ownership in four story buildings with three floors of motel living space above parking, 15 motel single rooms, and 3,600 square feet of retail space. She also stated that there would be a total decrease of approximately 3,400 square feet inside the motel area and an increase of 60 motel units in the PDO area, but with the decrease in the last five years, the net result was 40 motel units for the entire property. She mentioned that the applicant envisioned an upscale development that would be compatible with the character of Old Homosassa. She reported that the application did not comply with the LDC height standard for the Overlay District, which allowed for one less floor; however, the applicant proposed the following mitigating design features: lower lot coverage (45 percent) rather than the allowed 70 percent to preserve large trees and open space; parking at grade under the buildings to minimize fill and grading; and new public access to the water and a nature trail. She indicated that the application was received prior to the adoption of the Overlay District and because of the applicant's proposed mitigating design features, staff recommended the following two options for the Board's consideration:

**Option A:** As proposed by the applicant, the buildings would be limited to three living floors above parking and maximum 45 percent lot coverage.

**Option B:** In compliance with the Old Homosassa Area Redevelopment Plan (Redevelopment Plan) of the LDC, height would be limited to two living floors above parking, and a maximum 70 percent lot coverage.

She advised that on April 6, 2006, the PDRB recommended approval of Option A. She stated that several written comments had been received in support of the application, a few written comments against, and numerous phone calls expressing opposition. She discussed other standards to the amendment of the site, conditions that had been met prior to today's agenda, and some of the other 21 conditions recommended including signage, pedestrian activity, amenities, required parking study, environmental standards, fire prevention, tree preservation, central water and sewer, evacuation plan, and so on.

Mrs. Beake, Mrs. Lieberman, and Mr. Maidhof answered questions from Commissioner Bartell as follows regarding the land use being grandfathered in prior to the Overlay District, height issues, and property designations in the area:

- The application was received in February and the Overlay District was adopted in July (2005).
- The applicant had presented mitigating design features for the building height.
- Regulations or provisions in the COMP applied regardless of when the application was submitted. All development orders/building permits must comply with the standards in the COMP.
- The COMP did not specify height, massing, and setback standards.
- The Redevelopment Plan stated that such development standards would be adopted in the LDC.
- The biggest discrepancy was the height issue of two or three stories over parking.
- The height restriction was described as stories not footage in the Overlay District regardless of residential or nonresidential zoning.
- The land use designation for the entire property owned by the applicant was GNC.
- The property had the development rights of GNC with a caveat that any redevelopment would have to meet the Coastal High Hazard Area (CHHA) regulations.
- The vacant land to the east of the property and most of the property in the immediate area was zoned Coastal Lakes Residential.

The Chairman opened the public portion of the workshop and asked if anyone wished to speak in favor.

Mr. Bertoch stated that he had been working with Ms. Oakes on the condominium documents for the Riverside Resort, and that the resort area needed to be upgraded. He referenced an analysis in the staff report regarding the GNC land use being inconsistent, but that it was a valid existing use because it was vested prior to the adopted COMP.

Ms. Oakes, managing partner of the Riverside Resort, distributed pictures of the proposed development and another letter in favor (filed with the Clerk's agenda). She informed that the number of rooms was not a substantial increase and when completed it

would have 40 additional hotel rooms, a reduction in retail space, and setbacks from the water would be at least 50 feet. She described the improvements and benefits of the development. She gave a brief history of the development since she had acquired the property in 1991, and stated that in January 2005 the County suggested the PDO, which apparently was during the time of the establishment of the Overlay District. She added that the application began at least six months prior to the adoption of the Overlay District, and that the project complied with the COMP and LDC standards.

She described the exterior of the subject buildings for Commissioner Damato and stated that every element of the buildings met the Overlay District criteria of cracker style homes.

Chase Palmes and Stu Murphy also spoke in favor of the project.

The Chairman then asked if anyone wished to speak in opposition. Priscilla Watkins, Winston Perry, Mary Kathleen and Charles Stonerock, Tom Sanders, Iris Stone, Jim Bitter, Rosemary Renduels, Helen Spivey, Jonella Ierna, Diann Schultz, and Tess Cornett expressed opposition and discussed the following concerns:

- Potential for four stories versus two allowed in the Overlay District
- No definition of story in the Redevelopment Plan or the COMP
- ADA requirements and problems with the elevators
- Adequate retention ponds and proper drainage on parking lots for stormwater runoff
- 180-day residency in the condominiums rather than transient occupancy
- Density in a highly crowded environmentally sensitive area
- The County's GNC designation had no set standards of maximum density
- Setting a dangerous precedent for density in the CHHA
- Boat, vehicle, and emergency service traffic
- Homosassa River being an outstanding Florida waterway requiring special protection
- Three and four story condominiums would change the character and charm of this quiet town atmosphere and fishing village
- Potable and fire suppression water availability and ongoing water pressure problems
- Inaccuracy of the traffic study
- Overburdening the infrastructure in the area
- Evacuation problems due to a narrow curvy two-lane road
- Danger to the manatees

Mr. Perry also gave a history of the Overlay District and Redevelopment Plan and stressed the importance of the aesthetics of homes and other structures to the citizens and boaters of the Homosassa community. He requested that the Board direct Ms. Oakes to withdraw the project and return with a complete redesign and submission, and to adhere to the rules established in the COMP, the Overlay District, and the Redevelopment Plan. Mrs. Schultz urged the Board to affirm their approval of the Overlay District, which was adopted nine months before the completed application of this project in April 2006, and to deny three floors over parking to any proposal within the Overlay District.

With no further public comment, the Chairman closed the public portion of the workshop. Ms. Oakes declined the Chairman's offer for rebuttal.

Lengthy discussion followed, and Mr. Maidhof and Mrs. Beake responded to questions from the Board about specific issues as follows:

- Stormwater runoff must be addressed for new development, but the requirements would depend on whether there was 45 percent or 70 percent lot coverage.
- This property was in a high water table area, so water detention rather than retention would be the problem.
- The base flood level elevation would be between four and five feet above the ground.
- The main reason height was not specified in the COMP was because of various types of structures and not having an ability to obtain a variance.
- Since the LDC did not define stories, the applicant could build two stories over parking the same height as three stories, which would cover more area with less green space.
- There was sufficient water and wastewater capacity; however, delivery, water pressure design, lift stations, and sewer lines would be addressed later.
- The existing development could establish vested rights because it was in existence before the Overlay District was adopted, but the question was the redesign and the intensity of the project.
- PDOs were generally viewed as master plans with conditions and the applicant must address stormwater runoff, water flow, fire, traffic, and other issues before obtaining building permits.

Commissioner Phillips stated that although the applicant had presented a beautiful conception, she did not think exceptions should be made to the Overlay District and Redevelopment Plan, and the applicant could lower the height and still meet all the standards.

Commissioner Damato requested that the applicant consider the following before the public hearing: public access to the water; combining public and private access elements; encourage walking rather than driving in the area; using the highest aesthetic façade standards incorporating native materials; using concrete pavers or turf block and gravel parking lot to detain water; overflow parking for the boat ramp in off peak times; resort style development mirroring the Overlay District; native landscaping and buffers; hurricane evacuation plan; and minor drainage retrofits in some existing buildings to help preserve water quality on the Homosassa River.

Mr. Maidhof announced that the public hearing for this application would be July 11, 2006, at 5:45 P.M.

**The Chairman recessed the meeting until 5:58 P.M.**

2-J *PDO-06-03, KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC., FOR RIVER OAKS PROPERTIES, INC.*

Mrs. Pace stated that the subject property was approximately 100 acres located in the Hernando area and she displayed the proposed master plan submitted for the project.

Joanna L. Coutu, AICP, Senior Planner, CDD, stated that this application was for a PDO located on SR 200. She explained that the original application was filed as a CPA/AA/PDO and following discussions with staff the applicant revised the plan and removed the CPA component as well as 50 of the proposed units. She further explained that the revised plan complied with the proposals within the LDR District, which surrounded the area. She added that staff recommended approval with 24 conditions, that there had been modifications to conditions 3, 6, and 10, and that the PDRB recommended approval with the modified conditions.

Ron Clark said that Century Realty Funds was developing the project as a 55 plus retiree community. He stated that the developer had accepted the conditions for approval, that the site met the zoning requirements, that this type of community would have fewer impacts, that the community would be deed restricted, and so on.

Neil Comby thanked staff for their assistance with the project and addressed the wastewater issues by stating that the developer would be constructing an interconnection between SR 200 and CR 486 to the Brentwood Wastewater Plant, which would provide a better system to the citizens. He replied to Commissioner Phillips' question regarding the distance to the Brentwood Wastewater Plant by stating that the County had hired PEC (Professional Engineering Consultants, Inc.) to study the area and it was their recommendation to proceed as discussed.

The Chairman opened the public portion of the workshop and asked if anyone wished to speak in favor or in opposition. With no public comment, the Chairman closed the public portion of the workshop and announced that the public hearing would be held on June 13, 2006, at 3:05 P.M.

2-K **PLAT VACATION PV-05-02, PASTOR PAUL SALLEE, ON BEHALF OF CHRIST WAY FELLOWSHIP/HARVEST TEMPLE CHURCH OF GOD**

Mrs. Coutu reviewed a petition to vacate a parking area delineated on the plat adjacent to Lots 1-26, Block 1, Inverness Highlands Unit 6. She reported that the CDD staff, the Plat Review Team, and the PDRB recommended approval with conditions, and all of the conditions had been met.

The Chairman opened the public portion of the hearing and asked if anyone wished to speak in favor. Pastor Sallee made brief comments and asked for approval.

The Chairman then asked if anyone wished to speak in opposition. With no further public comment, the Chairman closed the public portion of the hearing.

***Upon motion by Commissioner Fowler, seconded by Commissioner Damato, and carried unanimously, the Board adopted and authorized the Chairman to execute a resolution approving Plat Vacation PV-05-02 as petitioned by Pastor Paul Sallee, on behalf of Christ Way Fellowship/Harvest Temple Church of God.***

**RESOLUTION NO. 2006-107**

2-L ALLEN PLANTATION COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

Mr. Maidhof explained that Chapter 190 Florida Statutes provided the ability to establish a Community Development Taxing District, and that the petitioner, Allen Plantation, LLC, wished to establish a Community Development District (District) to fund infrastructure improvements.

The Chairman opened the public portion of the hearing and asked if anyone wished to speak in favor.

Brian Crumbaker, representing Allen Plantation, reiterated the purpose of establishing the District to assist in the financing and construction of infrastructure within the Allen Plantation. He added that the applicant had met all the criteria of the statute, and he requested approval of the ordinance establishing the District.

Mr. Crumbaker responded to questions from Board members as follows: disclosure about the District to potential buyers was made through public hearings, notices, advertisements, brochures, and on the closing documents; the land was the collateral; the District was only for the purpose of construction of public infrastructure; when the Allen Plantation was completed, the water and sewer would be conveyed to the local utility company, but stormwater management, recreational facilities, private roads, landscaping, and so forth would be maintained by the District.

Commissioner Valentino commented that although the District would ensure that the property owners assumed all costs, she did not approve the original development because of concerns with the traffic study and that the 810 residents were exposed to an active mining operation adjacent to the property; therefore, she would not support the request.

The Chairman then asked if anyone wished to speak in opposition. With no further public comment, the Chairman closed the public portion of the hearing.

***A motion was made by Commissioner Fowler and seconded by Commissioner Damato to adopt and authorize the Chairman to execute an ordinance establishing the Allen Plantation Community Development District; naming the District; describing the external boundaries of the District; granting special powers; naming the initial members of the District's Board of Supervisors; providing for conflict and severability; and providing an effective date. Motion Carried. Voting aye: Commissioners Bartell, Damato, Fowler, and Phillips. Voting nay: Commissioner Valentino.***

**ORDINANCE NO. 2006-03**

2-M COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR POSITION

Dr. Tom D. Freijo, representing the Mercer Group, Inc., provided documentation and resumes for the recommended candidates for the position of County Administrator (filed with the Clerk's agenda).

He discussed background information of each candidate and the Commissioners made comments throughout this portion of the presentation.

Each Commissioner was asked to choose their top six candidates from the list, their written responses were given to Mr. Freijo (voting ballots filed with the Clerk's agenda), and after verification from Mr. Battista the voting was as follows:

Five votes:	Pam Brangaccio, Shane Crawford, and Wendell Johnson
Four votes:	Marsha Segal-George
Three votes:	Michael Stampfler
Two votes:	Charles Sadler, Anthony Carson, and Lyndon Bonner
One vote:	Howard Bailey and Al Grieshaber

Mr. Freijo then announced that the semifinalists for the position of County Administrator were Pam Brangaccio, Shane Crawford, Wendell Johnson, Marsha Segal-George, Michael Stampfler, Charles Sadler, Anthony Carson, and Lyndon Bonner.

He explained that he would now do the background research and narrow the list down between four and six. He reviewed the schedule that was set during the consent agenda and the tentative master schedule for the interview process.

Human Resources Director Randy Pettitt requested approval for senior staff to meet as a group with each candidate individually. The Commissioners concurred. He advised that the candidates would be staying at the Plantation Inn and transported by bus to the different locations throughout the process. He added that the list of expenses would be presented to the Board by the next meeting.

Commissioners agreed that invitations should be sent to elected officials and constitutional officers of the cities and County, and there were no objections to individual Commissioners sending their own invitations to the public.

Mr. Freijo mentioned that he would be present throughout the interview process as a resource to the Board and he would assist the candidates while they were in the County. He reminded the Board that they had agreed to invite the candidate's spouses and he explained the importance of that invitation.

Discussion ensued regarding the one on one interviews being private, selecting the top two candidates, the contract negotiations being held with the Chairman and the County Attorney, Mr. Freijo being available for the contract negotiations, Mr. Pettitt and Mr. Battista drafting a generic contract to use for negotiations, Mr. Freijo reviewing the generic contract prior to negotiations, and so on.

6- **COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT**

6-A **GOSPEL ISLAND BRIDGE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES**

Mr. Dick advised that the FDOT would start the Gospel Island Bridge Project August 1; therefore, the Board should make a decision regarding emergency services. He stated that the estimated cost was \$400,000 for fire services and Emergency Medical Services (EMS) to be stationed at the Gospel Island Fire Department for eight months; however, that number would probably be reduced. He pointed out that the FDOT would not pay for emergency services during the bridge project, so the County would be responsible for those services.

Mr. Dick responded to Commissioner Fowler's question about the cost for EMS by stating that it was \$179,000. Commissioner Fowler declared that the County should pay for those services without hesitation and agreed with Mr. Dick that an ambulance should be stationed at the Gospel Island Fire Station to service only that area.

Mr. Polisenno explained that the proposal by EMS was for a quick response vehicle with one person (paramedic) who would wait for a transport unit to take a patient to the hospital.

Commissioner Phillips was concerned about giving residents a false sense of security that an ambulance and firefighters would remain at a particular station. Mr. Polisenno explained that currently fire services and EMS covered the entire County, not a particular area, but the Board could set a policy for an ambulance to be on call 24 hours 7 days a week for the residents of the Gospel Island area. He stated that there were about 100 calls a year in the Gospel Island area, and the Board would also need to decide whether the ambulance could respond to a medical call or vehicle accident on SR 44 or the nearby mobile home community.

Commissioner Fowler recommended that the City of Inverness cover a certain distance of SR 44 and that those stationed at the Gospel Island Fire Station be responsible for residents east of SR 44 and on Gospel Island Road.

Discussion continued about the County not dedicating fire and emergency services for particular communities, medical services having priority over fire services, renovation of the Gospel Island Fire Station for housing personnel, and so on.

Mr. Battista stated that Commissioner Fowler's proposal was appropriate from a legal aspect and that the County would not incur any liability by assigning a unit to a specific station.

After a short discussion, Mr. Dick affirmed that he would discuss the plan with EMS, verify the costs, and confirm that EMS would dedicate a first responder to the Gospel Island area. Mr. Polisenno said that he would also provide the volume of medical and fire calls, and Commissioner Bartell requested that a decision not be made about fire services until that time. Mr. Dick added that they would endeavor to provide the information to the Board at their next Board meeting.

The Chairman asked if the FDOT had visited with Hilbert Staton about the drainage issue on his property. Mr. McCracken replied that his understanding from staff was that the FDOT had visited Mr. Staton's property three times, and had shared information about the drainage issues in front of his house; however, he would verify that information.

Mr. Staton commented that he had talked with the FDOT project manager several weeks ago, but he had not seen a drainage management plan. The Chairman told Mr. Staton that Mr. McCracken would talk to the FDOT and provide that information to him.

Mr. McCracken replied to Commissioner Damato's question about the plans being secretive by stating that plans for public buildings, bridges, airports, government and municipal facilities were protected with exemption from public information. Mr. Battista added that the law was passed after 9-11 (September 11, 2001 terrorist attack), and if a government official released an exempt document, it was a misdemeanor, so that was the reason for being cautious about releasing a document.

7- **COMMISSIONER GARY BARTELL, CHAIRMAN**

7-A **CITRUS SPRINGS ADVISORY COUNCIL**

***Upon motion by Commissioner Phillips, seconded by Commissioner Damato, and carried unanimously, the Board accepted with regret the resignation of Harry Frank and announced a vacancy for a regular member position on the Citrus Springs Advisory Council for a term that would expire September 30, 2007.***

7-B **PRESCRIPTION DRUG CARD PROGRAM**

The Chairman pulled this item and asked that staff schedule it for the next Board meeting.

12- **COUNTY ATTORNEY'S REPORT**

12-A **PRESCRIPTIVE PLAT OF EAST LAKE SPIVEY POINT**

***Upon motion by Commissioner Phillips, seconded by Commissioner Valentino, and carried unanimously, the Board approved and authorized the Chairman to execute the prescriptive plat of East Lake Spivey Point and directed the Clerk to record the plat in the public records.***

12-B **APPROVAL OF MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS RECEIVED AFTER THE CLOSE OF AGENDA DEADLINE**

12-B.1 **SMITH VS CITRUS COUNTY, ET AL**

***Upon motion by Commissioner Fowler, seconded by Commissioner Phillips, and carried unanimously, the Board approved and authorized the Chairman to execute Agreement to Dismiss Lawsuit with Prejudice, Release all Claims, and Covenant Not to Sue with Benjamin R. Smith pertaining to Case No. 5:04-cv-684-OC-10GRJ.***

14- **ANY OTHER BUSINESS OR PERSONS WISHING TO ADDRESS THE BOARD**

14-A **PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD MEETINGS**

Commissioner Fowler informed the Board that he had heard of several instances when only four members were present at PDRB meetings. Commissioner Phillips confirmed she had heard the same.

14-B **FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY (FEMA)**

Commissioner Damato advised that the FEMA maps had been updated in Marion County and over 20,000 parcels were now affected. He said that when the process was done in Citrus County, he thought there would be additional parcels added to the flood zone.

14-C LAND USE ISSUES

Commissioner Damato proposed that whenever necessary staff schedule separate meetings for contentious land use issues due to the huge amount of public comment and technical matters involved.

He also suggested having a generic workshop on the process of development issues, (town centers, PDOs, etc.) and offer creative solutions and checklists for meeting standards.

Mr. Maidhof explained that the County had filing requirements and standards, but Commissioner Damato was talking about supplemental standards. He agreed that supplemental standards needed updating, that a checklist could be used in scoring points, and that he supported planning a separate workshop for discussing the issues.

Commissioner Phillips expressed concern that staff was being expected to guide the developers through the process, be creative, and make decisions when the applicant had the ability to retain their own planner. She mentioned that one of the applicants today was aware of the standards, but chose to ignore them.

Mr. Maidhof mentioned that there would be an ordinance amendment coming forth to reduce the number of variances before the PDRB. He recommended that the Board consider appointing additional alternate members or appoint a zoning board to review variances and conditional uses and limit the PDRB to land use issues only. He reminded everyone that the PDRB members were volunteers and the volume and complexity of the applications was taking a toll on them. Short discussion followed.

There being no other business to come before the Board, the Chairman adjourned the meeting at 8:03 P.M.

ATTEST: \_\_\_\_\_, Clerk \_\_\_\_\_, Chairman